## RECEIVED OPPT NCIC ARZOL-134383 2001 DEC 27 PM 4: 52

# IUCLID

## **Data Set**

Existing Chemical CAS No. EINECS Name EINECS No. TSCA Name Molecular Formula	<ul> <li>ID: 141-53-7</li> <li>141-53-7</li> <li>sodium formate</li> <li>205-488-0</li> <li>Formic acid, sodium salt</li> <li>CH2O2.Na</li> </ul>
Printing date Revision date Date of last Update	: 19.12.2001 : : 19.12.2001
Number of Pages	: 24
Chapter (profile) Reliability (profile) Flags (profile)	<ul> <li>Chapter: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7</li> <li>Reliability: without reliability, 1, 2, 3, 4</li> <li>Flags: without flag, confidential, non confidential, WGK (DE), TA-Luft (DE), Material Safety Dataset, Risk Assessment, Directive 67/548/EEC, SIDS</li> </ul>

. General Info	rmation		141-53-7 19.12.2001
.0.1 OECD AND C	OMPANY INFORMATION		
Туре	: lead organisation		
Name	: American Chemistry Council, Formates	Panel	
Partner	:		
Date	:		
Street	: 1300 Wilson Boulevard		
Town	: 22209 Arlington, VA		
Country	: United States		
Phone	:		
Telefax	:		
Telex	:		
Cedex	:		
25.05.2001			
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: BASF Corporation		
Partner			
Date	:		
Street	:		
Town	:		
Country	:		
Phone	:		
Telefax	:		
Telex	:		
<b>Cedex</b> 19.12.2001	:		
19.12.2001			
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: Bayer Corporation		
Partner	:		
Date	:		
Street	:		
Town	:		
Country	:		
Phone			
Telefax Telex	:		
Cedex	:		
19.12.2001	•		
Туре	to cooperating company		
Type Name	<ul><li>cooperating company</li><li>Celanese Ltd</li></ul>		
Partner			
Date			
Street			
Town			
Country	:		
Phone	:		
Telefax	:		
Telex	:		
Cedex	:		
19.12.2001			
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: GEO Specialty Chemicals		
Partner			
Date	:		
Street	_		

Substance type : organometallic   Physical status : solid   Purity : % w/w   Test substance : Varies   19.12.2001 :   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	Country :: Phone :: Codex :: Codex :: 19.12.2001 Type :: cooperating company Name :: Partner :: Date :: Street :: Street :: Town :: Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: 19.12.2001 Country :: Phone :: Substance type :: organometallic Physical status :: 19.12.2001 Country :: Physical status :: Solid Purity :: 9.12.2001 Country :: Physical status :: Solid Purity :: Substance type :: organometallic Physical status :: Solid Purity	Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Cedex :: 19.12.2001 Type :: cooperating company Name :: Hercules Inc Partner :: Date :: Town :: Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Telefax :: 19.12.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: Cedex :	141-53-7 19.12.2001						ion	ormati	neral Inf	. Ge
Country :: Phome :: Phome :: Islefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: 19.12.2001 Type :: cooperating company Name :: Partner :: Date :: Street :: Street :: Town :: Country :: Phome :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Codex :: 19.12.2001 Substance type :: organometallic Physical status :: solid Purity	Country :: Phome :: Phome :: Islefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: 19.12.2001 Type :: cooperating company Name :: Partner :: Date :: Street :: Street :: Town :: Country :: Phome :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Codex :: I. GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION Substance type :: organometallic Physical status :: solid Purity :: solid Purity :: solid Purity :: www Test substance :: Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001	Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Cedex :: 19.12.2001 Type :: cooperating company Name :: Hercules Inc Partner :: Date :: Town :: Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Telefax :: 19.12.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: 25.05.2001 Cedex :: Cedex :									<b>.</b>	Точ
Phone : : Telefax : : telex : : Cedex : : 19.12.2001 Type : cooperating company Name Hercules Inc Partner : Date : : Date : : Town : : Country : : Phone : : Town : : Country : : Phone : : Telefax : : 19.12.2001 <b>1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION</b> Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001	Phone : : Telefax : : telex : : Cedex : : 19.12.2001 Type : cooperating company Name Hercules Inc Partner : Date : : Date : : Town : : Country : : Town : : Country : : Town : : Country : : Telefax : : 19.12.2001 Cedex : : 19.12.2001	Phone :   Telefax :   Telex :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001   Type : cooperating company Name : Hercules Inc Partner : Date : Dat							:			
Telefax :   Telex :   Telex :   Type :   Codex :   Type :   Country :   Pate :   Street :   Country :   Phone :   Telex :   Country :   Phone :   Telefax :   Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   Putity :   Substance :   Yanes   19.12.2001	Telefax :   Telex :   Telex :   Type :   Codex :   Partner :   Pate :   Date :   Street :   Country :   Phone :   Telefax :   Telefax :   Telefax :   Street :   Date :   Street :   Street :   Telefax :   Street :   Telefax :   Street :   Telefax :   Street :   Street :   Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   Purity :   Substance :   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz   25.05.2001   Pormic acid, sodium salt   25.05.2001   Natriumformiat   25.05.2001   Natriumformiat   25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate	Telefax       :         Telefax       :         Telefax       :         Yee       :         Date       :         Date       :         Date       :         Town       :         Country       :         Phone       :         Telefax       :         19.12.2001       :         19.12.2001       :         Substance type       :         organometallic       Physical status         Physical status       :         solid       :         Purity       :         25.05.2001       :         25.05.2001       :         Armeisensaeure, Natriumsalz         25.05.2001       :         Natriumformiat         25.05.2001         Sodium methanoate										Pho
Telex :: J9.12.2001 Type :: cooperating company Name :: Pather :: Date	Telex :: J9.12.2001 Type :: cooperating company Name :: Pather :: Date	Telex       :         Gedex       :         19.12.2001         Type       :         Couperating company         Name       :         Patner       :         Date       :         Street       :         Country       :         Phone       :         Telefax       :         Telefax       :         Telefax       :         Telex       :         Country       :         Phone       :         Telefax       :         Telefax       :         Telex       :         Cedex       :         19.12.2001       :         Substance type       :         organometallic         Physical status       :         solid       :         Purity       :         % w/w       :         Test substance       :         19.12.2001       :         25.05.2001       :         Arneisensaeure, Natriumsalz       :         25.05.2001       :         Natriumformiat       :         25.05.2										
Cedex ::   19.12.2001   Type ::   cooperating company   Name ::   Patter ::   Date ::   Date ::   Street ::   Country ::   Phone ::   Telefax ::   Phone ::   19.12.2001 ::   Substance type ::   organometallic   Physical status ::   Physical status ::   19.12.2001 ::   Substance type ::   organometallic   Physical status ::   Solo   Purity ::   9.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz   25.05.2001   Formic acid, sodium salt   25.05.2001   Natriumformiat   25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate	Cedex :   19.12.2001   Type :   cooperating company   Name :   Partner :   Date :   Date :   Street :   Country :   Phone :   Telefax :   Date :   Phone :   Phone :   Telefax :   Telefax :   Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz   25.05.2001   Formic acid, sodium salt   25.05.2001   Natiumformiat   25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate	Cedex :   19.12.2001   Type :   cooperating company   Name :   Partner :   Date :   Street :   Street :   Country :   Phone :   Cedex :   Cedex :   1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION   Substance type    1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION   Substance type    1 Substance type   : :   19.12.2001   Substance type    : : <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
19.12.2001 Type : cooperating company Mame : Hercules Inc Partner :: Street :: Town :: Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: 19.12.2001  C GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION  Substance type :: organometallic Physical status :: solid Purity :: % w/w Test substance :: Varies 19.12.2001  2. SYNONYMS  Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001  Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	19.12.2001 Type : cooperating company Mame : Hercules Inc Partner :: Street :: Town :: Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: Telefax :: 19.12.2001  C GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION  Substance type :: organometallic Physical status :: solid Purity :: % w/w Test substance :: Varies 19.12.2001  2. SYNONYMS  Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001  Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	19.12.2001 Type : cooperating company Name : Hercules Inc Partner : Date : Date : Town : Country : Phone : Telefax : 19.12.2001 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001										
Name : Hercules Inc Partner : Street : Street : Town : Country : Phone : Telefax : Telefax : 19.12.2001 <b>1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION</b> Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001	Name : Hercules Inc Partner : Street : Street : Town : Country : Phone : Telefax : Telefax : 19.12.2001 <b>1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION</b> Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001	Name : Hercules Inc Partner : Date : Street : Town : Country : Phone : Telefax : Telex : Cedex : 19.12.2001 .1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 .2 SYNONYMS Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001							-			
Partner : Date : Telex : Telex : Telex : Telex : Date : Telex : Telex : Telex : Date : Telex : Telex : Date : Telex : Date : Telex : Date :	Partner : Date : Telex : Telex : Telex : Telex : Date : Telex : Telex : Telex : Date : Telex : Telex : Date : Telex : Date : Telex : Date :	Partner       :         Date       :         Date       :         Street       :         Town       :         Country       :         Phone       :         Telefax       :         Telefax       :         Telefax       :         Cedex       :         19.12.2001       :         19.12.2001       :         Substance type       :         organometallic       :         Physical status       :         solid       :         Purity       :         % w/w       :         Test substance       :         19.12.2001       :         25.05.2001       :         Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz       :         25.05.2001       :         Formic acid, sodium salt       :         25.05.2001       :         Natriumformiat       :         25.05.2001       :         Sodium methanoate       :				y	g compa	operatir	: cc			Тур
Date :   Street :   Town :   Country :   Phone :   Telefax :   Telefax :   Telefax :   1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION    Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Physic	Date :: Street :: Town :: Town :: Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Telefa	Date :   Street :   Street :   Town :   Country :   Phone :   Telefax :   Telefax :   Telefax :   Telefax :   Telefax :   19.12.2001 :   Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 Solid Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate					IC	ercules I	: He			
Street :: Town :: Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Teleax :: 19.12.2001 <b>1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION</b> <b>Substance type</b> :: organometallic Physical status :: solid Purity :: % w/w Test substance :: Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001	Street :: Town :: Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Teleax :: 19.12.2001 <b>1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION</b> <b>Substance type</b> :: organometallic Physical status :: solid Purity :: % w/w Test substance :: Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001	Street :   Town :   Country :   Phone :   Telefax :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001     Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   Substance :   Varies   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz   25.05.2001   Pormic acid, sodium salt   25.05.2001   Natriumformiat   25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate							:			
Town :   Country :   Phone :   Phone :   Phone :   Telefax :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001   Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 25.05.2001 Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	Town :   Country :   Phone :   Phone :   Telefax :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001     Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   Physical status :   solid   Purity :   9.12.2001      25.05.2001   25.05.2001      Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz   25.05.2001   Formic acid, sodium salt   25.05.2001   Natriumformiat   25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate	Town       :         Country       :         Phone       :         Telefax       :         Telefax       :         Telex       :         Cedex       :         19.12.2001       :         Substance type       : organometallic         Physical status       : solid         Purity       : % w/w         Test substance       : Varies         19.12.2001       :         25.05.2001       :         Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz       :         25.05.2001       :         Pormic acid, sodium salt       :         25.05.2001       :         Natriumformiat       :         25.05.2001       :         Sodium methanoate       :							:			
Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Teleax :: Cedex :: 19.12.2001 <b>1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION</b> Substance type :: organometallic Physical status :: solid Physical status :: solid Physical status :: solid Physical status :: % w/w Test substance :: Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	Country :: Phone :: Telefax :: Telex :: Cedex :: 19.12.2001 <b>1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION</b> Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Physical status : solid Physical status : solid Physical status : varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001	Country : Phone : Telefax : Telefax : Telex : 19.12.2001 1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 2 SYNONYMS Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate							:		-	
Phone :   Telefax :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001      Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   Solid   Purity :   % w/w   Test substance   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz   25.05.2001   Formic acid, sodium salt   25.05.2001   Natriumformiat   25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate	Phone :   Telefax :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001      Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   Solid   Purity :   % w/w   Test substance   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz   25.05.2001   Formic acid, sodium salt   25.05.2001   Natriumformiat   25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate	Phone :   Telefax :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001 <b>1</b> GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION     Substance type :   organometallic   Physical status :   solid   Purity :   % w/w   Test substance :   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001   Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001   Natriumformiat 25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate							:			
Telefax :   Telex :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001	Telefax :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001	Telefax :   Telex :   Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001							:			
Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001     1   GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION     Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   :   Substance   :	Telex :   Cedex :   19.12.2001     1   GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION     Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Purity   :   Substance   : <td>Telex       :         Cedex       :         19.12.2001         1       GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION         Substance type       :         Physical status       :         solid         Purity       :         Test substance       :         19.12.2001         25.05.2001         Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz         25.05.2001         Formic acid, sodium salt         25.05.2001         Natriumformiat         25.05.2001         Sodium methanoate</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Telex       :         Cedex       :         19.12.2001         1       GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION         Substance type       :         Physical status       :         solid         Purity       :         Test substance       :         19.12.2001         25.05.2001         Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz         25.05.2001         Formic acid, sodium salt         25.05.2001         Natriumformiat         25.05.2001         Sodium methanoate							:			
Cedex :   19.12.2001   :   GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION     Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance   :   Substance   : <td>Cedex :   19.12.2001   :   GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION     Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance   :   Substance   : <td>Cedex :   19.12.2001     1   GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION     Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Solid   Purity   :   % w/w   Test substance   :   Varies   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>:</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	Cedex :   19.12.2001   :   GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION     Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Substance   :   Substance   : <td>Cedex :   19.12.2001     1   GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION     Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Solid   Purity   :   % w/w   Test substance   :   Varies   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Cedex :   19.12.2001     1   GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION     Substance type   :   organometallic   Physical status   :   Solid   Purity   :   % w/w   Test substance   :   Varies   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate							:			
19.12.2001 <b>1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION</b> Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	19.12.2001          1       GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION         Substance type       :       organometallic         Physical status       :       solid         Purity       :       % w/w         Test substance       :       Varies         19.12.2001       :       25.05.2001         2       SYNONYMS       .         Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz       .         25.05.2001       .         Natriumformiat       .         25.05.2001       .         Sodium methanoate       .	19.12.2001 <b>1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION</b> Substance type : organometallic Physical status : solid Purity : % w/w Test substance : Varies 19.12.2001 25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate							:			
1       GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION         Substance type       :       organometallic         Physical status       :       solid         Purity       :       % w/w         Test substance       :       Varies         19.12.2001       :       *         25.05.2001       :       *         2       SYNONYMS       :         Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz       :       :         25.05.2001       :       :         Pornic acid, sodium salt       :       :         25.05.2001       :       :         Natriumformiat       :       :         20.52.2001       :       :         Sodium methanoate       :       :	1       GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION         Substance type       :       organometallic         Physical status       :       solid         Purity       :       % w/w         Test substance       :       Varies         19.12.2001       :       *         25.05.2001       :       *         2       SYNONYMS       :         Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz       :       :         25.05.2001       :       :         Pornic acid, sodium salt       :       :         25.05.2001       :       :         Natriumformiat       :       :         20.52.2001       :       :         Sodium methanoate       :       :	1       GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION         Substance type       : organometallic         Physical status       : solid         Purity       : % w/w         Test substance       : Varies         19.12.2001       : Varies         25.05.2001       : SYNONYMS         Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz       : Solid         25.05.2001       : Formic acid, sodium salt         25.05.2001       : Natriumformiat         25.05.2001       : Sodium methanoate							:			
Substance type : organometallic   Physical status : solid   Purity : % w/w   Test substance : Varies   19.12.2001 :   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz   25.05.2001   Formic acid, sodium salt   25.05.2001   Natriumformiat   25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate	Substance type : organometallic   Physical status : solid   Purity : % w/w   Test substance : Varies   19.12.2001 :   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz   25.05.2001   Formic acid, sodium salt   25.05.2001   Natriumformiat   25.05.2001   Sodium methanoate	Substance type : organometallic   Physical status : solid   Purity : % w/w   Test substance : Varies   19.12.2001   25.05.2001   Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate									2.2001	19.1
25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 <b>2 SYNONYMS</b> Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate							-		y substance	Puri Tesi
2 SYNONYMS Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	2 SYNONYMS Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	2 SYNONYMS Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate										
Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	Ameisensaeure, Natriumsalz 25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate										
25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate								5	SYNONYMS	.2
Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	Formic acid, sodium salt 25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate							alz	Natriumsa	sensaeure,	Ame
25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 Natriumformiat 25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate										
25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate								um salt	ic acid, sodi 5.2001	Forr 25.0
25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate	25.05.2001 Sodium methanoate									Imformiat	Natr
										ate	um methano	Sod

2. Physico-Cherr	ical Data	ld 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
2.1 MELTING POIN	r	
Value Sublimation Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 25.05.2001	<ul> <li>= 253 ° C</li> <li>no</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	(22)
2.2 BOILING POINT		
2.4 VAPOUR PRES		
2.4 VAPOUR PRES	JURE	
Value Remark Conclusion Reliability	<ul> <li>= 0 at ° C</li> <li>This material is a solid salt and as successful vapor pressure. It should be kept in n with formic acid in solution and volatilit dependent. Material considered non-volatile as a</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>	nind, however, that it is in equilibrium ization from solution is therefore pH dry solid.
ιτοπαιρητική		
13.11.2001		(22)
	FFICIENT	(22)
13.11.2001	EFFICIENT	(22)
13.11.2001		(22)
13.11.2001 2.5 PARTITION CON		(22)

3. Environmental	Fate and Pathways	ld 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
3.1.1 PHOTODEGRAD	ATION	
Type Light source Light spect. Rel. intensity Remark Reliability 14.11.2001	<ul> <li>other</li> <li>nm</li> <li>based on Intensity of Sunlight</li> <li>Since this material is not volatile, the needs to be considered is direct phot photolysis is not possible because the chromophore absorbing at a wavelene presence of such a chromophore is a</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>	olysis at the earths surface. Direct his material does not have a ligth of 290 nm or above, and the
3.1.2 STABILITY IN W	ATER	
Type t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Remark Reliability 14.11.2001	<ul> <li>abiotic</li> <li>at degree C</li> <li>at degree C</li> <li>at degree C</li> <li>Disassociates in water to sodium ion considered stable in water. A carboxy of hydrolysis reactions</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>	
3.3.2 DISTRIBUTION		
Media Method Year Remark	<ul> <li>air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water</li> <li>Calculation according Mackay, Level</li> <li>2001</li> <li>Assumptions used in model:</li> <li>Molecular Wt: 68.01 Henry's LC : 7.53e-007 atm-m3/mo Vapor Press : 7.53e-008 mm Hg (M Liquid VP : 9.87e-007 mm Hg (sup Melting Pt : 138 deg C (Mpbpwin pi Log Kow : -4.27 (Kowwin prograt Soil Koc : 2.2e-005 (calc by mode</li> </ul>	ele (Henrywin program) Apbpwin program) per-cooled) rogram) m) el)
	Air: 504 Water: 120 Soil: 120 Sediment: 1440	u y <i>)</i>
	* This calculation was conducted usir 5 / 24	ng a water half-life of 120 hours based

3. Environmental Fa	ate and Pathways         Id         141-53-7           Date         19.12.2001
Result	on actual data. The soil half-life was estimated at 120 hours on the basis of the water value. Air half-life was set at 504 hours which is the model calculated result for formic acid. This was done presuming that volatilized material would exist primarily as formic acid. : Concentration Half-Life Emissions (percent) (hr) (kg/hr) Air 7.11 1e+005 1000 Water 48.7 360 1000 Soil 44.1 360 1000 Sediment 0.0811 1.44e+003 0
	Fugacity Reaction Advection Reaction Advection (atm) (kg/hr) (kg/hr) (percent) (percent)
	Air 7.03e-011 51.4 374 1.71 12.5
	Water 1.03e-011 1.07e+003 186 35.7 6.19
	Soil 4.67e-010 1.32e+003 0 43.9 0
	Sed 8.56e-012 0.149 0.00619 0.00496 0.000206
	Advection Time: 807 hr Percent Reacted: 81.3 Percent Advected: 18.7 Half-Lives (hr), (based upon user-entry): Air: 504 Water: 120 Soil: 120 Sediment: 1440
	Advection Times (hr): Air: 100 Water: 1000 Sediment: 5e+004
Test substance Reliability 19.12.2001	Advection Time: 1.19e+003 hr Percent Reacted: 68.8 Percent Advected: 31.2 : Sodium Formate CAS Number 141-53-7 : (2) valid with restrictions
.5 BIODEGRADATION	
DIODEORADATION	
Type Inoculum Concentration	<ul> <li>aerobic</li> <li>activated sludge, domestic</li> <li>20mg/l related to DOC (Dissolved Organic Carbon)</li> </ul>
	related to

## 3. Environmental Fate and Pathways

Deg. Product		
Method		
Year	: 1981	
GLP	: no	
Test substance		
Method	: OECD Guide–line 301 E "Ready biodegradability: Modified OECD	
	Screening Test"	
Source	: Huels AG Marl	
Test substance	: Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	(40)
15.11.2001		(12)
<b>T</b>		
Туре	: aerobic	
Inoculum	: domestic sewage	
Concentration	: 300mg/l related to DOC (Dissolved Organic Carbon)	
	related to	
Contact time	: 9 day	
Degradation	: = 100 % after 9 day	
Result	: inherently biodegradable	
Deg. Product	·	
-		
Method	:	
Year	: 1985	
GLP	:	
Test substance	:	
Method	: OECD Guide–line 302 B "Inherent biodegradability: Modified"	
Remark	: Inoculum: activated sludge, domestic	
Source	: Huels AG Marl	
Test substance	: Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7	
Conclusion	: inherently biodegradable	
Reliability	: (4) not assignable	(4.4)
19.12.2001		(11)
_		
Туре	: aerobic	
Inoculum	: domestic sewage	
Concentration	: 10mg/l related to DOC (Dissolved Organic Carbon)	
	related to	
Contact time	·	
Degradation	= 97.5 % after	
Result	: inherently biodegradable	
Method	: OECD Guide–line 303 A "Simulation Test – Aerobic Sewage"	
Remark	: The 97,5 % loss of DOC refers to an average retention time	
	of 3 hours.	
Source	: Huels AG Marl	
Test substance	: Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7	
Reliability	: (4) not assignable	
19.12.2001	( )	(12)
		()

## 4. Ecotoxicity

### 4.1 ACUTE/PROLONGED TOXICITY TO FISH

Туре	: flow through	
Species	: Pimephales promelas (Fish, fresh water)	
Exposure period	: 96 hour(s)	
Unit	: mg/l	
Analytical monitoring	: yes	
NOEC	: m = 954	
LC0	: m = 954	
LC50	: c > 1000	
Method	: EPA OTS 797.1400	
Year	: 1990	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	:	
Method	: The study was conducted using a flow-through design at 5	
	nominal concentrations (63, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 mg/L)	
	test material. Actual concentrations were measured	
	(duplicate) at the beginning and end of the 96-hour exposure	
	period and the means were: 58, 116, 223, 461 and 954 mg/L.	
	Dilution water was blended soft water with a hardness of	
	40-48 mg/L, alkalinity of 52-56 mg/L, and a pH of 7.4 to	
	7.5. Twenty fish (mean weight 0.23 g) per concentration were exposed using a flow rate of 6.4 volume replacements per day	
	for the 30-liter aquaria. Fish were observed daily for	
	mortality and compound related sub-lethal effects.	
	Temperature, oxygen levels and pH were measured at 0, 48 and	
	96 hours.	
Result	: No mortality or sub-lethal effects were observed at any	
	concentration. Oxygen, temperature and pH were within the	
	protocol specified limits. The measure concentrations were	
	similar to the target (nominal) concentrations.	
Source	: Celanese Ltd	
Test substance	: Sodium formate, described as white granules, received from	
	Hoechst Celanese Corporation coded C-01261. Purity not	
	specified.	
Conclusion	: Under these conditions, the LC50, LC0 and NOEC were all	
	greater than 954 mg/L. The LC50 is greater than 1000 mg/L	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
26.05.2001		(3)
Туре	: flow through	
Species	: Salmo gairdneri (Fish, estuary, fresh water)	
Exposure period	: 96 hour(s)	
Unit	: mg/l	
Analytical monitoring	: yes	
NOEC	: m > 887	
LC0	: m > 887	
LC50 Method	: c > 1000 : EPA OTS 797.1400	
Year	: 1990	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	. ,	
Method	. The study was conducted using a flow-through design at 5	
method	nominal concentrations (63, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 mg/L)	
	test material. Actual concentrations were measured	
	(duplicate) at the beginning and end of the 96-hour exposure	
	period and the means were: 54, 105, 215, 443 and 887 mg/L.	
	Dilution water was blended soft water with a hardness of 48	
	mg/L, alkalinity of 56-58 mg/L, temperature from 12-13	
	8 / 24	

. Ecotoxicity	Date 19.12.2001
	degrees and a pH of 7.7 to 7.8. Twenty fish (mean weight 0.70 g) per concentration were exposed using a flow rate of 6.4 volume replacements per day for the 30-liter aquaria. Fish were observed daily for mortality and compound related sub-lethal effects. Temperature, oxygen levels and pH were
Pocult	<ul><li>measured at 0, 48 and 96 hours.</li><li>No mortality or sub-lethal effects were observed at any</li></ul>
Result	concentration. Oxygen, temperature and pH were within the protocol specified limits. The measured concentrations were similar to the target (nominal) concentrations.
Source	: Celanese Ltd
Test substance	: Sodium formate, described as white granules, received from Hoechst Celanese Corporation coded C-01261. Purity not specified.
Conclusion	: Under these conditions, the LC50, LC0 and NOEC were all greater than 887 mg/L. The LC50 is greater than 1000 mg/L
Reliability 26.05.2001	: (1) valid without restriction (1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Туре	static
Species	: Leuciscus idus (Fish, fresh water)
Exposure period Unit	: 48 hour(s)
Analytical monitoring	: mg/l
LC50	: m > 1000
Method	
Year	:
GLP	: no
Test substance	:
Method	: other: Bestimmung der Wirkung von Wasserinhaltsstoffen auf Fische, DIN 38412 Teil 15 (Determination of the effect of substances contained in water on fish, DIN 38412 part of 15)
Source	: Huels AG Marl
Test substance	<ul> <li>Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>
Reliability 15.11.2001	. (4) Not assignable (13
10.11.2001	
Туре	: static
Species	: Lepomis macrochirus (Fish, fresh water)
Exposure period	: 24 hour(s)
Unit Analytical monitoring	: mg/l
LC50 Method	: m = 5000
Year	. 1965
GLP	
Test substance	:
Method	: other: Standard method for the determination of the fish toxicity of pure substances after Freeman
Source	: Huels AG Marl
Test substance	: Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7
Reliability	: (4) not assignable Rated as 4 since relies on secondary (IUCLID) reference.
15.11.2001	(9) (10 (9) (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10
	(3)(10

Туре	:	flow through
Species	:	Daphnia magna (Crustacea)
Exposure period	:	48 hour(s)

Ecotoxicity	ld 141-53-7
	<b>Date</b> 19.12.2001
Unit	: mg/l
Analytical monitoring	: yes
NOEĆ	: m = 120
EC0	: m = 247
EC50	: m > 1070
Method	
Year	: 1990
GLP	
Test substance	: yes
Method	The study was conducted using a flow through design at 5
Wethou	: The study was conducted using a flow-through design at 5
	nominal concentrations (60, 120, 250, 500 and 1000 mg/L)
	test material. Actual concentrations were measured
	(duplicate) at the beginning and end of the 96-hour exposure
	period and the means were: 74, 122, 247, 447 and 1070mg/L.
	Dilution water was blended well water/RO water with a
	hardness of 178 mg/L, alkalinity of 210 mg/L, and a pH of
	7.8. Twenty first-instar daphnids per concentration were
	exposed (four replicate chambers of five daphnids at each
	concentration plus control) using a flow rate of 6.1 volume
	replacements per day for the 1-liter test chambers
	containing five daphnids each. Daphnids were observed daily
	for mortality and compound related sub-lethal effects.
	Temperature, oxygen levels, pH and test material
	concentrations were measured at 0 and 48 hours.
Beevilt	
Result	: The mortality and extent of sublethal effects are shown in
	the table.
	MORTALITY
	Nom. Meas 24 hr 48 hr Other
	Conc Conc Effects
	0 0 1 1 none
	60 74 1 1 none
	120 122 0 0 none
	250 247 0 0 very few
	599 447 1 1 ffew
	1000 1070 1 1 Many
Test substance	: Sodium formate, described as white granules, received from
	Hoechst Celanese Corporation coded C-01261. Purity not
	specified.
Conclusion	
Conclusion	: Under these conditions, the EC50 for daphnids was greater
Delle kille	than 1070 mg/L, the NOEC was 122 mg/L.
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction
19.12.2001	(.
B TOXICITY TO AQU	ATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE
Species	: Selenastrum capricornutum (Algae)
Endpoint	: growth rate
Exposure period	- <u> </u>
Unit	. ma/l
	: mg/l
Analytical monitoring	: yes
NOEC	: m = 125
EC10	: c = 99
EC50	: c = 790
Method	: other
Year	: 1990
GLP	: yes
Test substance	· ,
Method	Two preliminary toxicity tests were conducted to set

Method

4. Ecotoxicity	ld 141-53-7
	<b>Date</b> 19.12.2001
	96-hour preliminary test, test concentrations of 1, 10 or 100 mg/L produced growth inhibitions of 0, 18 or 50%, respectively. The second preliminary test was started as a definitive test with triplicate cultures at concentrations of 20, 40, 80, 160 or 320 mg/L. Algal cells counts in this study wer 110, 110, 110, 110 or 86 % of the control population. Thus it was determined that there was an insufficient inhibitory response to define the IC50 and a final definitive test was set up. Algal media was inoculated with 1 million cells of test organism into triplicate 250 ml flasks, closed with a foam plug, containing 100 ml algal growth media. Dilutions of test material in growth media were prepared from a 1000 mg/L stock of test material in growth media. Flasks were incubated and agitated (100 rpm) in random positions for 96 hours under 4300 Lux lighting at 24 degrees C. Cell counts were conducted daily for each test replicate using a hemacytometer and compound microscope. Concentration of test material in the media was determined at the beginning and end of the incubation period and mean concentrations reported. Cell counts for each replicate and controls were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's test accepting p< 0.05 as significant. IC50 values were calculated from a regression plot. Two regression plots were constructed using either the mean cell count or the log of the cell count. The regression equation giving the best fit was used to determine the IC50.
Remark	<ul> <li>Supported by a 1984 Huels study reported in IUCLID 2000, in which the EC50 for Scenedesmus subspicatus was reported to be greater than or equal to 1000 mg/L.</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>Measured concentrations were very close to nominal concentrations, the concentration at termination was similar to the starting concentration and no loss of test material was apparent. Concentrations above 250 mg/L were inhibitory and the data are shown in the table.</li> </ul>
	Mean cells counts were as follows:
	NominMeasTIME (hours)ConcConc24487296 $0(mg/L)$ <5
	Counts are in units of 10,000 cells/ml * Denotes significant inhibition at p<0.0
Test substance	<ul> <li>A quadratic equation was developed using percent difference in cell count from control versus In concentration. From this equation, the EC50 was calculated to be 790 mg/L and the EC10 as 99 mg/L (based on nominal concentrations). The NOEC is considered to be 125 mg/L.</li> <li>Sodium formate, described as white granules, received from</li> </ul>
	Hoechst Celanese Corporation coded C-01261. Purity not specified.
Conclusion	<ul> <li>Under these conditions, the 96-hour EC50 for algal growth was 790 mg/L and the NOEC was estimated to be 125 mg/L. The 11 / 24</li> </ul>

. Ecotoxicity	ld 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
	<b>Date</b> 10.12.2001
Reliability	<ul><li>EC10 was calculated to be 99 mg/L from thje regression equation.</li><li>(1) valid without restriction</li></ul>
15.11.2001	(4
.7 BIOLOGICAL E	FFECTS MONITORING
Method	<ul> <li>Transport Canada conducted and environmental assessment to compare the use of sodium formate (NaFo) with urea as a runway anti-icer/deicer at the Halifax International Airport. Over the winter of 1991-92, 16 tons of NaFo were used on a taxiway with a unique drainage system so that potential environmental effects of NaFo could be identified. Urea was used on two runways and its effects wre compared with those from NAFo. Streams and groundwater were monitored for several parameters with the following issues of primary importance:         <ul> <li>The effect on ground and surface water, especially oxygen depletion</li> <li>The effect on the microbial community</li> <li>The effect on aquatic biota</li> <li>The mobilization of metals</li> <li>The effect on vegetation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Remark Conclusion	<ul> <li>The effects of sodium formate on surface vegetation growth were also determined in a greenhouse study in which sodium formate solution was applied bi-weekly to representative plants at rates from 0.1 to 48 grams per square meter of soil. Biweekly concentrations at and above 33 g/m2 reduced plant biomass growth. These inhibitory concentrations are, however, very high concentrations that would not be encountered in this application of sodium formate.</li> <li>Year 1992</li> <li>The effects of sodium formate on surface vegetation growth were also determined in a greenhouse study in which sodium formate solution was applied bi-weekly to representative plants at rates from 0.1 to 48 grams per square meter of soil. Biweekly concentrations at and above 33 g/m2 reduced plant biomass growth. These inhibitory concentrations are, however, very high concentrations that would not be encountered in this application of sodium formate.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The conclusions drawn are: The use of sodium formate as a de-icing agent applied during the winter of 1991-1992 at the Halifax international airport, appears to have had no effect on.</li> <li>The in situ concentration of total heterotrophic bacteria whether these were either aerobic or anerobic and either psychrophilic of mesophilic bacteria.</li> <li>The in situ concentrations of fungi, whether these fungi were either psychrophilic or mesophilic moulds.</li> <li>The soil respiration characteristics of the rate of carbon dioxide evolved, the proportion of organic carbon metabolized, or the temperature coefficient Q10.</li> <li>Application of NaFo to vegetated soil from the NaFo test area wher applied biweekly at concentrations of less than 2000 mg NaFo/L did not appear to inhibit vegetative plant growth. Application of NaFo at greater concentrations, specifically 3500 and 5000 mg NaFo/L did inhibit vegetative plant growth appreciably (approximately 65 percent and 70 percent respectively).</li> <li>When NaFo was applied in single applications, the inhibition of surface vegetative growth was directly proportional to the mass of NaFo applied. Application of 500 mg NaFo/kg, which is equivalent to 84.5 g/m2,</li> </ul>

Reliability       :         13.09.2001       :         Method       :         1.1. Conclusion       :         1.1. Setup of the respectively is caused approximately of spectrom inhibition.       :         1.1. Setup of the microbiological evaluation tests suggest that even there of deterious disruptions in the in situ microbiological evaluation tests suggest that even there of deterious disruptions in the in situ microbiological populations:         Reliability       :       :         1.1. Setup of the vegetative surface growth tests suggest that when NaFo is applied in moderate concentrations over a prolonged period of time af concentrations of less than 2000 Mg NaFo/1, no deteletious disruptions in the plant life may be expected. However, splits of soid NaFo on vegetated surfaces should be avoided, as doese of as life as 1.69 g NaFo/N2 may cause deteletious disruptions in the surface plant growth.         13.09.2001       :       :         Method       :       :         1.1. Setup of the setup is the surface setup of the setup is the setup	4. Ecotoxicity	ld 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
mg/kg (169 and 591.5 g/M2 respectively) caused approximately 95 percent inhibition       and 5000 mg/kg (845 g/m2) caused approximately 95 percent inhibition.         6.       Results of the microbiological evaluation tests suggest that, except at unusually high concentrations of NaFo that are unlikely to be encountered during normal use as a de?icing agent, NaFo causes no deleterious disruptions in the in situ microbiological populations.         Results of the vegetative surface growth tests suggest that when NaFo is applied in moderate concentrations over a prolonged period of time at concentrations of less than 2000 mg NaFo/1, no deleterious disruptions in the plant life may be expected. However, splits of solid NaFo on vegetated surfaces should be avoided, as doses of as lift as 1.69 g NaFo/M2 may cause deleterious disruptions in the surface plant growth.         15.11.2001       (26)         13.09.2001       (26)         Method       :         The conclusions drawn are: The use of sodium formate as a de-icing agent applied during the winter of 1991-1992 at the Halifax international airport, appears to have had no effect on.         1.       The in situ concentrations of fungl, whether these fungi were either these were either aerobic or anerobic and either psychrophilic of mesophilic bacteria.         2.       The in situ concentrations of fungl, whether these fungi were either psychrophilic or mesophilic moulds.         3.       The soil respiration characteristics of the rate of carbon dioxide evolved, the proportion of organic carbon metabolized, or the temperature coefficient Q10.         4.       Application of NaFo to vegetated soil f		Date 19.12.2001
<ul> <li>applied in moderate concentrations over a prolonged period of time at concentrations of less than 2000 mg NaFo/1, no deleterious disruptions in the plant life may be expected. However, spills of solid NaFo on vegetated surfaces should be avoided, as doses of as little as 1.69 g NaFo/M2 may cause deleterious disruptions in the surface plant growth.</li> <li>Reliability 15.11.2001 (26)</li> <li>13.09.2001</li> <li>Method :</li> <li>The conclusions drawn are: The use of sodium formate as a de-icing agent applied during the winter of 1991-1992 at the Halifax international airport, appears to have had no effect on.</li> <li>The in situ concentration of total heterotrophic bacteria whether these were either aerobic or anerobic and either psychrophilic of mesophilic bacteria.</li> <li>The soil respiration characteristics of the rate of carbon dioxide evolved, the proportion of organic carbon metabolized, or the temperature coefficient Q10.</li> <li>Application of NaFo to vegetated soil from the NaFo test area when applied bi?weekly at concentrations of less than 2000 mg NaFo/L did not appear to inhibit vegetative plant growth. Application of NaFo at greater concentrations, specifically 3500 and 5000 mg NaFo/L did not appear to inhibit vegetative growth was directly proportional of bacters and policed bi?weekly at concentrations of best the nubilition of surface vegetative growth was directly proportional to the mass of NaFo applied. Application of 500 mg NaFo/L, did in tot appear to inhibit vegetative growth was directly proportional to 84.5 g/m2, caused 50 percent inhibition. Concentrations of between 1000 and 3500 mg/kg (169 and 591.5 g/M2 respectively) caused approximately 95 percent inhibition.</li> <li>Results of the microbiological evaluation tests suggest that, except at unusually high concentrations of NaFo tare unlikely to be encountered during mormal use as a ce/icing agent, NaFo causes no deleterious disruptions in the in situ microbiological populations.</li> <!--</td--><td></td><td><ul> <li>mg/kg (169 and 591.5 g/M2 respectively) caused approximately 75 percent inhibition and 5000 mg/kg (845 g/m2) caused approximately 95 percent inhibition.</li> <li>6. Results of the microbiological evaluation tests suggest that, except.at unusually high concentrations of NaFo that are unlikely to be encountered during normal use as a de?icing agent, NaFo causes no</li> </ul></td></ul>		<ul> <li>mg/kg (169 and 591.5 g/M2 respectively) caused approximately 75 percent inhibition and 5000 mg/kg (845 g/m2) caused approximately 95 percent inhibition.</li> <li>6. Results of the microbiological evaluation tests suggest that, except.at unusually high concentrations of NaFo that are unlikely to be encountered during normal use as a de?icing agent, NaFo causes no</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>15.11.2001 (26)</li> <li>13.09.2001</li> <li>Method : The conclusions drawn are: The use of sodium formate as a de-icing agent applied during the winter of 1991-1992 at the Halifax international airport, appears to have had no effect on.</li> <li>The in situ concentration of total heterotrophic bacteria whether these were either aerobic or anerobic and either psychrophilic of mesophilic bacteria.</li> <li>The soil respiration characteristics of the rate of carbon dioxide evolved, the proportion of organic carbon metabolized, or the temperature coefficient Q10.</li> <li>Application of NaFo to vegetated soil from the NaFo test area when applied bi?weekly at concentrations of Boom gNaFo/L did not appear to inhibit vegetative glant growth appreciably (approximately 65 percent and 70 percent respectively).</li> <li>When NaFo was applied in single applications, the inhibition of surface vegetative growth was directly proportional to the mass of NaFo applied. Application of 591.5 g/M2 respectively) caused approximately 95 percent inhibition.</li> <li>Results of the microbiological evaluation tests suggest that, except at unusually high concentrations of NaFo that are unlikely to be encountered during normal use as a de?icing agent, NaFo causes no deleterious disruptions in the in situ microbiological populations.</li> </ul>	Reliability	applied in moderate concentrations over a prolonged period of time at concentrations of less than 2000 mg NaFo/1, no deleterious disruptions in the plant life may be expected. However, spills of solid NaFo on vegetated surfaces should be avoided, as doses of as little as 1.69 g NaFo/M2 may cause deleterious disruptions in the surface plant growth.
<ul> <li>Method</li> <li>The conclusions drawn are: The use of sodium formate as a de-icing agent applied during the winter of 1991-1992 at the Halifax international airport, appears to have had no effect on.</li> <li>The in situ concentration of total heterotrophic bacteria whether these were either areobic or anerobic and either psychrophilic of mesophilic bacteria.</li> <li>The in situ concentrations of fungi, whether these fungi were either psychrophilic or mesophilic moulds.</li> <li>The soil respiration characteristics of the rate of carbon dioxide evolved, the proportion of organic carbon metabolized, or the temperature coefficient Q10.</li> <li>Application of NaFo to vegetated soil from the NaFo test area when applied bi?weekly at concentrations of less than 2000 mg NaFo/L did not appear to inhibit vegetative plant growth. Application of NaFo at greater concent respectively).</li> <li>When NaFo was applied in single applications, the inhibition of surface vegetative growth was directly proportional to the mass of NaFo applied. Application of 500 mg NaFo/Kg, which is equivalent to 84.5 g/m2, caused 50 percent inhibition. Concentrations of between 1000 and 3500 mg/kg (169 and 591.5 g/M2 respectively) caused approximately 75 percent inhibition.</li> <li>Results of the microbiological evaluation tests suggest that, except at unsusually high concentrations of NaFo tat are unlikely to be encountered during normal use as a de?icing agent, NaFo causes no deleterious disruptions in the in situ microbiological populations.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Conclusion</li> <li>The conclusions drawn are: The use of sodium formate as a de-icing agent applied during the winter of 1991-1992 at the Halifax international airport, appears to have had no effect on.</li> <li>The in situ concentration of total heterotrophic bacteria whether these were either aerobic or anerobic and either psychrophilic of mesophilic bacteria.</li> <li>The in situ concentrations of fungi, whether these fungi were either psychrophilic on mesophilic moulds.</li> <li>The soil respiration characteristics of the rate of carbon dioxide evolved, the proportion of organic carbon metabolized, or the temperature coefficient Q10.</li> <li>Application of NaFo to vegetated soil from the NaFo test area when applied bi?weekly at concentrations of less than 2000 mg NaFo/L did not appear to inhibit vegetative plant growth. Application of NaFo ta greater concent respectively).</li> <li>When NaFo was applied in single applications, the inhibition of surface vegetative growth was directly proportional to the mass of NaFo applied. Application of 500 mg NaFo/kg, which is equivalent to 84.5 g/m2, caused 50 percent inhibition. Concentrations of between 1000 and 3500 mg/kg (169 and 591.5 g/M2 respectively) caused approximately 75 percent inhibition.</li> <li>Results of the microbiological evaluation tests suggest that, except.at unusually high concentrations of NaFo that are unlikely to be encountered during normal use as a de?icing agent, NaFo causes no deleterious disruptions in the in situ microbiological populations.</li> </ul>	13.09.2001	
		<ul> <li>agent applied during the winter of 1991-1992 at the Halifax international airport, appears to have had no effect on.</li> <li>1. The in situ concentration of total heterotrophic bacteria whether these were either aerobic or anerobic and either psychrophilic of mesophilic bacteria.</li> <li>2. The in situ concentrations of fungi, whether these fungi were either psychrophilic or mesophilic moulds.</li> <li>3. The soil respiration characteristics of the rate of carbon dioxide evolved, the proportion of organic carbon metabolized, or the temperature coefficient Q10.</li> <li>4. Application of NaFo to vegetated soil from the NaFo test area when applied bi?weekly at concentrations of less than 2000 mg NaFo/L did not appear to inhibit vegetative plant growth. Application of NaFo at greater concentrations, specifically 3500 and 5000 mg NaFo/L did inhibit vegetative plant growth appreciably (approximately 65 percent and 70 percent respectively).</li> <li>5. When NaFo was applied in single applications, the inhibition of surface vegetative growth was directly proportional to the mass of NaFo applied. Application of 500 mg NaFo/kg, which is equivalent to 84.5 g/m2, caused 50 percent inhibition. Concentrations of between 1000 and 3500 mg/kg (169 and 591.5 g/M2 respectively) caused approximately 75 percent inhibition and 5000 mg/kg (845 g/m2) caused approximately 95 percent inhibition.</li> <li>6. Results of the microbiological evaluation tests suggest that, except.at unusually high concentrations of NaFo that are unlikely to be encountered during normal use as a de?icing agent, NaFo causes no</li> </ul>
	13.09.2001	

## 5. Toxicity

### 5.1.1 ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY

Type Species Strain Sex Number of animals	: LD50 : rat :	
Vehicle Value Method Year GLP	: > 3000 mg/kg bw OECD Guide-line 401 "Acute Oral Toxicity" : 1989 : no	
Test substance Remark	<ul> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>Information obtained from the IUCLID 2000 document. This is listed as an unpublished study by Hules dated 1989. The full report was not available for review.</li> </ul>	
Source Test substance Reliability	<ul> <li>Huels AG Marl</li> <li>Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7</li> <li>(4) not assignable Assigned as 4 since it relies on a secondary source (IUCLID 2000)</li> </ul>	
15.11.2001		(15)
Type Species Strain Sex	: LD50 : mouse : C57BL :	
Number of animals Vehicle Value Method	= = 4700 mg/kg bw	
Year GLP Test substance	1982 no data	
Remark	: C57BL/6Cs folic acid deficient (FAD) mice were used in this study. 12 weeks prior to LD50 determination, 6 mice were fed a diet supplemented with 3 mg of folic acid/kg diet. 6 mice received a diet without folic acid supplements. FAD–mice fed with a supplemented diet showed a slightly higher LD50 (4700 mg/kg) than mice fed a diet without folic acid supplements (LD50 3700 mg/kg).	
Source	: Huels AG Marl	
Test substance Reliability	<ul> <li>Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	
15.11.2001		(23)
Type Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle	: LD50 : mouse :	
Value Method Year	= 11200 mg/kg bw 1969	
GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>no</li> <li>Details not provided except that it was part of a series of studies of for</li> </ul>	mic
	acid and four formate salts and that 54 animals were used to determin	
	14 / 24	

Toxicity	ld 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
	LD50.
Result	:
Source	The LD50 range for sodium formate was given as 9,600 to 12,800 Huels G AG, Literature
Test substance	:
Reliability	Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7 : (2) valid with restrictions
Reliability	
	Assigned as 2 since it was published with the acute toxicity of several other
19.12.2001	formates and it fits the expected pattern. (19
1.2 ACUTE INHALATIO	ON TOXICITY
Туре	: LC0
Species	: rat
Strain	: Sprague-Dawley
Sex Number of animals	: male/female
Vehicle	
Exposure time	: 4 hour(s)
Value Method	: > .67 mg/l : other
Year	: 1990
GLP	: yes
Test substance	:
Method	<ul> <li>The solid test material was milled to a fine powder and placed in a glass fluidizing bed. The material was</li> </ul>
	aerosolized using a flow of 30 liters per minute and the
	dust from the bed was swept at a rate of 5 L/min into a 100
	liter plexiglass exposure chamber. The flow rate was 35
	L/min, providing an air change every 2.9 minutes. This was
	considered the maximum level of dust practically attainable with the equipment. It was determined gravimetrically to
	contain 0.67 mg/L (nominal concentration based on material
	loss was 10 mg/L) and have a MMAD of 5.4 microns with an
	Average Geometric Standard Deviation of 2.4. This aerosol
	was considered respirable. Five animals (males, 9 weeks of
	age, weight range 321-344 g; females 10 weeks of age, weight range 223-254 g) of each sex were exposed for 4 hours.
	Animals remained in the chamber for 30 minutes after the
	test material was cleared from the breathing air. Animals
	were doubly housed during the acclimation and post-exposure
	14-day observation period and singly housed during the
	exposure. Animals were observed at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 120, 180 and 240 minutes during the 4-hour exposure, then
	examined daily for 14 days. Surviving animals were
	sacrificed after 14 days and submitted to a gross necropsy.
	The chamber temperature was 25 degrees and the relative
	humidity ranges for 17% to 6% with the lower values in the latter part of the study (considered a result of the
	latter part of the study (considered a result of the dessicant activity of fine particles of sodium formate)
	Chamber concentration of test material was measured at nine
<b>–</b> <i>v</i>	intervals during the study and ranged from 0.5 to 0.86 mg/L.
Result	: There were no deaths during the exposure or the 14-day
	observation period. Adverse clinical sighs were minimal and consisted of decreased activity and eyes partly or fully
	closed during the exposure and lacrimation and nasal
	discharge but generally fully recovered within a week. There was a slight and transient reduction in body weight gain (or

. Toxicity	ld 141-53-7
	<b>Date</b> 19.12.2001
	weight loss) following the exposure but all animals continued to gain weight a few days after the exposure period:
	MEAN BODY WEIGHTS (grams) Exposure 0.67 mg/L (4 hours)
	DAY MALES FEMALES
	1 337 235 2 333 230
	5 344 236
	8 365 244 15 414 255
	There were no treatment-related findings at gross necropsy.
Source Test condition	<ul> <li>Celanese Ltd</li> <li>C-1261 (Sodium Formate), purity 99% active ingredient. The</li> </ul>
lest condition	test material was milled by Sturtevant Inc (Boston) on 8 November 1989 and then sent to BioDynamics.
Conclusion	: Exposure of rats to the highest practical aerosol
	concentration of test material, with a large portion in the respirable range, was not associated with adverse effect
	other than eye and nasal irritation. The acute inhalation LC50 is greater than 0.67 mg/L for a four-hour inhalation
15.11.2001	exposure. (6
.4 REPEATED DOS	ΕΤΟΧΙCITY
Species Sex	: rat : male/female
Sex Strain	: Wistar
Route of admin.	: drinking water
Exposure period	: Lifetime
Frequency of	: Continuous

Species	: rat
Sex	: male/female
Strain	: Wistar
Route of admin.	: drinking water
Exposure period	: Lifetime
Frequency of	: Continuous
treatment	
Post obs. period	· ·
Doses	: 1.0%
Control group	
Method	
Year	
GLP	no
Test substance	
Method	
Method	The study design encompassed both a five-generation and chronic study in Wistar rats with sodium formate at 1.0% in drinking water. Eight males and 24 females were in the original test group with four controls of each sex. Both microscopic and pathologic investigations were to be done upon natural death of the animals. At the time of this report the study had completed 1.5 years. Studies with calcium formate had been completed and were reported.
Remark	: Almost no specific details were given of the results of the 1% sodium formate multigeneration study. The indication in the summary was that adverse effects were not observed in the ongoing sodium formate drinking-
	16 / 24

	<b>Date</b> 19.12.2001
	water study. Due to the lack of details it cannot be confirmed that this was actually the case. In addition the pathological evaluation of the animals had not been conducted.
	A group of dogs was also administered 5 grams sodium formate per day in food. Adverse effects were not reported except that some of the dogs refused to eat the dosed food after a few days.
Result	:
	Specific results for the sodium formate portion of these rat chronic studies were not given except in the summary where it was mentioned that formate levels up to 1 gram per kilogram per day (the approximate dose level of the sodium formate study) were not harmful to health. Update results for these studies could not be found in the open literature.
Test substance	: Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7
Conclusion	: Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.
Reliability 18.11.2001	: (4) not assignable (18
10.11.2001	(10
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY	
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY	
_	
Туре	: Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay
System of testing	: Salmonella typhimurium TA 98, TA 100, TA 1535, TA 1537, TA1538
Concentration	: up to 5000 ug test substance/plate
Cycotoxic conc.	
Oycoloxic conc.	
Metabolic activation	. with and without
Metabolic activation	: negative
Metabolic activation Result	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc.	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> <li>with and without</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation Result	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation Result Method	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> <li>with and without</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation Result Method Year	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> <li>with and without</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> <li>with and without</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> <li>with and without</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> <li>with and without</li> <li>negative</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> <li>with and without</li> </ul>
Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Reliability 21.09.2001 Type System of testing Concentration Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>negative</li> <li>other: according to Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>(14</li> <li>Chromosomal aberration test</li> <li>CHO-K1 cells</li> <li>270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM)</li> <li>with and without</li> <li>negative</li> <li>The study was conducted basically in accord with the OECD 473 guideline</li> <li>"In Vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test". The only significant variation from this guideline was there were no positive controls reported. As the test materials produced positive results at acidic pH levels, the</li> </ul>

. Toxicity	ld 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
	Date 19.12.2001
	hundred metaphases were evaluated per concentration level. Cytotoxicity was assessed by counting surviving cells at the end of the exposure period.
	Initially cells were exposed to four concentrations of formic acid in the presence or absence of S9 and evaluated for aberrant cells. These results and the design and results of other studies are provided in "results".
Remark	: This study appears to be a well conducted investigation of the effect of pH on clastogenicity in general and specifically a study of the clastogenicity of formic acid, acetic acid, lactic acid and the sodium salt of these three acids The procedure closely followed OEDC guideline 473 and the results were published in a peer-reviewed journal. The reliability is further enhanced by the similar results on all three acids and the methodical approach to the problem and conduct of the studies.
Result	: The following dose related increase in aberrant cells was reported:
	Conc (mM) % Aberrant cells (-S9) (+S9) 6 - 1.0 8 2.0 2.0 10 4.0 20.5 12 15.9 toxic 14 toxic -
	In a second set of experiments the initial pH of the medium was adjusted to pH 5.8 or 6.0 with 14 or 12 mM formic acid it the absence or presence of S9 mix, respectively. These media were then neutralized with 1 M NaOH to pH 6.4 and a second group to pH 7.2. Results were as follows (cell data were read from a graph and are approximate)
	Activation %Aberrant cells pH6.0 pH6.4 pH7.2
	-S9 12 4 0
	+S9 33 2 3
	In a third set of studies, the concentration of the buffer system was increased by supplementation with 34 mM sodium bicarbonate in the
	In a third set of studies, the concentration of the buffer system was increased by supplementation with 34 mM sodium bicarbonate in the absence of S9. Under these conditions, there was no clastogenic activity of 10 or 20 mM formic acid; however, at 25mM 12% aberrant cells were reported and at 30 mM the formic acid was cytotoxic. The 25 and 30 mM concentrations also resulted in acidic pH levels. Similar studies were also conduced with acetic acid and lactic acid with the same results.
Test substance	In a third set of studies, the concentration of the buffer system was increased by supplementation with 34 mM sodium bicarbonate in the absence of S9. Under these conditions, there was no clastogenic activity o 10 or 20 mM formic acid; however, at 25mM 12% aberrant cells were reported and at 30 mM the formic acid was cytotoxic. The 25 and 30 mM concentrations also resulted in acidic pH levels. Similar studies were also conduced with acetic acid and lactic acid with the
Test substance Conclusion	In a third set of studies, the concentration of the buffer system was increased by supplementation with 34 mM sodium bicarbonate in the absence of S9. Under these conditions, there was no clastogenic activity of 10 or 20 mM formic acid; however, at 25mM 12% aberrant cells were reported and at 30 mM the formic acid was cytotoxic. The 25 and 30 mM concentrations also resulted in acidic pH levels. Similar studies were also conduced with acetic acid and lactic acid with the same results. Sodium formate produced by the neutralization of formic acid with sodium hydroxide or sodium bicarbonate. It was concluded that formic acid it not itself clastogenic to these cells but that the acidic conditions were responsible for the chromosome aberration observed. It can be further concluded the sodium formate (the product of neutralization of formic acid with sodium hydroxide or sodium bicarbonate)
	<ul> <li>In a third set of studies, the concentration of the buffer system was increased by supplementation with 34 mM sodium bicarbonate in the absence of S9. Under these conditions, there was no clastogenic activity or 10 or 20 mM formic acid; however, at 25mM 12% aberrant cells were reported and at 30 mM the formic acid was cytotoxic. The 25 and 30 mM concentrations also resulted in acidic pH levels.</li> <li>Similar studies were also conduced with acetic acid and lactic acid with the same results.</li> <li>Sodium formate produced by the neutralization of formic acid with sodium hydroxide or sodium bicarbonate.</li> <li>It was concluded that formic acid it not itself clastogenic to these cells but that the acidic conditions were responsible for the chromosome aberrations</li> </ul>

5. Toxicity	ld 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
	Date 19.12.2001
Туре	: Mouse lymphoma assay
System of testing	: mouse lymphoma cell line L5178Y TK+/-
Concentration	: Dose range: 4857-8714 mg/l with metabolic activation; 3571-10000 mg/l without metabolic activation.
Cycotoxic conc.	:
Metabolic activation	: with and without
Result	: positive
Method	
Year	:
GLP	:
Test substance	:
Remark	: This positive result is considered suspicious as no colony sizing data were given. The current OECD 476 (adopted 21 July 1977) guideline requires colony sizing to confirm the positive result. Likewise, the 1994 Mammalian cell gene mutation assays working group report (Mutat Res 1994 Jun;312(3):235-9) states that "Ability to recover small colonies must be convincingly demonstrated when using the L5178Y TK mouse lymphoma assay". In addition, the 1997 report by Coombs et al (The use of L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells to assess the mutagenic, clastogenic and aneugenic properties of chemicals. Mutagenesis 1995 Sep;10(5):403-8) also emphasizes the importance of colony sizing to the acceptability of mouse lymphoma results.
Conclusion	: No firm conclusion about the mutagenic potential can be drawn from this test
Reliability	: (3) invalid
15.11.2001	(8)

### 5.6 GENETIC TOXICITY 'IN VITRO'

Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Doses Result Method Year GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>Drosophila SLRL test</li> <li>Drosophila melanogaster</li> <li>male</li> <li>other: Oregon-K</li> <li>oral feed</li> <li>entire larval stage</li> <li>0.1% as formic acid</li> <li>negative</li> <li>1969</li> <li>no</li> <li>Oregon-K strain of Drosophila melanogaster were treated using dosed feed with 0.1% formic acid, or sodium formate produced by neutralization of 0.1% formic acid with glycine-NaOH buffer. The Mueller-5 technique was used to determine sex-linked lethals (M Demerec, Induction of mutations in Drosophila by debenzanthracene, Genetics 33:337-48, 1948). About 50 treated males were mated with M-5 virgins and every third day the males were transferred to two fresh virgins in order to produce three successive broods.</li> </ul>
Remark	<ul> <li>This study was similar in conduct to the current OECD 477 guideline regarding basic methodology; however, it is not clear that higher levels of sodium formate could not have been used to provide a more robust test of sodium formate genotoxicity.</li> </ul>
Result	Oregon-K strain of Drosophila melanogaster were treated using dosed feed with 0.1 % formic acid, or sodium formate produced by neutralization of 0.1% formic acid with glycine-NaOH buffer. The Mueller-5 technique was 19 / 24

. Toxicity	<b>Id</b> 14	1-53-7
-	Date 19	.12.2001
	used to determine sex-linked lethals (M Demerec, Induction Drosophila by debenzanthracene, Genetics 33:337-48, 194 treated males were mated with M-5 virgins and every third were transferred to two fresh virgins in order to produce the broods.	l8). About 50 day the males
	After feeding formic acid or sodium formate over the entire treated males mated with females gave the following result	
	Formic acid	
	Brood         # Chromosomes         Tested         % Sex-linked lethals           1         786         1.15           2         522         1.34           3         571         1.11	5
	Sodium Formate (only one brood tested)	
	Brood # Chromosomes Tested % Sex-linked lethals 2 544 0.38	3
	Controls	
	Brood # Chromosomes Tested % Sex-linked lethals all 2584 0.15	3
Test substance	The sodium formate sex-linked lethal was not different from while the formic acid results were stated as bring significan control as determined by the rank-correlation method.	
Test substance	: Sodium formate produced by neutralization of 0.1% formic glycine-NaOH buffer.	acid with
Conclusion	Sodium formate produced by neutralization of formic acid i the Drosophila SLRL test under these conditions; formic ac molar concentration produced positive results.	
Reliability 15.11.2001	: (2) valid with restrictions	(24)

### 5.8 TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION

#### 5.9 DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY/TERATOGENICITY

Species	: rat
Sex	:
Strain	: Sprague-Dawley
Route of admin.	: other: In vitro incubation using whole-embryo culture
Exposure period	: 48 hr
Frequency of	:
treatment	
Duration of test	: 48 hrs
Doses	: 200, 400, 800, 1200, 1600 ug/ml
Control group	:
Method	: other: In vitro, whole embryo culture

Toxicity	ld 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
Veer	. 1002
Year GLP	: 1993 : no
Test substance	
Remark	
	Other in vitro studies of sodium formate and formic acid on developing embryos have been published and are includid in the formic acid IUCLID document. This study was selected as representative. High concentrations of sodium formate have effects on the embryo in vitro. The significance of this to in vivo developmental toxicity after exposure to formate is not known.
Result	: The effect of the pH (8.13, 7.75, 7.00, 6.50 and 6.00) on the in vitro
	teratogenicity of sodium formate (0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.2 and 1.6 mg/ml) was investigated in rat embryo cultures (Sprague-Dawley rats, day 9.5 of gestation). Numerous embryonic developmental parameters showed that even the decreasing pH had an influence on embryonic development in th test system. In the highest concentration, the parameters crown-rump length (CRL), head length (HL), somite number (SN), developmental score (DS) and protein concentration were significantly reduced in the incubation medium regardless of the pH. At a test substance concentration of 0.8 and 1.2 mg/ml, these parameters were significantly reduced at a low pH. At a test substance concentration of 0.4 and 0.2 mg/ml, CRL, HL and the protein concentration were still significantly reduced at a pH of 6.5 in the medium. To sum up, a dependence of the embryonic developmental parameters and of embryolethality both on the formate concentration and on the pH in the incubation medium was demonstrated in this test system.
Test substance	:
	Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
18.11.2001	()
Species	: hen
Sex	
Strain	:
Route of admin.	: other
Exposure period	
Frequency of treatment	
Duration of test	:
Doses	5 mg, 10 mg or 20 mg/egg
Control group	: other: negative and positive (0.025 mg hydrocortisone /egg)
Method	
<b>_</b> <i>v</i>	Sodium formate at 5, 10 or 20 mg/Egg was injected into fertilized eggs.
Result	: Sodium formate did not cause deviations in chicken embryos under these conditions.
Conclusion	:
<b>.</b>	Sodium formate was not teratogenic under these conditions
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
18.11.2001	(18

### 5.11 EXPERIENCE WITH HUMAN EXPOSURE

Memo Method	: Experimental exposure to methylformate and its neurobehavioral effects.
	Groups of 20 subjects were exposed to 100 ppm methyl formate vapor or air (controls) for eight hours. At three periods during the exposure measurements were taken of mood, neurobehavioral performance, vision, and postural sway. At the beginning and end of exposure, spirometry and

5. Toxicity	ld 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
	odor perception thresholds were measured.
Result	<ul> <li>After exposure the subjective feeling of fatigue was significantly increased in the methyl formate exposed group. The EMG of the forehead during a difficult task showed a different development for the exposed group. Overall, there was a tendency for diminished performance on several tasks in the exposed group but it was not significant.</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>Methyl formate exposure at 100 ppm was associated with increased subjective fatigue, no other significant changes were found in battery of tests including mood, neurobehavioral performance, vision, and postural sway.</li> </ul>
<b>Reliability</b> 15.11.2001	: (2) valid with restrictions (21)

6. References         Id         141-53-7           Date         19.12.2001		
(1)	Analytical Biochemistry Laboratories Inc Acute Floe-Through Toxicity of Sodium Formate to Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss. Report #38312, Sponsored by Hoechst Celanese, March 16, 1990.	
(2)	Analytical Biochemistry Laboratories Inc, Columbia MO. Report #38314 Acute Flow- Through Toxicity of Sodium Formate (C-1261) to Daphnia magna. Hoechst-Celanese sponsor, March 13, 1990	
(3)	Analytical Biochemistry Laboratories Inc. Acute Flow-Through Toxicity of Sodium Formate to Fathead Minnow (Pimephales promelas). Report #38313, Sponsored by Hoechst Celanese, March 16, 1990.	
(4)	Analytical Biochemistry Laboratories Inc., Acute Toxicity of Sodium Formate to Selenastrum capricornutum Printz. Report #38315, Sponsored by Hoechst Celanese, March 16, 1990.	
(5)	Andrews JE; Ebron-McCoy M; Kavlock RJ; Rogers JM. Lowering pH increases embryonic sensitivity to formate in whole embryo culture. Toxicology In Vitro 7:757-62 (1993)	
(6)	BioDynamics Inc. An Acute Inhalationn Toxicity Study of c-1261 in the Rat. Project # 89-8232, Sponsored by Hoechst Celanese Corp , May 31 1990.	
(7)	Calculated using the Level III model contained in WPIWIN 3.05 Syracuse Research Corporation 2001.	
(8)	Cameron, T.P.: Short–Term Test Program sponsored by the devision of cancer etiology, National Cancer Institute: zitiert in CCRIS, Chemical Cancer Research Information System (1991)	
(9)	Dowden, B.F., Bennett, H.J. (1965): J. Water Pollut. Control	
(10)	Freeman, L. (1953): Sewage and Industrial Wastes 25, 845-848	
(11)	Huels investigation (unpublished) as cited in IUCLID 2000	
(12)	Huels investigation (unpublished) as cited in IUDLID 2000	
(13)	Huels investigation (unpublished), as cited in IUDLID 2000.	
(14)	Huels Report No. 88/210 (unpublished)	
(15)	Hules Report #1579, 1989, unpublished data (as cited in IUCLID 2000)	
(16)	Lyman et al. Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation Methods, American Chemical Society, Washington DC 1990	
(17)	Lyman et al. Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation Methods, American Chemical Society, Washington DC 1990.	
(18)	Malorny G. Acute and chronic toxicity of formic acid and its formates. Z. Ernaehrungswiss	

6. Referen	ICES Id 141-53-7 Date 19.12.2001
(19)	Malorny, G. (1969): Z. Ernaehrungswissenschaft 9, 332-339
(20)	Morita, T. et al. Evlauation of clastogenicity of formic acid, acetic acid and lactic acid on cultured mammalian cells Mut. Res. 240, 195-202 (1990)
(21)	Sethre T, Laubli T, Berode M, Hangartner M, Krueger H. Experimental exposure to methylformate and its neurobehavioral effects. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2000 Aug;73(6):401-9.
(22)	Sicherheitsdatenblatt Huels AG vom 04.10.93
(23)	Smith, E.N., Taylor, R.T. (1982): Toxicology 25, 271-287 (as cited in IUCLID 2000)
(24)	Stumm-Tegethoff, B.F.A.: Theor. Appl. Genetics 39, 330-334 (1969)
(25)	Supported by Merck Index listing of "soluble in about 1.3 parts water and Handbook of Chemistry and Physics Listing of v. sol.
(26)	Transport Canada, Environmental Impact from the use of Sodium Formate at Halifax International Airport. Volume I: Final Report. Prepared by Nolan Davis & Associates November 1992

# IUCLID

## **Data Set**

Existing Chemical	: ID: 544-17-2
CAS No.	: 544-17-2
EINECS Name	: calcium diformate
EINECS No.	: 208-863-7
TSCA Name	: Formic acid, calcium salt
Molecular Formula	: CH2O2.1/2Ca
	/ /
Drinting data	. 20 12 2001

Printing date	: 20.12.2001
Revision date	:
Date of last Update	: 20.12.2001

### 1.0.1 OECD AND COMPANY INFORMATION

Type Name Partner Date		lead organisation American Chemistry Council, Formates Panel
Street Town Country	: :	1300 Wilson Boulevard 22209 Arlington, VA United States
Phone Telefax Telex Cedex	:	
<b>Source</b> 06.12.2000	:	
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex		cooperating company BASF Corporation

ld 544-17-2 Date 20.12.2001

<b>Source</b> 19.12.2001	: Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex Source 06.12.2000	<ul> <li>cooperating company</li> <li>Bayer Corporation</li> <li>100 Bayer Road</li> <li>15205-9741 Pittsburgh, PA</li> <li>United States</li> </ul>
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex Source 19.12.2001	cooperating company Celanese Ltd
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex 19.12.2001	cooperating company GEO Specialty Chemicals
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex Source 06.12.2000	<ul> <li>cooperating company</li> <li>Hercules Incorporated</li> <li>1313 North Market Street</li> <li>19894-001 Wilmington, DE</li> </ul>

### 1.1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION

Pi Pi Ri	ubstance type hysical status urity emark 0.12.2001	: :	organometallic solid % w/w Typical purity > 98%. Purity of material used in the studies varies depending on the source.
1.2	SYNONYMS		
S	alcium formate <b>ource</b> a <b>g</b> 5.11.2001	:	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Critical study for SIDS endpoint
S	ormic acid, calcium salt <b>ource</b> 5.11.2001	:	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh

#### **Id** 544-17-2 **!.** Physico-Chemical Data Date 20.12.2001 **MELTING POINT** 2.1 : > 300 ° C Value Sublimation other: Handbook value Method : Year GLP Test substance : Source : Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions 11.12.2000 (13)Value : >= 800 ° C : yes at °C Decomposition Source : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh 11.12.2000 (1)2.2 **BOILING POINT** Remark : n.a. 20.12.2001 2.3 DENSITY Туре : relative density Value : 2.02 at 19° C Method other: Handbook value : Year GLP Test substance : Source : Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions 16.11.2001 (14)Туре : bulk density 1150 kg/m3 at ° C Value : Bayer AG Leverkusen Source : Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh 11.12.2000 (1)2.4 VAPOUR PRESSURE Remark : This material is a solid salt and as such is considered to have negligible vapor pressure. It should be kept in mind, however, that it is in equilibrium with formic acid in solution and volatilization from solution is therefore pH dependent Conclusion Material considered to be non-volatile as a dry solid. 20.12.2001

. Physico-Chem	Date 20.12.2001
2.5 PARTITION COE	EFFICIENT
Log pow	: -2.47 at ° C
Method	other (calculated):KOWWIN (v1.65)
Year	: 1999
GLP	: no
Test substance	:
Remark	: n.a. (salt)
	This value is also pH dependent due to equlibrium with formic acid which has a log Kow of about -0.50
Source	
Reliability	. (2) valid with restrictions
20.12.2001	. (2) valid with restrictions (1
2.6.1 WATER SOLUB	
2.0.1 WATER SOLUB	
Value	: 160 g/l at 20 ° C
Qualitative	:
Pka	: at 25 ° C
PH	: at and °C
Source	: Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Reliability	: (4) not assignable
16.11.2001	(1
Remark	: Listed in the Merch Index as "Soluble in water"
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
16.11.2001	. (2) valid with restrictions (14
10.11.2001	()-
Value	: ca. 255 g/l at 25 ° C
Qualitative	:
Pka	: at 25 ° C
PH	: at and °C
Method	Estimation using EPIWIN 3.05 with default inputs
Remark	<ul> <li>There will be a pH dependency on the Calcium solubility. At basic pH level the calcium is expected to partially precipitate from solution as calcium hydroxide.</li> </ul>
Result	: Water solubility estimated at 1.96 moles per liter. Based on a molecular
Toot outstance	weight of 130 this is 255 g/L.
Test substance	: Calcium Formate, CAS Number 544-17-2
Reliability 16.11.2001	: (2) valid with restrictions
	(*
2.12 ADDITIONAL RE	EMARKS
Remark	: pH value: ca. 8 at 1 g/l water
Source	: Bayer AG Leverkusen
JULICE	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
28.05.1994	Bayer Corporation Philippingin
_0.001	(

3. Environmenta	al Fate and Pathways	ld 544-17-2 Date 20.12.2001
3.1.1 PHOTODEGRA	ADATION	
Type Rel. intensity Remark Reliability 14.11.2001	<ul> <li>other</li> <li>based on Intensity of Sunlight</li> <li>Since this material is not volatile, the only needs to be considered is direct photolysis photolysis is not possible because this matchromophore absorbing at a wavelength of presence of such a chromophore is a need:</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>	s at the earths surface. Direct aterial does not have a of 290 nm or above, and the
3.1.2 STABILITY IN	WATER	
<b>Type</b> t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 <b>Remark</b> <b>Reliability</b> 14.11.2001	<ul> <li>abiotic</li> <li>at degree C</li> <li>at degree C</li> <li>at degree C</li> <li>Disassociates in water to clacium ion and considered stable in water. A carboxylic a of hydrolysis reactions.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>	
3.3.2 DISTRIBUTION	I	
Media Method Year Remark	<ul> <li>air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water</li> <li>Calculation according Mackay, Level III</li> <li>1999</li> <li>PROPERTIES OF: Calcium formate</li> <li></li></ul>	7
	Biomass:water part coef: 0.800678 Temperature [deg C] 25 Biodeg rate c(h^-1),T1/2 biomass (h), in 2 -Primary tank 0.04 15.99 10000. -Aeration tank 0.04 15.99 10000.	00 00
Result	: Concentration Half-Life Emissior (percent) (hr) (kg/hr)	
	Air 0.141 1e+005 1000 Water 45.4 360 1000 Soil 54.4 360 1000 Sed 0.0757 1.44e+003 0	

## 3. Environmental Fate and Pathways

ld 544-17-2 Date 20.12.2001

Persistence Time: 419 hr Reaction Time: 521 hr Advection Time: 2.14e+003 hr Percent Reacted: 80.4 Percent Advected: 19.6 Half-Lives (hr), (Biowin (Ultimate) and Aopwin): Air: 1e+005 Water: 360 Soil: 360 Sediment: 1440 -Biowin estimate: 2.912 (weeks)		(atm) (percent) (percent) Air 3.31e-012 0.000408 0.588 Water 9.6e-014 6.6 19 Soil 4.26e-012 43.8 0 Sed 8e-014 0.0152 0.000633	
Air:       100         Water:       1000         Sediment       1440         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions	Reliability 20.12.2001	Reaction Time: 521 hr Advection Time: 2.14e+003 hr Percent Reacted: 80.4 Percent Advected: 19.6 Half-Lives (hr), (Biowin (Ultimate) and Aopwin): Air: 1e+005 Water: 360 Soil: 360 Sediment: 1440 -Biowin estimate: 2.912 (weeks) Advection Times (hr): Air: 100 Water: 1000 Sediment 1440 : (2) valid with restrictions	12
	20.12.2001	ť	12
20.12.2001	5 BIODEGRADAT	ΓΙΟΝ	
· ·			
3.5 BIODEGRADATION Type : aerobic	Туре		
3.5 BIODEGRADATION Type : aerobic Inoculum : predominantly domestic sewage Contact time :	Type Inoculum Contact time	: predominantly domestic sewage	
3.5 BIODEGRADATION Type : aerobic Inoculum : predominantly domestic sewage Contact time : Degradation : > 75 % after 20 day Result :	Type Inoculum Contact time Degradation Result	: predominantly domestic sewage	
3.5 BIODEGRADATION Type : aerobic Inoculum : predominantly domestic sewage Contact time : Degradation : > 75 % after 20 day Result : Deg. Product : Method : OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"	Type Inoculum Contact time Degradation Result Deg. Product	<ul> <li>predominantly domestic sewage</li> <li>&gt; 75 % after 20 day</li> <li>OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"</li> </ul>	
3.5 BIODEGRADATION Type : aerobic Inoculum : predominantly domestic sewage Contact time : Degradation : > 75 % after 20 day Result : Deg. Product : Method : OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test" Year : 1974	Type Inoculum Contact time Degradation Result Deg. Product Method Year	<ul> <li>predominantly domestic sewage</li> <li>&gt; 75 % after 20 day</li> <li>OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"</li> <li>1974</li> </ul>	
3.5 BIODEGRADATION Type : aerobic Inoculum : predominantly domestic sewage Contact time : Degradation : > 75 % after 20 day Result : Deg. Product : Method : OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test" Year : 1974 GLP : no Test substance :	Type Inoculum Contact time Degradation Result Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>predominantly domestic sewage</li> <li>&gt; 75 % after 20 day</li> <li>OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"</li> <li>1974</li> <li>no</li> </ul>	
3.5       BIODEGRADATION         Type       :         Inoculum       :         predominantly domestic sewage         Contact time       :         Degradation       :         Pegradation       :         Peg. Product       :         Method       :         OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"         Year       :         1974         GLP       :         rest substance       :         Remark       :         test concentration: 24 mg/l related to TS	Type Inoculum Contact time Degradation Result Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	<ul> <li>predominantly domestic sewage</li> <li>&gt; 75 % after 20 day</li> <li>OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"</li> <li>1974</li> <li>no</li> <li>test concentration: 24 mg/l related to TS</li> </ul>	
3.5       BIODEGRADATION         Type       : aerobic         Inoculum       : predominantly domestic sewage         Contact time       :         Degradation       : > 75 % after 20 day         Result       :         Deg. Product       :         Method       : OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"         Year       : 1974         GLP       : no         Test substance       :         Remark       : test concentration: 24 mg/l related to TS         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh	Type Inoculum Contact time Degradation Result Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Source	<ul> <li>predominantly domestic sewage</li> <li>&gt; 75 % after 20 day</li> <li>OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"</li> <li>1974</li> <li>no</li> <li>test concentration: 24 mg/l related to TS</li> <li>Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh</li> </ul>	
3.5       BIODEGRADATION         Type       : aerobic         Inoculum       : predominantly domestic sewage         Contact time       :         Degradation       : > 75 % after 20 day         Result       :         Deg. Product       :         Method       : OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"         Year       : 1974         GLP       : no         Test substance       :         Remark       : test concentration: 24 mg/l related to TS         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Test substance       :         Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7	Type Inoculum Contact time Degradation Result Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Source Test substance	<ul> <li>predominantly domestic sewage</li> <li>&gt; 75 % after 20 day</li> <li>OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"</li> <li>1974</li> <li>no</li> <li>test concentration: 24 mg/l related to TS</li> <li>Bayer AG Leverkusen</li> <li>Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh</li> <li>Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7</li> </ul>	
3.5       BIODEGRADATION         Type       : aerobic         Inoculum       : predominantly domestic sewage         Contact time       :         Degradation       : > 75 % after 20 day         Result       :         Deg. Product       :         Method       : OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"         Year       : 1974         GLP       : no         Test substance       :         Remark       : test concentration: 24 mg/l related to TS         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh	Type Inoculum Contact time Degradation Result Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Source Test substance	<ul> <li>predominantly domestic sewage</li> <li>&gt; 75 % after 20 day</li> <li>OECD Guide-line 301 D "Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test"</li> <li>1974</li> <li>no</li> <li>test concentration: 24 mg/l related to TS</li> <li>Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh</li> <li>Sodium Formate, CAS Number 141-53-7</li> <li>(4) not assignable Assigned score of 4 (not assignable) since not enough information was</li> </ul>	

## 4. Ecotoxicity

### 4.1 ACUTE/PROLONGED TOXICITY TO FISH

Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring LC0 Method Year GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>static</li> <li>Brachydanio rerio (Fish, fresh water)</li> <li>96 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>no</li> <li>&gt;= 1000</li> <li>other: Letale Wirkung beim Zebrabaerbling, UBA-Verfahrensvorschlag, Mai 1984, Letale Wirkung beim Zebrabaerbling Brachydanio rerio LC0, LC50, LC100, 48-96h</li> <li>1988</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: calcium formate: technical grade</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>Translation: Lethal effect with the Zebra barbling, UBA suggested procedure, May 1984, lethal effect with the Zebra barbling Brachydanio rerio LC0, LC50, LC100, 48-96h</li> <li>10 Zebrafish were tested at each of the following concentrations: 12.5, 100, 1000 mg/l. There was no mortality at any concentration. The parameters were checked every 24 hrs.</li> </ul>
Source Test condition	: Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Reliability Flag 16.11.2001	<ul> <li>Dechlorinated tap water</li> <li>Water hardness: approx. 15 degrees dh</li> <li>Ca: Mg: 4:1</li> <li>Acid capacity Ks 4.3: 0.1 ±0.02 mmol/l</li> <li>pH: 6.3-6.8</li> <li>Oxygen saturation greater than or equal to 90%</li> <li>(1) valid without restriction</li> <li>Critical study for SIDS endpoint</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring LC50 Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Source Test substance Reliability 16.11.2001	<ul> <li>other</li> <li>96 hour(s)</li> <li>g/l</li> <li>= 1540</li> <li>other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)</li> <li>1999</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: molecular structure</li> <li>The LC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).</li> <li>Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh</li> <li>Calcium Formate, CAS Number 544-17-2</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring	<ul> <li>(12)</li> <li>static</li> <li>Leuciscus idus (Fish, fresh water)</li> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>no</li> </ul>

LC0       : >= 1000         Method       :         other: Bestimmung der akuten Wirkung von Stoffen auf Fische.         Arbeitskreis "Fischtest" im Hauptausschuss "Detergentien"         (15.10.73)         Year       : 1974         GLP       : no         Test substance       :         Method       :         Translation: Determination of the acute effect of materials on fish. Word group "fish tests" in the main committee " Detergents " (15.10.73)         Source       :         Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (4) not assignable         16.11.2001       : (15.00.200         ************************************	-
Method       :       other: Bestimmung der akuten Wirkung von Stoffen auf Fische. Arbeitskreis "Fischtest" im Hauptausschuss "Detergentien" (15.10.73)         Year       :       1974         GLP       :       no         Test substance       :	-
other: Bestimmung der akuten Wirkung von Stoffen auf Fische.         Arbeitskreis "Fischtest" im Hauptausschuss "Detergentien"         (15, 10, 73)         Year       : 1974         GLP       : no         Test substance       :         Method       :         Translation: Determination of the acute effect of materials on fish. Word group "fish tests" in the main committee " Detergents " (15.10.73)         Source       :         Bayer AG Leverkusen       Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh       :         16.11.2001       :         ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         Type       : other         Species       : other         Species       : other         Source       : anterior (all nonitoring)         :       : etal hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         :       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The ECS0 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : Other algae: Green     <	_
Arbeitskreis "Fischtest" im Hauptausschuss "Detergentien" (15.10.73) Year : 1974 GLP : no Test substance : Method : Source : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (4) not assignable 16.11.2001 CACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES Type : other Species : other: Daphnid Exposure period : 48 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 cite : Tober Species : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : other Species : other algae: Green Endpoint : other Species : other algae: Green Endpoint : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 584 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no ToxICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE	-
Year       : 1974         GLP       : no         Test substance       :         Method       :         Source       :         Bayer AG Leverkusen       Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (4) not assignable         16.11.2001       :         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         Type       : other         Species       : other: Daphnid         Exposure period       : 48 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         ECS0       : = 1210         Method       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The ECS0 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : Other         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EcS0       :: other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Species <td>-</td>	-
GLP       :       no         Test substance       :         Method       :         Source       :         Bayer AG Leverkusen       Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       :         16.11.2001       :         Z       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         Type       :         Species       :         other:       Daphnid         Exposure period       :         4 8 hour(s)       Unit         90       :         91       :         Actificial monitoring       :         12       :         Prove       :         0 ther:       Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       :         1999       :         GLP       :         ino       :         Test substance       :         :       :         Source       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       : <td>_</td>	_
Test substance       :         Method       :         Translation: Determination of the acute effect of materials on fish. Worgroup "fish tests" in the main committee " Detergents " (15.10.73)         Source       :         Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (4) not assignable         16.11.2001         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         Type       : other         Species       : other: Daphnid         Exposure period       : 48 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 1210         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer AG Leverkusen       : Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh       : Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh       : Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         : B	_
Method       :         Translation: Determination of the acute effect of materials on fish. Wo group "fish tests" in the main committee " Detergents " (15.10.73)         Source       :         Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (4) not assignable         16.11.2001         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         Type       : other         Species       : other         Species       : other         Source       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 1210         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : Other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other         Bayer AG Leverkusen       :         Bayer AG Leverkusen       :         B	-
Translation: Determination of the acute effect of materials on fish. Work group "fish tests" in the main committee " Detergents " (15.10.73)         Source       :         Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (4) not assignable         16.11.2001         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         Type       : other         Species       : other: Daphnid         Exposure period       : 48 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 1210         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : Other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method	_
source is a group "fish tests" in the main committee " Detergents " (15.10.73) Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (4) not assignable 16.11.2001 <b>ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES</b> <b>ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES</b> <b>Type</b> : other Species : other: Daphnid Exposure period : 48 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 1210 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure Remark : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l). Source : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions 13.02.2001 <b>Source :</b> other algae: Green Endpoint : other Exposure period : 96 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 584 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure	(1
Source       ::       Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       ::       (4) not assignable         16.11.2001       ::       (4) not assignable         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC SUPERTEBRATES         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC SUPERTEBRATES         2       Method       :         2       at the super addition of the super addition	(1
Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (4) not assignable 16.11.2001 <b>2 ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES</b> <b>7ype</b> : other Species : other: Daphnid Exposure period : 48 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 1210 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure Remark : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l). Source : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions 13.02.2001 <b>3 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE</b> Species : other algae: Green Endpoint : other Exposure period : 96 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 584 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure	(1
Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (4) not assignable 16.11.2001 2 ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES Type : other Species : other: Daphnid Exposure period : 48 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 1210 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure Remark : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l). Source : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions 13.02.2001 3 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE Species : other algae: Green Endpoint : other Exposure period : 96 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 584 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure	(1
Reliability       : (4) not assignable         16.11.2001         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         2       Active and the investment of	(1
16.11.2001         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         2       ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES         2       Acute toxicity to acute the state of the s	(1
Type       : other         Species       : other: Daphnid         Exposure period       : 48 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 1210         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001         3       TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Type : other Species : other: Daphnid Exposure period : 48 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : ECS0 : = 1210 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure Remark : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l). Source : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions 13.02.2001 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE Species : other algae: Green Endpoint : other Exposure period : 96 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : ECS0 : = 584 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure	
Species       : other: Daphnid         Exposure period       : 48 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 1210         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       :         3       TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Species       : other: Daphnid         Exposure period       : 48 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 1210         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       :         3       TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Species       : other: Daphnid         Exposure period       : 48 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 1210         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       :         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Exposure period       : 48 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 1210         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : Other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         Year       : 9/l	
Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 1210 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure Remark : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l). Source : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions 13.02.2001 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE Species : other algae: Green Endpoint : other Exposure period : 96 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 584 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure	
Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       :       = 1210         Method       :       other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       :       1999         GLP       :       no         Test substance       :       other TS: molecular structure         Remark       :       The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       :       Bayer AG Leverkusen         Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh       Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       :       (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       :       (2) valid with restrictions         Species       :       other algae: Green         Endpoint       :       other         Exposure period       :       96 hour(s)         Unit       :       g/l         Analytical monitoring       :       EC50         :       = 584         Method       :       other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       :       1999         GLP       :       no         Test substance       :       other TS: molecular structure	
EC50       : = 1210         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         3       TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : Other algae: Green Endpoint         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure         Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : (2) valid with restrictions         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Test substance       :       other TS: molecular structure         Remark       :       The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       :       Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       :       (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       :       (2) valid with restrictions         TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       :       other algae: Green         Endpoint       :       other         Exposure period       :       96 hour(s)         Unit       :       :         EC50       :       = 584         Method       :       other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       :       1999         GLP       :       no         Test substance       :       other TS: molecular structure	
Remark       : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : (2) valid with restrictions         TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
g/l).         Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : (2) valid with restrictions         3       TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Source       : Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh         Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001       : (2) valid with restrictions         3       TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Reliability 13.02.2001       : (2) valid with restrictions         3       TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Reliability       : (2) valid with restrictions         13.02.2001         3       TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
13.02.2001         3 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE         Species       : other algae: Green         Endpoint       : other         Exposure period       : 96 hour(s)         Unit       : g/l         Analytical monitoring       :         EC50       : = 584         Method       : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)         Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
3 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE Species : other algae: Green Endpoint : other Exposure period : 96 hour(s) Unit : g/l Analytical monitoring : EC50 : = 584 Method : other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e) Year : 1999 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: molecular structure	(12
Species:other algae: GreenEndpoint:otherExposure period:96 hour(s)Unit:g/lAnalytical monitoring:EC50:= 584Method:other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)Year:1999GLP:noTest substance:other TS: molecular structure	(
Endpoint:otherExposure period:96 hour(s)Unit:g/lAnalytical monitoring:EC50:= 584Method:other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)Year:1999GLP:noTest substance:other TS: molecular structure	
Endpoint:otherExposure period:96 hour(s)Unit:g/lAnalytical monitoring:EC50:= 584Method:other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)Year:1999GLP:noTest substance:other TS: molecular structure	
Endpoint:otherExposure period:96 hour(s)Unit:g/lAnalytical monitoring:EC50:= 584Method:other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)Year:1999GLP:noTest substance:other TS: molecular structure	
Unit:g/lAnalytical monitoring:EC50:= 584Method:other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)Year:1999GLP:noTest substance:other TS: molecular structure	
Analytical monitoring:EC50:= 584Method:other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)Year:1999GLP:noTest substance:other TS: molecular structure	
EC50: = 584Method: other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)Year: 1999GLP: noTest substance: other TS: molecular structure	
Method:other: Calculated (ECOSAR Program) (v0.99e)Year:1999GLP:noTest substance:other TS: molecular structure	
Year       : 1999         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: molecular structure	
Test substance : other TS: molecular structure	
<b>Remark</b> : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160	
<b>Remark</b> : The EC50 value is greater than the water solubility (160 g/l).	
Source : Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh	
<b>Reliability</b> : (2) valid with restrictions	
12.12.2000	
	(12
4 TOXICITY TO MICROORGANISMS E.G. BACTERIA	(12
	(12

4. Ecotoxicity	ld 544-17-2 Date 20.12.2001
Species	: activated sludge
Exposure period	: 3 hour(s)
Unit	: mg/l
Analytical monitoring	: no
EC50	: > 10000
Method	: other: Test for Inhibition of Oxygen Consumption by Activated Sludge, ISO 8192
Year	: 1988
GLP	: no
Test substance	
Source	: Bayer AG Leverkusen
	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Test condition	: direct weight
25.05.1994	(1)

## 5. Toxicity

### 5.1.1 ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY

Туре	: LD50
Species	: rat
Strain	
Sex	: male
Number of animals	: 60
Vehicle	: water
Value	: = 3050 mg/kg bw
Method	: other: Fink and Hund, Arzneim Forsch. 15, 1965, p. 624
Year	: 1965
GLP	: no
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4
Remark	: There were 10 animals used at each dose level. The doses
	were: 1.0 g/kg, 2.0 g/kg, 3.1 g/kg, 3.5 g/kg, 3.8 g/kg and
-	4.0 g/kg.
Source	: Bayer AG Leverkusen
	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
12.12.2000	(8)
_	
Туре	: LD50
Species	: rat
Strain	: no data
Sex	:
Number of animals	
Vehicle	: CMC
Value	: ca. 2560 mg/kg bw
Method	
Year	: 1979
GLP	:
Test substance	
Result	: Clinical observations were reduced activity, reduced grip strength,
	cyanosis, reduced pain reflex, disturbances of co-ordination, and
	anomalies of position. Dose-response information is not available.
	Animals dying showed hemorrhage of the stomach and intestinal mucosa.
	Surviving animals were without adverse necropsy findings at the end of the
•	14-day observation period.
Source	: Bayer AG Leverkusen
Testevileteves	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Test substance	Calcium Formate, CAS Number 544-17-2
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
16.11.2001	(4)
<b>T</b>	
Туре	: LD50
Species	: rat
Strain	
Sex	
Number of animals	
Vehicle	= 2050 mether has
Value	: = 2650 mg/kg bw
Source	: Bayer AG Leverkusen
Deliebil!	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Reliability	: (4) not assignable
16.11.2001	(11)

	Date 20.12.2001
Туре	: LD50
Species	: mouse
Strain	:
Sex	:
Number of animals	:
Vehicle	:
Value	: = 1920 mg/kg bw
Source	: Bayer AG Leverkusen
Delle bille	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Reliability 16.11.2001	: (4) not assignable (1
.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY	Y, OTHER ROUTES
Typo	: LD50
Type Species	: mouse
Species	
Sex	
Number of animals	
Vehicle	
Route of admin.	: i.v.
Exposure time	:
Value	: = 154 mg/kg bw
Source	: Bayer AG Leverkusen
Delle bille	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Reliability 16.11.2001	: (4) not assignable (1
.4 REPEATED DOS	
Species	: rat
Species Sex	: rat : male/female
Species Sex Strain	: rat : male/female : Wistar
Species Sex Strain Route of admin.	: rat : male/female : Wistar : drinking water
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period	: rat : male/female : Wistar : drinking water : Lifelong
Species Sex Strain Route of admin.	: rat : male/female : Wistar : drinking water
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of	: rat : male/female : Wistar : drinking water : Lifelong : Daily :
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses	: rat : male/female : Wistar : drinking water : Lifelong : Daily : : : 200 mg/kg/day
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group	<ul> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> <li>Daily</li> <li>200 mg/kg/day</li> <li>yes, concurrent vehicle</li> </ul>
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group NOAEL	<ul> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> <li>Daily</li> <li>200 mg/kg/day</li> <li>yes, concurrent vehicle</li> <li>= 200 mg/kg</li> </ul>
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group NOAEL Method	<ul> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> <li>Daily</li> <li>200 mg/kg/day</li> <li>yes, concurrent vehicle</li> </ul>
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group NOAEL Method Year	<ul> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> <li>Daily</li> <li>200 mg/kg/day</li> <li>yes, concurrent vehicle</li> <li>= 200 mg/kg</li> <li>other</li> </ul>
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group NOAEL Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> <li>Daily</li> <li>200 mg/kg/day</li> <li>yes, concurrent vehicle</li> <li>= 200 mg/kg</li> </ul>
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group NOAEL Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> <li>Daily</li> <li>200 mg/kg/day</li> <li>yes, concurrent vehicle</li> <li>= 200 mg/kg</li> <li>other</li> </ul>
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group NOAEL Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> <li>Daily</li> <li>200 mg/kg/day</li> <li>yes, concurrent vehicle</li> <li>= 200 mg/kg</li> <li>other</li> <li>no</li> </ul>
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group NOAEL Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> <li>Daily</li> <li>200 mg/kg/day</li> <li>yes, concurrent vehicle</li> <li>= 200 mg/kg</li> <li>other</li> </ul>

Remark       :         Limitations to this study include the lack of data presentation for dose group, the limited description of the pathology and histopa organ list and the modest size of the concurrent control group.         Result       :         Bodyweights and bodyweight gains of treated and control anima similar. Microscopic and histological investigation of lung, splee liver and kidneys showed no suspect findings. Occasional small action in reticuloendothelium and reticulo-histocyto elements of spleen and stomach lymph nodes were reported. Two benigns	r the 0.4%
Result       Limitations to this study include the lack of data presentation for dose group, the limited description of the pathology and histopa organ list and the modest size of the concurrent control group.         Result       :         Bodyweights and bodyweight gains of treated and control anima similar. Microscopic and histological investigation of lung, splee liver and kidneys showed no suspect findings. Occasional smal action in reticuloendothelium and reticulo-histocyto elements of	r the 0.4%
Result : Bodyweights and bodyweight gains of treated and control anima similar. Microscopic and histological investigation of lung, splee liver and kidneys showed no suspect findings. Occasional small action in reticuloendothelium and reticulo-histocyto elements of	
tumors were seen in old animals and were considered not relate	n, stomach I phagocyti Iung, spontaneou
<ul> <li>substance administration.</li> <li>The study at 0.4% calcium formate had been going on for abour and it was reported that no disturbances (presumably mortality, weight, fertility, or developmental toxicity) had been observed up point. Pathology and histopathology were in progress pending death of the test animals.</li> <li>Source : Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh</li> <li>Test substance : Calcium Formate, CAS Number 544-17-2</li> </ul>	body p to this
Reliability: (2) valid with restrictions18.11.2001	(10
Concentration: up to 12,500 ug test substance per plateCycotoxic conc.: greater than 12,500 ug/plate in all strainsMetabolic activation: with and withoutResult: negativeMethod: OECD Guide-line 471 "Genetic Toxicology: Salmonella thyphim Reverse Mutation Assay"Year: 1983GLP: yesTest substance: other TS: purity > 99%Source: Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation PittsburghTest condition:	nurium
20, 100, 500, 2500, and 12,500 ug/plate. Positive controls: Sodium azide (only TA 1535) Nitrofurantoin (only TA 100) 4-Nitro-1,2-phenylene diamine (only TA 1537 and TA 98) 2-Aminoanthracene Solvents used: Deionized water was used with calcium	
formate and DMSO was used with the positive controls. S9 mix was used for the stimulation of mammalian metabolism. It was made from the livers of adult male Sprague Dawley rats.	
<b>Reliability</b> : (1) valid without restriction 07.11.2001	(2

# 5. Toxicity

#### 5.7 CARCINOGENITY

Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post. obs. period Doses Result Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>rat <ul> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>3 years</li> <li>daily</li> </ul> </li> <li>no <ul> <li>0.2% (3 years), 0.4 % (2 years, pathology not reported)</li> <li>yes, concurrent vehicle</li> </ul> </li> <li>The study design encompassed both a five-generation and chronic study in Wistar rats with calcium formate at 0.2% in drinking water. Eight males and 24 females were in the original test group with four controls of each sex. Both microscopic and pathologic investigations were done upon natural death of the animals.</li> <li>An additional series of experiments using 0.4% calcium formate in the drinking water was also in progress and was in the second year and second generation at the time of this publication, histopathology results were not available for this dose level.</li> <li>Limitations to this study include the lack achieving a maximum tolerated dose, the modest size of the male F1 group, and the size of the concurrent</li> </ul>
Result Source Test substance Conclusion	<ul> <li>control group.</li> <li>No of animals: 8 males and 24 females per dose in the F1 group.</li> <li>Bodyweights and bodyweight gains of treated and control animals were similar. Microscopic and histological investigation of lung, spleen, stomach, liver and kidneys showed no suspect findings. Occasional small phagocytic action in reticuloendothelium and reticulo-histocyto elements of lung, spleen and stomach lymph nodes were reported. Two benign spontaneous tumors were seen in old animals and were considered not related to test substance administration.</li> <li>The study at 0.4% calcium formate had been going on for about two years and it was reported that no disturbances (presumably mortality, body weight, fertility, or developmental toxicity) had been observed up to this point. Pathology and histopathology were in progress pending natural death of the test animals.</li> <li>Bayer AG Leverkusen Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh</li> <li>Calcium Formate, CAS Number 544-17-2</li> <li>Signs of a chronic intoxication could not be detected by</li> </ul>
<b>Reliability</b> 18.11.2001	<ul><li>macroscopic or histopathological examinations. There was no increased tumor-rate.</li><li>(2) valid with restrictions (10)</li></ul>

. Toxicity	ld 544-17-2 Date 20.12.2001
.8 TOXICITY TO RE	PRODUCTION
Туре	: Fertility
Species	: rat
Sex	: male/female
Strain	: Wistar
Route of admin.	: drinking water
Exposure period	: 2-5 generations
Frequency of	: daily
treatment	
Premating exposure	
period	
Male	: 6 weeks
Female	: 6 weeks
Duration of test	: lifelong
Doses Control group	: 0.2 % (5 generations); or 0.4 % (2 generations)
Control group NOAEL Parental	: yes, concurrent vehicle
NOAEL F1 Offspr.	: 200 mg/kg bw : 200 ml/kg bw
Method	: other
Year	
GLP	: no
Test substance	: no data
Method	. 10 0010
method	. The study design encompassed both a five-generation and chronic study in
Describ	Wistar rats with calcium formate at 0.2% in drinking water. Eight males and 24 females were in the first generation group with four controls of each sex. The fertility of treated animals after 6, 7 or 10 weeks of administration was compared with the fertility of control after 8 weeks of study start. The text of this report indicates that a study with 0.4% calcium formate using the same protocol is in progress and in the second generation with no "disturbances" observed. Thus, it appears that 0.4% calcium formate does not have an adverse effect on fertility. As data were not provided, however, the 0.2% level is considered the reproductive NOEL in this study.
Remark	: L'asitetisme to this study include the leads of data and such that for the O 400
	Limitations to this study include the lack of data presentation for the 0.4% dose group; not achieving maternal toxicity at the high dose level; and lack of details concerning reproductive parameters evaluated beyond number, weight and length of pups.
Result	No. of animals: 8 males and 24 females per dose level.
NGSUIL	Numbers of offspring, body weights and body lengths were not different for
	treated animals as compared with controls. No maternal toxicity was
	observed, no adverse effects on the offspring were observed on
	examination.
Source	: Bayer AG Leverkusen
	Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
Test substance	: Calcium Formate, CAS Number 544-17-2
Conclusion	:
	No reduction of fertility; no maternal toxicity; no embryotoxic or teratogenic
	effects were observed under these conditions. The NOEL for reproduction
	is 0.2% in drinking water or ca. 200 mg/kg.
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
18.11.2001	(10)

. Toxicity	ld 544-17-2 Date 20.12.2001
.9 DEVELOPMENT	AL TOXICITY/TERATOGENICITY
Species	: rat
Sex	: female
Strain	: Wistar
Route of admin.	: drinking water
Exposure period	: Continuous during entire period of gestation and at least six weeks prior to gestation.
Frequency of treatment	: daily
Duration of test	:
Doses	: 200 mg/kg/day
Control group	: yes, concurrent vehicle
NOAEL Maternalt.	: 200 mg/kg bw
NOAEL Teratogen	: 200 mg/kg bw
Method	: other
Year	
GLP Toot outotopoo	: no
Test substance Method	:
	sex. The fertility of treated animals after 6, 7 or 10 weeks of administration was compared with the fertility of control after 8 weeks of study start. A portion of the pups were sacrificed shortly after birth for evaluation of developmental toxicity. The text of this report indicates that a study with 0.4% calcium formate using the same protocol is in progress and in the second generation with no "disturbances" observed. Thus, it appears that 0.4% calcium formate does not have an adverse effect on developmental toxicity. As data were not provided, however, the 0.2% level is considered the developmental NOEL in this study.
Remark	
	Limitations to this study include the lack of data presentation for the 0.4% dose group, not achieving maternal toxicity at the high dose level, and lack of details concerning evaluation of the pups for major malformations and variations.
Result	: No statisical difference in organ and bone abnormalitites.
0	Growth of treated offspring was similar to controls.
Source Test substance	: Bayer Corporation Pittsburgh
rest substance	: Calcium Formate, CAS Number 544-17-2
Conclusion	Galoutit I Utitiale, UAS Nuttiber 344-17-2
	No reduction of fertility, maternal toxicity, embryotoxic or teratogenic effects were observed under these conditions. The NOEL for developmental and maternal toxicity is 0.2% in drinking water or ca. 200 mg/kg.
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
18.11.2001	(10)

6. Referer	nces		544-17-2 20.12.2001
(1)	Bayer AG data		
(2)	Bayer AG data, Report No. 17969, 25. 4. 1989		
(3)	Bruns: Bayer AG, Leverkusen, 22. 2. 1988		
(4)	Degussa AG data, Akute Toxizitaetspruefung von 'Calciumformiat'nach oraler Applikation an der Ratte, Degussa-US-IT-Nr. 79-0029-DKT, 1979/january		
(5)	Degussa AG data, Pruefung von Calciumformiat in Auger test am Kaninchen, Degussa-US-IT-Nr. 78-0016-DKT, 1978/november	nreiz-	
(6)	Degussa AG data, Pruefung von Calciumformiat in Hautre test am Kaninchen, Degussa-US-IT-Nr. 78-0015-DKT, 1978/november	eiz-	
(7)	EPIWIN 3.05, Syracuse Research Corp, Syracuse NY 13	210	
(8)	Loeser, E.: Bayer AG data, short report, 21. 4. 1978		
(9)	Lyman et al. Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation I Society, Washington DC 1990.	Methods, Amer	ican Chemical
(10)	Malorny, J. V.: Zeitschrift fuer Ernaehrungswissenschaft 9 332-339 (1969)	9,	
(11)	Marhold, J. V.: Sbornik Vysledku Toxixologickeho Vysetre Latek A Pripravku, Institut Pro Vychovu Vedoucicn Pracovniku Chemickeho Prumyclu, Praha, Czechoslovaki (1972)		
(12)	Meylan W. and Howard P. (1999) EPIWin Modeling Prog Syracuse Research Corporation. Environmental Science Center, 6225 Running Ridge Road, North Syracuse, NY 13212-2510.		
(13)	The Condensed Chemical Dictionary 9th Edition (1977) Hawley, G.G, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York. p.1	51	
(14)	The Merck Index 10th Edition (1983) Rahway, New Jerse 230	у. р.	
(15)	Thyssen, J.: Bayer AG data, short report, 20. 9. 1978		

# IUCLID

# **Data Set**

Existing Chemical CAS No. EINECS Name EINECS No. TSCA Name Molecular Formula	::	ID: 107-31-3 107-31-3 methyl formate 203-481-7 Formic acid, methyl ester C2H4O2
Memo	:	
Printing date Revision date Date of last Update	:	20.12.2001 20.12.2001

#### 1.0.1 OECD AND COMPANY INFORMATION

Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex 25.05.2001	<ul> <li>lead organisation</li> <li>American Chemistry Council, Formates Pane</li> <li>1300 Wilson Boulevard</li> <li>22209 Arlington, VA</li> <li>United States</li> </ul>	÷I
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax	cooperating company Celanese Ltd	

ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001

<b>Telex</b> <b>Cedex</b> 20.12.2001	:	
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex 20.12.2001	cooperating company Bayer Corporation	
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex 20.12.2001	EXAMPLE Corporation	
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex 20.12.2001	Cooperating company GEO Specialty Chemicals	
Type Name Partner Date Street Town Country Phone Telefax Telex Cedex 20.12.2001	cooperating company Hercules Inc	

# **1. General Information** Id 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001 1.1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION : organic : liquid Substance type Physical status Purity : % w/w 13.12.2000 SYNONYMS 1.2 Ameisensaeuremethylester 30.01.2001 Formic Acid Methyl ester 30.01.2001 Formic acid, methyl ester (6CI, 8CI, 9CI) 30.01.2001 Methanoic acid methyl ester 30.01.2001 Methyl formate 30.01.2001 Methyl methanoate 30.01.2001 Methylformiat 30.01.2001 R 611 30.01.2001

2. Physico-Chei	Id         107-31           Date         20.12.3	
2.1 MELTING POIN	IT	
Value Remark Reliability 19.11.2001	<ul> <li>ca100 ° C</li> <li>Handbook value</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	(25)
Value Reliability 18.11.2001	: = -100.4 °C : (2) valid with restrictions	(10)
2.2 BOILING POIN	т	
Value Remark Reliability 18.11.2001	<ul> <li>= 31.5 °C at 760</li> <li>Handbook value</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	(25)
Value Reliability 18.11.2001	<ul> <li>= 32.3 °C at 760</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	(11)
2.3 DENSITY		
Type Value Remark Reliability 18.11.2001	<ul> <li>relative density</li> <li>= .987 at 15° C</li> <li>Handbook value</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	(25)
Type Value Reliability 18.11.2001	: density : = .968 g/cm3 at 20° C : (2) valid with restrictions	(10)
2.4 VAPOUR PRE	SSURE	
Value Reliability 18.11.2001	<ul> <li>= 644 hPa at 20° C</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	(10)
Value Remark Reliability 19.11.2001	<ul> <li>= 780 at 25° C</li> <li>Given as 585.7 mm Hg, converted to hPa</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	(15)
2.5 PARTITION CC	DEFFICIENT	
Log pow Method	: =21 at 25° C OECD Guide-line 107 "Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water), F shaking Method"	lask-
Year GLP	: 1988 : no data	

2. Physico-Chen	nical Data	ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001
Test substance Reliability 19.11.2001	: : (2) valid with restrictions	(5) (10)
Log pow Remark Reliability 19.11.2001	<ul> <li>= .03 at ° C</li> <li>Literature value</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	(19)
Log pow Method Year GLP Test substance 23.05.2001 2.6.1 WATER SOLUE	: =17 at °C other (calculated) : :	(16)
Value Qualitative Pka PH Reliability 18.11.2001	: = 300 g/l at 20 ° C : : at 25 ° C : = 4 - 5 at 200 g/l and 20 ° C : (2) valid with restrictions	(10)
Value Qualitative Pka PH Remark	: = 30 vol% at °C : : at 25 °C : at and °C : Handbook value	

#### 3. Fate

#### 3.1.1 PHOTODEGRADATION

Type Light source Light spect. Rel. intensity Indirect photolysis	: air : : nm : based on Intensity of Sunlight	
Sensitizer	: OH	
Conc. of sens.	: 1500000 molecule/cm3	
Rate constant	: = cm3/(molecule*sec)	
Degradation	: % after	
Remark	: ca. 50 % after 71 day	
Result	Based on 12-hour day Rate Constant: 0.227 (+/-0.034)*10-12 cm^3/molecule*sec	
Reliability	at 296 K : (2) valid with restrictions Calculated by an acceptable method.	
20.12.2001		(17)

#### 3.1.2 STABILITY IN WATER

Type t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 t1/2 pH 8 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	<ul> <li>abiotic</li> <li>at degree C</li> <li>= 5.1 day at 25 degree C</li> <li>at degree C</li> <li>= 12.3 hour(s) at 25 degree C</li> <li>other (calculated)</li> <li>2001</li> <li>no</li> <li>no data</li> <li>These vlaues are directly from from the HYDROWIN 1.67</li> </ul>	
Reliability 19.11.2001	program and are based on the Kb calculated by HYDROWIN : (2) valid with restrictions	(13)
Type t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Method Remark	<ul> <li>abiotic</li> <li>at degree C</li> <li>= 52 hour(s) at 25 degree C</li> <li>= .5 hour(s) at 25 degree C</li> <li>Calculated from experimental Kb</li> <li>These are calculated t1/2 values using a value for Kb found in the literature. The pH 4 t1/2 was not calculated because there is also a mechanism for acid based hydrolysis and the vale derived for the base hydrolysis rate constant may give an unreliable estimate.</li> </ul>	
Result Reliability	<ul> <li>Experimental Kb = 3.66 L/mol-sec</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions Calculated from experimental data by an acceptable method.</li> </ul>	
19.11.2001		(18)
3.1.3 STABILITY IN SOIL	- -	
Type Radiolabel	: other :	

. Fate	ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001
Concentration	:
Soil temp.	: degree C
Soil humidity	
Soil classif.	:
Year	:
Remark	<ul> <li>Based upon an estimated Koc of 5, methyl formate is ex– pected to leach readily in soil.</li> <li>Source: BASF AG Ludwigshafen</li> </ul>
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions Calculated with an acceptable method.
18.11.2001	(20
.3.2 DISTRIBUTION	
Media	: air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water
Method	: Calculation according Mackay, Level III
Year	: 2001
Method	<ul> <li>EPIWIN level III model with measured VP, Herry's Law constant and Kow</li> </ul>
Remark	: Values for half-lives of air, water and soil were adjusted from the defaults based on available data. The experimental Ko/w and vapor pressure was
Result	also used in the calculation. Chem Name : Methyl Formate
Neguli	Molecular Wt: 60.05
	Henry's LC : 0.000223 atm-m3/mole (Henry database)
	Vapor Press : 586 mm Hg (user-entered)
	Log Kow : -0.21 (user-entered)
	Soil Koc : 0.253 (calc by model)
	Concentration Half-Life Emissions
	(%) (hr) (kg/hr)
	Air 35.9 1180 1000
	Water 36.9 120 1000 Soil 27.1 120 1000
	Sediment 0.0618 1440 0
	Fugacity Reaction Advection Reaction Advection
	(atm) (kg/hr) (kg/hr) (percent) (percent)
	Air 5.57e-010 80.7 1.37e+003 2.69 45.6
	Water 2.61e-009 812 141 27.1 4.68
	Soil 6.93e-008 598 0 19.9 0
	Sed 2.17e-009 0.113 0.00471 0.00378 0.000157
	Persistence Time: 127 hr
	Reaction Time: 256 hr
	Advection Time: 252 hr
	Percent Reacted: 49.7 Percent Advected: 50.3
	Half-Lives (hr), (based upon user-entry):
	Air: 1176
	Water: 120
	Soil: 120
	Sediment: 1440

3. Fate	ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001	
<b>Reliability</b> 19.11.2001	Advection Times (hr): Air: 100 Water: 1000 Sediment: 5e+004 : (2) valid with restrictions	(14)
3.5 BIODEGRADATIO	DN	
Туре	: aerobic	
Inoculum	: activated sludge, non-adapted	
Concentration Contact time	<ul> <li>51.7mg/l related to Test substance 20mg/l related to DOC (Dissolved Organic Carbon)</li> <li>28 day</li> </ul>	
Degradation	= 90 - 100 % after 28 day	
Result	: readily biodegradable	
Kinetic of test	: 7 day = 77 %	
substance		
	14 day = 91 %	
	21 day = 93 %	
	28 day = 93 %	
Control substance	: Aniline	
Kinetic	: 14 day = 72 % 28 day = 91 %	
Deg. Product	:	
Method	:	
Year	: 1997	
GLP Test substance	: yes	
Method	: The protocol was the same as the current ISO 14593 [Water quality Evaluation of ultimate aerobic biodegradability of organic compounds in aqueous medium Method by analysis of inorganic carbon in sealed vessels (CO2 headspace test)] but was conducted prior to the ISO protocol being accepted as an international standard. The procedure was also in accord with the current EPA guideline OPPTS 835.3120 (Sealed-Vessel CO2 Production Test).	
Result	: Although the data fulfilled all OECD criteria for ready biodegradation of the material, the initial report only classified the material, "biologically degradable". This was because at the time the report was written the official method was still in the design phase. Since it is now an international standard, the classification can now be evaluated as "Readily Biodegradable" based on the data presented for both the CO2 evolution and the removal of DOC.	
Test substance	: Methyl formate, purity 97.3%	
Conclusion Reliability	: The test material is readily biodegradable	
<b>Reliability</b> 09.07.2001	: (1) valid without restriction	(6)
Туре	: aerobic	
Inoculum	: activated sludge	
Contact time	:	
Degradation	: > 90 % after 7 day	
Result	:	
Conclusion	<ul> <li>The material is biodegradable</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>	
Reliability	· (1) not acciencello	

# 4. Ecotoxicity

#### 4.1 ACUTE/PROLONGED TOXICITY TO FISH

Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring NOEC LC0 LC50 LC100 Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>static</li> <li>Leuciscus idus (Fish, fresh water)</li> <li>96 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>no</li> <li>m = 46</li> <li>m = 46</li> <li>m ca. 120</li> <li>m &lt;= 215</li> <li>other</li> <li>1989</li> </ul>
Test substance	:
Method	: Based on a range-finding study, concentrations were fixed at 10.0, 21.5, 46.4, 100 and 215 mg/L. Test material was directly added to reconstituted fresh water (total hardness 2.5 mmol/L, acid capacity 0.8 mmol/L, pH about 8). Fish, body length 6.3 to 7.5 cm, were added to 10 liter containers of water in groups of 10 at each concentration plus control using all-glass aquaria at 21° C. Mortality was determined at 1, 4, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours.
Remark	: The volatility of methyl formate is a concern in this static study using nominal concentrations of methyl formate. As no analytical measurements were conducted, the final concentration of methyl formate may have been much lower due to volatilization and base-catalyzed hydrolysis. The 24-hour result is considered reliable. The lack of additional mortality after 48 hours is consistent with volatilization or hydrolysis. The predicted Henry's Law constant indicates that volatilization will be relative slow in comparison to the duration of the test. Hydrolysis, however, might be a significant means of test material loss. The half live for hydrolysis calculated from the hydroxyl ion concentration at pH 7.4 (the nominal pH during the test) and the Kb of 15.7 L/mol-sec (derived from Hydrowin) is 48 hours. Therefore, significant loss of test material to hydrolysis is expected during the 96 hours of the test. The concentration of non-hydrolyzed test material at the end of the test would be about 25% of the original.
Result	The result is supported by the ECOSAR prediction using the ester model of a 96-hour LC50 of 132 mg/L. This is of the same magnitude as the highest concentration of Methyl formate (500 mg/L) reduced by hydrolysis and evaporation to the range of 100 mg/L by the end of the 96-hour study
กษอนแ	: Mortality was as follows:
	NominalConc# fish $2h$ $4h$ $24$ $48$ $72$ $96$ 10.0100000021.5100000046.41000000100.01001333215.01000101010

4. Ecotoxicity	ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001
Source Test substance Conclusion Reliability 18.11.2001	<ul> <li>Adverse clinical sighs were limited to "tumbling" for the 100 mg/L group at the 24 hour observation and the 215 mg/L group at the 4 hour observation</li> <li>Oxygen levels and pH remained within normal ranges throughout the study. The recorded temperature remained at 21° C at all measurements.</li> <li>The ca 115 mg/L LC50 was interpolated from these data.</li> <li>BASF AG Ludwigshafen</li> <li>Methyl formate, purity 97.7%</li> <li>The 24-hour LC50 for methyl formate in this study is &gt; 100 mg/L.</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY	TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES
Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring EC0 EC50 EC100 Method Year GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>static</li> <li>Daphnia magna (Crustacea)</li> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>no</li> <li>m = 500</li> <li>m &gt; 500</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, C.2 "Acute toxicity for Daphnia"</li> <li>1988</li> <li>no</li> <li>The study was run in accord with the EU guideline 79/831 EWG Annex C2, without any concentration analysis. Five daphnids were exposed per container with four container per concentration for a total of 20 daphnids per concentration. Concentrations were 0, 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 mg/L. A 500 mg/L stock was prepared and diluted to produce the dilution series. THe test was conducted in filtered tap water with a hardness of 2.7 mmol/L at a pH of 7.7 to 8.3.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The test material was susceptible to volatility and base-catalyzed hydrolysis and as no analytical measurements were taken, the actual concentrations during the test are not known.</li> <li>Concerning the possible volatility of methyl formate in this study conducted under static conditions, although methyl formate has a high vapor pressure, it is hydrophilic and hence binds to water reducing its rate of volatilization from aqueous media. The Henry's Law constant for methyl formate of 2.23E-4 atm-m3/mole (found in EPIWIN 3.05 Henry's Law experimental dataset) is in a range where atmospheric loss during a study will occur but probably would not be highly significant under normal experimental conditions.</li> <li>Base catalyzed hydrolysis, however, is expected to be a significant source of test material conversion to hydrolysis products. Using the measured Kb at 25° C, and a typical pH reported during this study of 8.0, the initial concentration</li> </ul>

4. Ecotoxicity	ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001
Result Test substance Conclusion Reliability 10.07.2001	<ul> <li>of 500 mg/L would be expected to fall to about 30 mg/L after 24 hours (four half-lives) and to about 2 mg/L by the end of the 48 hour study. As the temperature was a bit lower than 25°C, the levels may not have fallen as much due to hydrolysis but it is expected that the vast majority of the initial methyl formate would be converted to methanol and formic acid by the end of the 48-hour test period.</li> <li>Although the concentration of test material and hydrolysis products cannot be established with certainty, the results are considered sufficient for characterization of the toxicity of Methyl formate to invertebrates because under environmental conditions rapid hydrolysis will also occur and the initial level was five times the maximum level recommended for a limit test under current OECD guidance.</li> <li>There was no mortality at any time or concentration thoughout the test.</li> <li>Methyl formate, purity 97%</li> <li>The 48-hour EC50 for this material is greater than 500 mg/L based on nominal concentrations</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring NOEC EC0 Method	: other aquatic crustacea: Chaetogammarus marinus 96 hour(s) mg/l m = 32 m = 320 :
Test substance	<ul> <li>exposure time: 24-96 h;</li> <li>LC0 and LC100 based on nominal concentration</li> <li>organism length= 5 mm</li> <li>glass stoppered conical flasks were used</li> <li>initial pH of medium =8</li> <li>medium = sea water</li> <li>temperature: 15 deg C</li> <li>salinity: 28 o/oo</li> <li>renewal every 24 hours</li> <li>Test in duplicate, 10 animals per vessel</li> <li>volume = 1000 sea water</li> <li>no analysis</li> <li>Concentrations = 1, 10, 32, 100, 320, 560, 1000 mg/L</li> <li>pH varied from 7.9 at 0 mg/L to 6.9 at 1000 mg/L</li> <li>Methyl formate, Fluka AG, Purity &gt; 97%</li> </ul>
<b>Reliability</b> 18.11.2001	: (2) valid with restrictions (1)

### 4. Ecotoxicity

ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001

#### 4.3 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE

Species Endpoint	: Scenedesmus subspicatus (Algae)
Exposure period Unit	: 96 hour(s) : mg/l
Analytical monitoring EC50 EC20	: : c = 190 : c = 90
Method Year	<ul> <li>other: Scenedesmus-Zellvermehrungs Hemmtest, DIN 38412 Teil 9,</li> <li>:</li> </ul>
GLP Test substance Remark	: : : EC90(72h) >500 mg/l.
Source Reliability 24.05.2001	<ul> <li>BASF AG Ludwigshafen</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>

(9)

# 5. Toxicity

#### 5.1.1 ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY

Type Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Value Method Year GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>LD50</li> <li>rat</li> <li>Sprague-Dawley</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>ca. 1500 mg/kg bw</li> <li>1979</li> <li>no</li> <li>The test material in aqua dest. was administered at a volume of 10 mg/kg to group of 5 Sprague-Dawley rats of each sex. Five dose levels were administered and animals were observed for 14 days prior to sacrifice and necropsy. The age of rats was not reported; however, bodyweights are provided.</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>The following mortality was recorded, all deaths occurred within the first hour after dosing.</li> <li>DOSE (mg/kg) Males Females 2150 5/5 5/5 1470 2/5 2/5 1000 0/5 0/5 681 0/5 0/5 681 0/5 0/5 464 0/5 0/5</li> <li>The following clinical signs were reported</li> </ul>
	Dose Signs 2150 Irregular respiration Apathy Staggering Spastic gait Cyanotic Poor general appearance Shortness of breath
	1470 Irregular respiration Apathy Staggering Poor general appearance
	1000 Irregular respiration Apathy Poor general appearance
	681 none reported
	464 none reported
	The flowing necropsy observation were reported in animals dying from exposure:
	Lungs: Bloodfilled with edema
	13/22

. Toxicity	ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001	
	Stomach: Erosion of the glandular stomach Heart: Dilation Intestine: Irritation	
	Body weights were as follows:	
	Males: mean body weights	
	DAYS AFTER TREATMENT Dose 0-day 2-4 7 14	
	2150 190	
	1470 270 300 321 344	
	1000 270 289 317 336	
	681 260 288 312 329 464 200 231 252 277	
	Females: mean body weights	
	Dose 0-day 2-4 7 14	
	2150 180	
	1470 180 192 208 211 1000 190 216 222 232	
	681 200 223 228 231	
	464 210 232 240 244	
Test substance	: methyl formate, purity 98 %	
Conclusion	: The Acute oral LD50 for rats is about 1500 mg/kg	
Reliability 23.05.2001	: (2) valid with restrictions	(2
_		
Type Species	: LD50	
Species Strain	: rabbit	
Sex		
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle	:	
Value	: = 1600 mg/kg bw	
Method Year	: : 1972	
GLP	. 1972	
Test substance		
Remark	: The value refers to LD50/24 hours and ND50 (narcotic dose	
04.05.0004	50%) according to the authors.	(a-
24.05.2001		(23
1.2 ACUTE INHALAT		
Туре	: LC50	
Species	: rat	
Strain	: Sprague-Dawley	
Sex	: male/female	
Number of animals		
Vehicle Exposure time	: 4	
Value	: 4 : > 21 mg/l	
	: other	
Method	: 1988	
Method Year	. 1980	
Method Year GLP	: yes	
Method Year		

5. Toxicity	ld 107-31-3	
	<b>Date</b> 20.12.20	01
	whole-body exposure to vapors of test material for 4 hours. Animals were housed individually. Males were 8-weeks old and weighed between 298 and 314 grams at the time of the exposure. Females were 10 weeks old and weighed between 216 and 229 grams. The target and nominal concentrations were 20 mg/L. Actual concentration was measured once an hour during the exposure using a MIRAN 1A Ambient Air analyzer. Mean measured concentration was 21 mg/L over the 4-hour exposure. Temperature during the exposure ranged from 76 to 78 °F., relative humidity ranged from 48 to 50%. Rats were observed daily for adverse clinical manifestations for seven days after exposure and were sacrificed without post-mortem exposure.	3
Remark	<ul> <li>This study is considered key and considered reliable for establishing the LC50 value even though it does not meet the current OECD guideline. The study was conducted under glp conditions and the nominal and measured concentrations of test substance were similar. Animals showed few serious clinical signs during the exposure and recovered rapidly.</li> </ul>	
Result	<ul> <li>All animals survived the duration of the study. Observations noted during exposure included lacrimation, reduced activities, and eyes closed. Signs exhibited upon removal from the chamber and during the two-hour poet-exposure period were limited to a few secretory signs and ano-genital staining. Virtually no adverse sings were exhibited by animals during the 7-day observation period. Animal weights were recorded prior to exposure and at the end of the 7-day observation period and the body weight date were considered unremarkable by the study director.</li> </ul>	
Conclusion Reliability 13.07.2001	<ul> <li>The 4-hour inhalation LC50 in rats is greater than 21 mg/L</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> </ul>	(12)
Type Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle	: LC50 : rat : Sprague-Dawley : male/female : 20 :	
Exposure time Value Method Year GLP Test substance	: 4 hour(s) : > 5.2 mg/l : other : 1979 : no : other TS	
Method Remark Result	<ul> <li>Ten male and ten female rats were exposed by whole body inhalation to vapors of the test substance at a nominal concentration of 19.4 mg/L (measured concentration of 5.2 mg/L). Animals were housed five per wire cage during the exposure. Exposure concentration was determined by gas chromatography. Animals were observed for 14 days after the exposure sacrificed and necropsied.</li> <li>This study is considered supporting information.</li> </ul>	
neguit	No animal died during the study. Clinical signs were limited to watering eyes and ruffled fur and were cleared after day	

5. Toxicity	ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001	
Test condition Reliability 23.05.2001	Body weights (mean) Males wt (g) Females wt (g) Day Test Control Test Control Start 187 188 189 187 Day 7 224 218 203 197 Day14 260 267 213 206 : Methylformate. Prod. Nr 04837Purity 98% : (2) valid with restrictions	(3)
Type Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Exposure time Method Year GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>other</li> <li>other</li> <li>no data</li> <li>no data</li> <li>1941</li> <li>no</li> <li>Results of the exposure of unspecified (presumably rats)</li> <li>Results of the exposure of unspecified (presumably rats)</li> </ul>	
Remark Result	<ul> <li>experimental animals to the vapors of methyl formate are presented in this brief report of experimental findings. No experimental details were presented.</li> <li>This study is considered supporting</li> <li>The following results are provided:</li> <li>Kills most animals in a short time 50,000 ppm</li> </ul>	
	Dangerous to life in 30 to 60 minutes 15,000 - 25,000 ppm Maximum concentration tolerated for 60 min without serious disturbances 5,000 ppm	
	Maximum concentration for prolonged (8 hours) exposure without serous disturbances 1,500-2000 ppm	
Test condition Conclusion Reliability 23.05.2001	<ul> <li>The conclusions also stares that narcosis and irritation were identified as effects of acute vapor esposure</li> <li>Methyl formate, purity unspecified</li> <li>The acute LC50 is greater than 5000 ppm for 1 hour and 2000 ppm for 8 hours.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>	
5.1.3 ACUTE DERMAL	ΤΟΧΙCΙΤΥ	
Type Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle	LD50 rat	
Value	: > 4000 ml/kg bw	

5. Toxicity	ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001
Method	
Year	: 1978
GLP Toot outpotence	
Test substance Method	: . Date were treated and observed for 14 days, no other
Wethod	<ul> <li>Rats were treated and observed for 14 days, no other information</li> </ul>
Remark	: This result is supported by a 1990 screening-level dermal toxicity study of
	methyl formate sponsored by Hoechst Celanese in which 0/4 treated rabbits died at a dermal dose of 5,000 mg/kg (BioDynamics Inc, Acute Dermal Toxicity, Rabbits C-1160, sponsored by Hoechst Celanese, 2/28/1990)
Result	: The LD50 was found to be > 4000 mg/kg.
Test substance	The following clinical signs were observed: Slight apathy Staggering Spastic gait irregular breathing : Methyl Formate, purity 98%
Reliability	: (4) not assignable
11.09.2001	(3)
5.4 REPEATED DOS	
Species	: rat
Sex	: no data
Strain	: Wistar
Route of admin.	
Route of admin. Exposure period	drinking water 1.5 years
Exposure period Frequency of	: drinking water
Exposure period Frequency of treatment	<ul><li>drinking water</li><li>1.5 years</li></ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance Conclusion	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance Conclusion	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance Conclusion Reliability 19.11.2001 Species Sex	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance Conclusion Reliability 19.11.2001 Species Sex Strain	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> <li>(21)</li> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance Conclusion Reliability 19.11.2001 Species Sex Strain Route of admin.	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> <li>(21)</li> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance Conclusion Reliability 19.11.2001 Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> <li>(21)</li> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance Conclusion Reliability 19.11.2001 Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> <li>(21)</li> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance Conclusion Reliability 19.11.2001 Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> <li>(21)</li> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> </ul>
Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group Method Year GLP Test substance Method Remark Result Test substance Conclusion Reliability 19.11.2001 Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of	<ul> <li>drinking water</li> <li>1.5 years</li> <li>Continuous</li> <li>none</li> <li>1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to formic acid according to the authors)</li> <li>no data specified</li> <li>no</li> <li>Six animals per group</li> <li>The results are only available as a brief keynote summary.</li> <li>No toxicity detected</li> <li>Sodium formate in the drinking water at 1%</li> <li>Sodium formate at 1% in the drinking water did not produce clinically adverse effects in rats after administration for approximately 18 months. The NOEL cannot be determined since pathological investigations had not been conducted.</li> <li>(4) not assignable</li> <li>(21)</li> <li>rat</li> <li>male/female</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>drinking water</li> <li>Lifelong</li> </ul>

. Toxicity	ld 107-31-3 Date 20.12.2001
	Date 20.12.2001
Control group	: yes, concurrent vehicle
NOAEL	: = 200 mg/kg
Method	:
Year	:
GLP	: no
Test substance	:
Method	:
	The study design encompassed both a five-generation and chronic study ir Wistar rats with calcium formate at 0.2% in drinking water. Eight males and 24 females were in the original test group with four controls of each sex. Both microscopic and pathologic investigations were done upon natural death of the animals.
	An additional series of experiments using 0.4% calcium formate in the drinking water was also in progress and was in the second year and second generation at the time of this publication, histopathology results were not available for this dose level.
Remark	:
	Limitations to this study include the lack of data presentation for the 0.4% dose group, the limited description of the pathology and histopathology organ list and the modest size of the concurrent control group. In addition, this study does not take into account the effect of the methanol produced by hydrolysis of methyl formate.
Result	: Bodyweights and bodyweight gains of treated and control animals were similar. Microscopic and histological investigation of lung, spleen, stomach, liver and kidneys showed no suspect findings. Occasional small phagocytic action in reticuloendothelium and reticulo-histocyto elements of lung, spleen and stomach lymph nodes were reported. Two benign spontaneous tumors were seen in old animals and were considered not related to test substance administration.
	The study at 0.4% calcium formate had been going on for about two years and it was reported that no disturbances (presumably mortality, body weight, fertility, or developmental toxicity) had been observed up to this point. Pathology and histopathology were in progress pending natural death of the test animals.
Test substance	
<b>C</b> omolyada a	Calcium Formate, CAS Number 544-17-2
Conclusion	: This study shows that the formate portion of methyl formate up to the equivalent of 200 mg/kg as calcium formate has no adverse effect on rats dosed in drinking water.
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
19.11.2001	

	Date 20.12.2001	
5 GENETIC TOXICIT	Y 'IN VITRO'	
Turne	: Ames test	
Type System of testing	Salmonella typhimurium TA 1535 TA100 TA1537 TA1538 TA98	
Concentration	: 0, 667,1000, 3333, 6667, 10000 micrograms/plate	
Cycotoxic conc.	No appreciable toxicity up to 10000 micrograms per plate	
Metabolic activation	: with and without	
Result	:	
Method	:	
Year	: 1989	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance Method	: The S. O. was proported from Arabler induced rate	
wethod	: The S-9 was prepared from Aroclor-induced rats.	
	Positive controls were: -With S-9	
	- 2-Aminoanthracene for all strains	
	-Without S-9	
	- Sodium azide for TA100 and TA1535	
	- 2-Nitrofluorene for TA98 and TA1538	
	- ICR-191 for TA1537	
	Triple plate test	
	One repeat	
	All strains run with the preincubation method at 667 to	
	10000 micrograms/plate with a 20 minute preincubation using	
	a sealed tube to prevent loss of test material.	
Result	: There was no increase in the number of revertants for any	
	strain at any concentration level of test substance. No bacterial toxicity was reported at any concentration. The	
	positive and negative controls responded appropriately.	
Source	: Hoechst Celanese	
Test substance	: Methyl formate (C-1160)	
Conclusion	: This material was not mutagenic in the Ames test under these	
	experimental conditions.	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
12.07.2001		(22
Туре	: Ames test	
System of testing	: Salmonella typhimurium TA 1535 TA100 TA1537 TA98	
Concentration	: 20 to 5000 ug/plate	
Cycotoxic conc.	: no cytotoxicity reported	
Metabolic activation Result	: with and without	
Result	• • OECD Guide-line 471 "Cenetic Tavicalagy: Salmonalla thyphimurium	
WELIIUU	: OECD Guide-line 471 "Genetic Toxicology: Salmonella thyphimurium Reverse Mutation Assay"	
Year	: 1989	
GLP	: no	
Test substance		
Method	: The S-9 was prepared from Aroclor-induced rats.	
	Posiitive controls were:	
	-With S-9	
	- 2-Aminoanthracene for all strains	
	-Without S-9	
	- MNNG for TA100 and TA1535	
	<ul> <li>4-Nitro-o-phenylendiame for TA98</li> </ul>	
	- 9-Aminoacridine chloride for TA1537	

5. Toxicity	Date 20.12.2001	
	Triple plate test	
	All strains run with the plate-incorporation method and the	
	preincubation method at 20 to 5000 micrograms/plate. Strain	
	1535 also run with plate incorporation technigue at five	
Peoult	concentrations from 100 to 1000 micrograms/plate.	
Result	<ul> <li>There was no increase in the number of revertants for any strain at any concentration level of test substance. No</li> </ul>	
	bacterial toxicity was reported at any concentration. The	
_	positive and negative controls responded appropriately.	
Source	: BASF AG Ludwigshafen	
Test substance Conclusion	<ul> <li>Pure methyl formate, purity 98.4%</li> <li>This material was not mutagenic in the Ames test under these</li> </ul>	
Contractor	experimental conditions.	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	
09.07.2001		(4
5.11 EXPERIENCE W	VITH HUMAN EXPOSURE	
	during work. Neurobehavioral tests were performed to determine if the exposures correlated with changes in neurobehavioral parameters.	Tests
	included postural balance (bipedal, monopedal, bipedal blind) simple reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-ti test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.	me
Remark	reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-ti test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.	me
Remark	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-ti test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to</li> </ul>	me e, o
Remark	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-ti test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the initial statement of the stat</li></ul>	me e, o
	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the init observations could not be repeated.</li> </ul>	me e, o tial
Remark Result	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while methyl formate esposition.</li> </ul>	me e, o itial nean
	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400
	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value ppm for isopropanol was not exceeded.</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400
	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400
	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value ppm for isopropanol was not exceeded. There was no correlation be the results of the neurobehavioral testing and the methylformate concentration.</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400
	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value ppm for isopropanol was not exceeded. There was no correlation be the results of the neurobehavioral testing and the methylformate concentration.</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400 etweer d to
Result	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value ppm for isopropanol was not exceeded. There was no correlation be the results of the neurobehavioral testing and the methylformate concentration.</li> <li>Personal monitoring and urinary methanol concentrations were found correlate. No neurobehavioral effects were correlated with exposure</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400 etweer d to
	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value ppm for isopropanol was not exceeded. There was no correlation be the results of the neurobehavioral testing and the methylformate concentration.</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400 etweer d to
Result	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value ppm for isopropanol was not exceeded. There was no correlation be the results of the neurobehavioral testing and the methylformate concentration.</li> <li>Personal monitoring and urinary methanol concentrations were found correlate. No neurobehavioral effects were correlated with exposure to methylformate and isopropanol in a foundry of the methylformate and isopropanol in a foundry of the methylformate and isopropanol in a foundry correlate.</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400 etweer d to e. did not
Result	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value ppm for isopropanol was not exceeded. There was no correlation be the results of the neurobehavioral testing and the methylformate concentration.</li> <li>Personal monitoring and urinary methanol concentrations were found correlate. No neurobehavioral effects were correlated with exposure cause any neurobehavioral effects.</li> <li>Methylformate and isopropanol exposures in a foundry, neurobehavioral effects.</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400 etweer d to e. did not
Result Conclusion 21.09.2001	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value ppm for isopropanol was not exceeded. There was no correlation be the results of the neurobehavioral testing and the methylformate concentration.</li> <li>Personal monitoring and urinary methanol concentrations were found correlate. No neurobehavioral effects were correlated with exposure to cause any neurobehavioral effects.</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400 etween d to e. did not (24
Result Conclusion 21.09.2001 Memo	<ul> <li>reaction time and digit span and a combined memory and reaction-tit test. A rating of well being was also recorded as was alcohol, nicotin caffeine and drug consumption.</li> <li>In a previous study, these same authors reproved that there was a neurobehavioral effect of isopropanol and methylformate esposure to foundry workers. This was designed as a follow up study and the ini observations could not be repeated.</li> <li>Mean methyl formate concentration during work was 36 ppm while m isopropanol concentration was 44 ppm. Three workers exceeded the methylformate MAC value of 100 ppm over 8 hours. The MAC value ppm for isopropanol was not exceeded. There was no correlation be the results of the neurobehavioral testing and the methylformate concentration.</li> <li>Personal monitoring and urinary methanol concentrations were found correlate. No neurobehavioral effects were correlated with exposure cause any neurobehavioral effects.</li> <li>Methylformate and isopropanol exposures in a foundry, neurobehavioral effects.</li> </ul>	me e, tial nean e of 400 etween d to e. Jid not

	ences         Id         107-31-3           Date         20.12.2001
(1)	Adema,D.M.M., Aquatic toxicity of compounds that may be carried by ships (Marpol 1973, Annex II), A progress report for 1983 and 1984, Delft, TNO, 1984, (Rep.No.R84/59), zitiert nach: ECDIN 07/1993
(2)	BASF AG, Abteilung Toxikologie, unveroeffentlichte
(3)	BASF AG, Abteilung Toxikologie, unveroeffentlichte Untersuchung (78/495), 22.05.1979
(4)	BASF AG, Abteilung Toxikologie, unveroeffentlichte Untersuchung (89/632), 11.01.1990
(5)	BASF AG, Analytisches Labor; unveroeffentlichte Untersuchung (J.Nr. 130365/01 vom 12.07.1988)
(6)	BASF AG, Labor Oekologie und Umweltanalytic, Prufung der biologischen Abbaubarkeit von Methylformate, rein im CO2-Headspace-Test nach GLP, EN 45001 und ISO 9002, unpublished report 1997
(7)	BASF AG, Labor Oekologie; Bestimmung der akute Wirking von Methylformate gegeguber dem Wasserfloh Daphnia magns Straus. Unpublished Report (0074/88) 1988
(8)	BASF AG, Labor Oekologie; unveroeffentlichte Untersuchung
(9)	BASF AG, Labor Oekologie; unveroeffentlichte Unter– suchung, (0074/88)
(10)	BASF AG, Sicherheitsdatenblatt Methylformiat (08.03.1994)
(11)	BASF Ag, Sicherheitsdatenblatt Methylformiat (08.03.1994)
(12)	BioDynamics Inc, Project 87-8030, An Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study of C-1160 in the Rat. Sponsored by Hoechst- Celanese, 1/06/1988
(13)	Calculated using HYDROWIN v 1.67 as found in EPIWIN 3.05
(14)	Calculated using the Level III model contained in EPIWIN 3.05 Syracuse Research Corporation 2001.
(15)	Daubert TE, Danner, RP. Physical and Thermodynamic Properties of Pure Chemicals Data Compilation. Washington, D.C.: Taylor and Francis, 1989 as cited in Hazardous Substance Data Base update of 2/08/2000
(16)	EPIWIN 3.04 Calculation
(17)	EPIWIN 3.05, Syracuse Research Corp, Syracuse NY 13210
(18)	From table in HYDROWIN v1.67 Syracuse Research Corporation 2001
(19)	Hansch. C., A. Leo and D. Hoekman. 1995. Exploring QSAR. Hydrophobic, Electronic, and Steric Constants. ACS Professional Reference Book. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society.

6. Referen	Id         107-31-3           Date         20.12.2001
(20)	Lyman,W.J. et al., Handbook of Chemical Property Estima– tion Methods, NY, McGraw–Hill, 4–9, (1982), zitiert nach: HSDB 07/1993
(21)	Malorny, G.: Z. Ernaehrungswiss. 9, 332-339 (1969)
(22)	Microbiological Associates Inc, Salmonella/Mammalian Preincubation Mutagenicity Assay with a Closed Phase Induction System. Report T8837.502002. 09/27/1989. Sponsored by Hoechst Celanese
(23)	Munch J.C.: Industr. Med. Surg. 41 (4), 31 (1972) cited in: Henschler D.: MAK–Begruendung (1974)
(24)	Sethre T, Laubli T, Hangartner M, Berode M, Krueger H. Isopropanol and methylformate exposure in a foundry: exposure data and neurobehavioural measurements. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2000 Nov;73(8):528-36.
(25)	The Merck Index, 10th Edition (1983) Rahway, New Jersey. p. 870

# IUCLID Data Set

Existing Chemical	Substance ID: 64-18-6
CAS No.	64-18-6
EINECS Name	Formic acid
EINECS No.	200-579-1
Molecular Weight	46.03
Molecular Formula	CH2O2

Producer Related Part	
Company:	BASF AG
Creation date:	12-NOV-1992

Substance Related	Part	
Company:		BASF AG
Creation date:		12-NOV-1992

Memo: Master

Printing date:	09-NOV-2000
Revision date:	01-JUN-1994
Date of last Update:	24-MAY-2000

Number of Pages: 80

Chapter (profile): Chapter: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 Reliability (profile): Reliability: without reliability, 1, 2, 3, 4 Flags (profile): Flags: without flag, confidential, non confidential, WGK (DE), TA-Luft (DE), Material Safety Data Set, Risk Assessment, Directive 67/548/EEC

(1)

#### **1.0.1 OECD and Company Information**

# **<u>1.0.2 Location of Production Site</u>**

#### **1.0.3 Identity of Recipients**

#### **<u>1.1 General Substance Information</u>**

Substance type:	Organic
Physical status:	Liquid
Purity:	>= 99 % w/w
Remark:	The Iuclid Data Sheet is also submitted on behalf of BASF
	Antwerpen N.V. (B).
	The substance-related part is also submitted on behalf of
	the following companies:
	BP Chemicals LTD (GB)
	Huels AG,
	Kemira OY (SF)
	Norsk Hydro A/S (N)
	Novo Nordisk A/S (DK)
	Perstorp AB (S)
	Perstorp SpA, Div. Polyols (I)
15-MAR-2000	

#### <u>1.1.1 Spectra</u>

#### **1.2 Synonyms**

Ameisensaeure

Ameisensaure

Aminic acid

Formic acid (7CI, 8CI, 9CI)

Formira

Formisoton

Formylic acid

Hydrogen carboxylic acid

Methanoic acid

Methanoic acid monomer

Myrmicyl

#### **<u>1.3 Impurities</u>**

-

#### 1.4 Additives

#### 1.5 Quantity

-

#### 1.6.1 Labelling

Labelling:	As in Directive 67/548/EEC
Symbols:	
Nota:	В
Specific limits:	Yes
R-Phrases:	(35) Causes severe burns
S-Phrases:	(1/2) Keep locked up and out of reach of children
	(23) Do not breathe vapour
	(26) In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with
	plenty of water and seek medical advice
	(45) In case of accident or if you feel unwell, seek medical
	advice immediately (show the label where possible)
Remark:	INDEX No. 607-001-00-0
01-MAR-2000	(1) (2) (3)

#### **1.6.2 Classification**

Classification:	As in Directive 67/548/EEC			
Class of danger:	Corrosive			
R-Phrases:	(35) Causes severe burns			
Remark:	INDEX No. 607-001-00-0			
01-MAR-2000		(1)	(2)	(3)

#### **1.7 Use Pattern**

-

#### **<u>1.7.1 Technology Production/Use</u>**

#### **<u>1.8 Occupational Exposure Limit Values</u>**

Type of limit: Limit value: Short term expos. Limit value: Schedule: Frequency: 01-MAR-2000	5 minute(s)	(1)	(4)
Type of limit: Limit value: 01-MAR-2000	MAK (DE) 9 mg/m3	(1)	(4)
Type of limit: Limit value: 01-MAR-2000	TLV (US) 9.4 mg/m3	(5)	(1)
Type of limit: Limit value: Remark: 01-MAR-2000	TLV (US) Limit value: 5 ppm	(5)	(1)

# **<u>1.9 Source of Exposure</u>**

#### **1.10.1 Recommendations/Precautionary Measures**

#### **<u>1.10.2 Emergency Measures</u>**

#### **1.11 Packaging**

**1.12 Possib. of Rendering Subst. Harmless** 

#### **1.13 Statements Concerning Waste**

(1)

#### **1.14.1 Water Pollution**

Classified by: KBwS (DE) Labelled by: KBwS (DE) Class of danger: 1 (weakly water polluting) 01-MAR-2000

#### **1.14.2 Major Accident Hazards**

Legislation: Stoerfallverordnung (DE) Substance listed: No 01-MAR-2000 (1) (6)

#### **<u>1.14.3 Air Pollution</u>**

Classified by:	TA-Luft (DE)	
Labelled by:	TA-Luft (DE)	
Number:	3.1.7 (organic substances)	
Class of danger:	III	
01-MAR-2000		(1)

#### **1.15 Additional Remarks**

-

#### **<u>1.16 Last Literature Search</u>**

#### 1.17 Reviews

-

#### **1.18 Listings e.g. Chemical Inventories**

#### 2.1 Melting Point

Value: Reliability: 04-MAY-2000	= 8 degrees C (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(7)
Value: Reliability: 24-JAN-2000	= 8.4 degrees C (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(8)

#### **2.2 Boiling Point**

Value: Reliability: 24-JAN-2000	= 100.6 degrees C at 1013 hPa (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(8)
Value: Reliability:	= 100.8 degrees C (4) Not assignable Secondary citation	(0)
24-JAN-2000	= 101 degrees C	(9)
Reliability:	<ul><li>(4) Not assignable</li><li>Manufacturer / producer data without proof</li></ul>	
04-MAY-2000		(7)

#### 2.3 Density

Type: Value: Reliability: 04-MAY-2000	Density = 1.22 g/cm3 at 20 degrees C (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(7)
Type: Value: Remark: Reliability: 24-MAY-2000	Relative density = 1.22 at 20 degrees C Specific gravity 20/4 °C (4) Not assignable Handbook	(10)
Type: Value: Reliability: 24-JAN-2000	Density = 1.2223 g/cm3 at 20 degrees C (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(8)

#### 2.3.1 Granulometry

#### 2.4 Vapour Pressure

Value: Reliability: 04-MAY-2000	= 42 hPa at 20 degrees C (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(7)
Value: Reliability: 24-JAN-2000	= 44 hPa at 20 degrees C (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(8)
Value: Reliability: 24-MAY-2000	= 46.7 hPa at 20 degrees C (4) Not assignable Handbook	(10)
Value: Reliability: 24-MAY-2000	= 72 hPa at 30 degrees C (4) Not assignable Handbook	(10)
Value: Reliability: 04-MAY-2000	= 170 hPa at 50 degrees C (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(7)

#### **2.5 Partition Coefficient**

log Pow: Method: Year:	<pre>=54 at 20 degrees C Other (measured)</pre>
Reliability:	(2) Valid with restrictions Discrepancy between documented test parameters and standard methods, but scientifically acceptable
24-MAY-2000	(11)
log Pow: Method:	=492 Other (calculated): Increment method by Rekker with computer program of CompuDrug Ltd.
Year:	
Reliability:	(2) Valid with restrictions Calculated value in accordance with generally accepted standard methods
24-MAY-2000	(12)

log Pow: Method: Year: Result: Log P oct = -1.55/-0.22 (calculated) Reliability: (4) Not assignable Handbook 24-MAY-2000

(10)

#### 2.6.1 Water Solubility

Value: Qualitative: pH: Reliability: 04-MAY-2000	At 20 degrees C Miscible 2.2 at 10 g/l and 20 degrees C (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(7)
Value: Qualitative: Reliability:	At 25 degrees C Miscible (4) Not assignable Secondary citation	
24-JAN-2000		(9)

#### 2.6.2 Surface Tension

\_

#### 2.7 Flash Point

Value:	= 48 degrees C	
Type:	Closed cup	
Method:	Other: DIN 51 755	
Year:		
Test substance:	Formic acid, purity 99%	
Reliability:	(1) Valid without restriction	
	National standard specification	
04-MAY-2000	-	(13)

#### 2.8 Auto Flammability

Value:	480 degrees C	
Method:	Other: DIN 51 794	
Remark:	Ignition temperature	
Reliability:	(4) Not assignable	
	Manufacturer / producer data without proof	
04-MAY-2000		(7)

Value:	= 505 degrees C
Method:	Other: DIN 51 794
Remark:	Ignition temperature
Test substance:	Formic acid, purity 99%
Reliability:	(1) Valid without restriction
	National standard specification
24-JAN-2000	

#### 2.9 Flammability

#### -

#### **2.10 Explosive Properties**

Result:	Not explosive	
Remark:	Because of chemical structure	
Reliability:	(2) Valid with restrictions	
	Expert judgement	
24-JAN-2000		(15)

#### **2.11 Oxidizing Properties**

Result:	No oxidizing properties	
Remark:	Because of chemical structure	
Reliability:	(2) Valid with restrictions	
	Expert judgement	
24-JAN-2000		(15)

#### 2.12 Additional Remarks

Result: Test substance: Reliability: 24-JAN-2000	Explosive limits in air: 13.5 - 36.5 vol.% Formic acid, purity 99% (2) Valid with restrictions Discrepancy between documented test parameters and standard methods, but scientifically acceptable	d (14)
Result:	Viscosity: 1.8 mPa.s at 20 °C Explosion limits: 12 - 38 vol.%	
<b>Reliability:</b> 04-MAY-2000	Hazardous reactions: Exothermic reaction with: alkalis, amines or products containing amines Thermal decomposition products: carbon monoxide (4) Not assignable Manufacturer / producer data without proof	(7)

(14)

#### **3.1.1 Photodegradation**

Air Type: INDIRECT PHOTOLYSIS Sensitizer: OH Conc. of sens.: 500000 molecule/cm3 **Degradation:** = 50% after 35.7 days Method: GLP: Year: Test substance: Remark: Rate constant: 4.5\*10^-13 cm^3/mol\*sec Test condition: Gas phase reaction with OH radicals; 25 degrees C (16)Type: Other: Water / air Method: Year: GLP: Test substance: Gas and solution phase rate constants:  $K(gas) = 3.7*10^{-3}$ Remark: cm^3/mol\*sec; K(solution) = 2.2\*10^-13 cm^3/mol\*sec (17)Type: Water INDIRECT PHOTOLYSIS Sensitizer: OH = 50% after .9 year Degradation: Method: Year: GLP: Test substance: Remark: Rate constant: 2.5\*10^9 M^-1 sec^-1 Test condition: pH=7; temperature 15-25 deg C (18)Water Type: INDIRECT PHOTOLYSIS Sensitizer: OH Method: Year: GLP: Test substance: Remark: Rate constant: 0.28\*10^10 1/mol\*sec Test condition: OH formed by pulsed radiolysis; neutral pH (19)Water Type: Method: GLP: Year: Test substance: Result: Rate constants for reaction of OH radicals (297 K) in water with HCOO-  $(340 + / - 39) \times 10e7$  mol e-1 sec e-1 and for HCOOH (10.1 +/- 1.3) x 10e7 mol e-1 sec -1. (2) Valid with restrictions Reliability: 23-NOV-1999 (20)

Type: Method: Year: Test substance:	Water GLP:	
Result:	k (HCOOH) = (3.3 +/- 1.0) x 10e5 l mol e-1 sec e-1, k (HCOO = (5.0 +/- 0.4) x 10e7 l mol e-1 sec e-1 (298 K)	)-)
Reliability: 24-NOV-1999	(2) Valid with restrictions (	(21)
Type: Method:	Other	
Year: Test substance:	GLP:	
Remark:	Rate constant (298 K): K= (10.37 +/- 0.04)*10^-12 cm^3/mol*	r
	sec. (	(22)

# 3.1.2 Stability in Water

# **<u>3.1.3 Stability in Soil</u>**

-

### 3.2 Monitoring Data (Environment)

Type of measurement: Medium: Remark:	Other Other: Food / rain Numerous foodstuffs and beverages, such as milk, cheese, win fruits, honey and coffee, contain formic acid; natural concentrations are mentioned in a range of from 1-7,700 mg/l (FDA, PB 266282). Formic acid is found in the atmosphere and can be detected in rainwater among others: Rainwater in Ithaca (USA,1977) - 110 ug/l; rainwater in New Hampshire (USA,1977) - 9.2 ug/l; rainwater in the Taunus (1983/84) - 120 ug/l (Hahn, 1986) Rainwater in Hanover (1987) - 260 ug/l (Winkeler et al., 1988) Rainwater in Juelich (1986) - 250 ug/l. (Mueller,1986)	kg
Type of measurement: Medium: Remark:	Other Other: Industrial effluent (paper manufacture) Evidence of 18 mg/l (gas liquid chromatography mass spectrometry)	

(24)

Type of measurement: Other Other: Sewage & effluents (oxidation pond water) Medium: Remark: Evidence of 31 mg/l (gas liquid chromatography mass spectrometry) (24)Type of measurement: Other Other: Surface water (lake) Medium: Evidence of 3-18 ug/l (liquid chromatography) Remark: (25)Type of measurement: Other Medium: Other: Surface water (Ohio river) Remark: Evidence of 10-24 ug/l (gas liquid chromatography) (26)Type of Other measurement: Other: Industrial influent/effluent (kraft pulp) Medium: Evidence of 18/31 mg/l (influent to /effluent from stabili-Remark: zation basin) (27)Type of measurement: Other Medium: Biota Remark: Formic acid is a natural substance which is formed biogenically as an intermediate and final product in the microbial, plant and animal metabolism. It is an excretion product of natural acid-forming prokaryotic fermenting organisms. These anaerobes are bacteria which belong to the enterobacteriaceae and are also typically native to the human intestines (e.g. E. coli). Formic acid is moreover formed in the glands of ants and stinging nettles and in other animals and plants. 09-NOV-1999 (23)Type of Other measurement: Medium: Other Remark: Formic acid found in (ppbv): 1. Germany: Continental anticyclone 1.04 +/- 1.08, marine influence 0.17 +/- 0.06; 2. Amazon/basin, ABLE-2A, dry season: 1.6 +/- 0.6 (boundary layer); 3. Amazon/basin, ABLE-2B, wet season: 0.37 +/- 0.24 (boundary layer),  $0.15 \pm - 0.09$  (free troposphere); 4. Central Africa/DECAFE, dry season: 3.7 +/- 1.0 (boundary layer),  $0.9 \pm - 0.3$  (free troposphere). (28)

- 11/80 -

# 3.3.1 Transport between Environmental Compartments

Type:	Volatility
Media:	Water - air
Method:	Other
Year:	
Remark:	Henry's constant: 1.67*10^-7 atm*m^3/mol (calculated from original citation: "6*10^3 mol 1^-1 atm^-1") The Henry's Law Constant indicates that volatilization from water would not be significant.
	(29) (30)

### **3.3.2 Distribution**

Media:	Air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water	
Method:	Calculation according to Mackay, Level I	
Year:		
Result:	Water: 92%, air: 7.99%, soil: 2.09E-3; sediment: 1.96E-3	
Reliability:	(1) Valid without restriction	
15-NOV-1999		(31)

### 3.4 Mode of Degradation in Actual Use

### **3.5 Biodegradation**

Type: Inoculum: Concentration: Degradation: Result: Kinetic:	Aerobic Other: Effluent of a communal sewage treatment plant 20 mg/l related to DOC (Dissolved Organic Carbon) = 98% after 14 days Readily biodegradable 7 days = 12% 10 days = 26% 13 days = 93% 14 days = 98%
Method:	OECD Guideline 301 E "Ready biodegradability: Modified OECD Screening Test"
Year:	<b>GLP:</b> Yes
Test substance:	
Remark:	Lag phase: 7 d; degradation phase: 6 d; test duration: 14 d test substance 72 mg/l initial concentration
Test condition:	Neutralized with NaOH
Reliability:	(2) Valid with restrictions
15-NOV-1999	(32)

Type: Aerobic Other: Effluent of a communal sewage treatment plant Inoculum: **Concentration:** 20 mg/l related to DOC (Dissolved Organic Carbon) **Degradation:** = 100% after 11 days Readily biodegradable Result: Kinetic: 2 days = 2% 3 days = 4% 7 days = 13% 8 days = 38% = 100% 9 days OECD Guideline 301 E "Ready biodegradability: Modified OECD Method: Screening Test" GLP: Yes Year: Test substance: Lag phase: 6 d; degradation phase: 3 d; test period: 11 d Remark: Test substance 77 mg/l initial concentration Test condition: Neutralized with NaOH Reliability: (2) Valid with restrictions 15-NOV-1999 (33) Aerobic Type: Other bacteria: freshwater, acclimatized Inoculum: Degradation: = 51% after 5 days Method: Other: Sealed bottle test; (BSB of the THSB) Year: GLP: Test substance: Remark: Initial concentration 3-10 mg/l test substance Test results with a variable test period: Degree of elimination (10/15/20 d) = 47/39/60%Test condition: neutralized (34) Type: Aerobic Inoculum: Other bacteria: freshwater, not acclimatized Degradation: = 48% after 5 days Method: Other: sealed bottle test; (BSB of the THSB) Year: GLP: Test substance: Initial concentration 3-10 mg/l test substance Remark: Test results with a variable test period: Degree of elimination (10/15/20 d) = 54/66/68%Neutralized Test condition:

(34)

Type: Aerobic Inoculum: Other bacteria: salt water, synthetic = 62% after 5 days Degradation: Method: Other: Sealed bottle test; (BSB of the THSB) Year: GLP: Test substance: Initial concentration 3-10 mg/l test substance Remark: Test results with a variable test period: Degree of elimination (10/15/20 d) = 91/92/95%Test condition: Neutralized (34) Aerobic Type: Other bacteria: Sewage, communal Inoculum: About 80% after 5 days Degradation: Method: Other: Respirometric dilution method; (BSB of the THSB) Year: GLP: Test substance: Remark: Dilution series: Initial concentration of the test substance variable from 24-1200 mg/l 13-AUG-1996 (35)Aerobic Type: Inoculum: Other bacteria: Freshwater Concentration: 20 mg/l related to test substance **Degradation:** = 40.5% after 5 days Method: Other: Dilution method; (BSB of the THSB) Year: GLP: Test substance: (36) Type: Aerobic Inoculum: Other bacteria: Salt water, synthetic Concentration:40 mg/l related to teDegradation:= 51.7% after 5 days 40 mg/l related to test substance Method: Other: Dilution method; (BSB of the THSB) Year: GLP: Test substance: (36)Aerobic Type: Activated sludge Inoculum: **Concentration:** 500 mg/l related to test substance **Degradation:** = 70% after 1 day Method: Other: Warburg method; (BSB of the THSB) Year: GLP: Test substance: Remark: Test results with a variable test period: Degree of elimination (6/12 h) = 28.3/45.4%

(37)

- 14/80 -

# 3.6 BOD5, COD or BOD5/COD Ratio

### **3.7 Bioaccumulation**

Species: Exposure period: Concentration: BCF: Elimination: Method: Year: Test substance:	Approx22 Other GLP:	
Remark:	BCF calculated on the basis of the log Pow = $-0.54$ and the equation "log BCF = $0.76$ log Pow $-0.23$ "	38)
Species: Exposure period: Concentration: BCF: Elimination: Method:	Other	
Year:	GLP:	
Test substance: Remark:	The log Pow measured of $-0.54$ suggests the absence of a bioaccumulation potential.	23)

3.8 Additional Remarks

# AQUATIC ORGANISMS

# 4.1 Acute/Prolonged Toxicity to Fish

Type: Species: Exposure period: Unit: LC50: Method: Year: Test substance: Remark: Test substance: 06-SEP-1995	Other: No data Lepomis gibbosus (fish, freshwater) 24 hour(s) mg/1 Analytical monitoring: No data = 5000 Other: Freeman, L.: Sewage Ind. Wastes 25 (7), 845 1953 GLP: No data No data Bluegill sunfish Sodium formate (39) (40)
Type:	Other: No data
Species:	Lepomis macrochirus (fish, freshwater)
Exposure period:	24 hour(s)
Unit:	mg/1 Analytical monitoring: No data
LC50:	= 175
Method:	Other: Freeman, L.: Sewage Ind. Wastes 25 (7), 845
Year:	1953 GLP: No
Test substance:	No data
Remark:	The result is only available as a brief secondary citation.
06-SEP-1995	(39) (41)
Type:	Static
Species:	Leuciscus idus (fish, freshwater)
Exposure period:	48 hour(s)
Unit:	mg/1 Analytical monitoring: No
NOEC:	= 100
LC50:	= 122
Method:	Other: Determination of the effect of water constituents on
Year:	fish, DIN 38412 part 15
Test substance:	GLP: Yes
23-OCT-1995	No data (42)

Type: Species: Exposure period:	Static Leuciscus idus (fish, freshwater) 96 hour(s)	
Unit:	mg/l Analytical monitoring: No	
NOEC:	22	
LC50:	46 - 100	
Method:	Other: Determination of the effect of water constituents of	on
	fish, DIN 38412 part 15	
Year:	1982 <b>GLP:</b> No	
Test substance:	As prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
Remark:	To assess the physiologic effect of the relatively low pH on the golden orfe the highest test concentration (100 mg/ was investigated in parallel after adjusting the pH with NaOH approximately to the pH of the control. After the pH adjustment, 100 mg/l was tolerated without mortality and without any symptoms.	/1)
23-OCT-1995		(43)

# **<u>4.2 Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates</u>**

Species: Exposure period:	Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 24 hour(s)	
Unit: ECO:	<pre>mg/l Analytical monitoring: = 25</pre>	
EC50:	= 34.2	
EC100: Method:	= 50 Directive 84/449/EEC, C.2 "Acute toxicity for Daphnia"	
Year: Test substance:	GLP:	
23-SEP-1999	(4	44)
Species: Exposure period:	Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s)	
Exposure period: Unit: EC0:	48 hour(s) mg/l Analytical monitoring: = 25	
Exposure period: Unit:	48 hour(s) mg/l Analytical monitoring:	
Exposure period: Unit: EC0: EC50:	<pre>48 hour(s) mg/l = 25 = 34.2</pre> Analytical monitoring:	
Exposure period: Unit: ECO: EC50: EC100: Method:	<pre>48 hour(s) mg/l Analytical monitoring: = 25 = 34.2 = 50 Directive 84/449/EEC, C.2 "Acute toxicity for Daphnia" GLP:</pre>	44)

Species: Daphnia magna (Crustacea) **Exposure period:** 48 hour(s) Analytical monitoring: Unit: mq/l EC50: = 151.2 Other: Test for inhibition of swimming ability (immobilization) Method: Year: GLP: Test substance: Remark: Confidence limits: 138-165 mg/l Test condition: 22 degrees C; pH 7.0-8.2 (45) Daphnia magna (Crustacea) Species: **Exposure period:** 48 hour(s) mg/l Unit: Analytical monitoring: EC50: = 120Method: Year: GLP: Test substance: Remark: Immobilization (46) Other aquatic arthropod: Artemia salina (naupliar larvae) Species: **Exposure period:** 24 hour(s) Analytical monitoring: Unit: mg/l LC50 : = 410 Method: Year: GLP: Test substance: (34)

#### 4.3 Toxicity to Aquatic Plants e.g. Algae

 Species:
 Scenedesmus quadricauda (algae)

 Endpoint:
 96 hour(s)

 Unit:
 mg/l
 Analytical monitoring:

 TGK :
 = 100

 Method:
 Other: Cell multiplication inhibition test

 Year:
 GLP:

 Test substance:
 Image: Comparison of the state of th

(46)

Species:	Scenedesmus subspicatus (algae)
Endpoint: Exposure period:	72 hour(s)
Unit:	mg/l Analytical monitoring:
EC50:	= 26.9
EC20 :	= 14.9
Method:	Other: Scenedesmus cell multiplication inhibition test, DIN 38412 part 9, determination of the inhibitory effect of water constituents on green algae
Year:	GLP:
Test substance:	
Remark:	EC90(72h)=45.6 mg/l
	(44)
Species:	Scenedesmus subspicatus (algae)
Endpoint:	
Exposure period:	96 hour(s)
Unit:	mg/l Analytical monitoring:
EC50:	= 25
EC20 :	= 12.6
Method:	Other: Scenedesmus cell multiplication inhibition test, DIN 38412 part 9, determination of the inhibitory effect of
	water constituents on green algae
Year:	GLP:
Test substance:	
Remark:	EC90(96h)=45.1 mg/l
	(44)

# 4.4 Toxicity to Microorganisms e.g. Bacteria

Type: Species:	Aquatic Other bacteria: Activated sludge, adapted
Exposure period:	30 minute(s)
Unit:	mg/l Analytical monitoring:
EC20 :	> 1000
Method:	Other: Test for Inhibition of Oxygen Consumption by Activated
	Sludge, ISO 8192
Year:	GLP:
Year: Test substance:	GLP:
	<b>GLP:</b> If the test substance is properly introduced into adapted
Test substance:	If the test substance is properly introduced into adapted
Test substance:	If the test substance is properly introduced into adapted biological sewage treatment plants, no disorders of the
Test substance:	If the test substance is properly introduced into adapted biological sewage treatment plants, no disorders of the degradation activity of the activated sludge are expected.
Test substance:	If the test substance is properly introduced into adapted biological sewage treatment plants, no disorders of the

Type: Species: Exposure period: Unit: NOEC : Method:	Escherichia coli (bacteria) 24 hour(s) mg/l <b>Analytical monitoring:</b> = 1000
Year:	GLP:
Test substance:	
Remark:	Below 1000 mg/l without any inhibitory effect on the acid formation by Escherichia coli. (46)
Type:	
Species:	Pseudomonas putida (bacteria)
Exposure period:	17 hour(s)
Unit:	mg/l Analytical monitoring:
EC10:	= 33.9
EC50:	= 46.7
EC90 :	= 59.5
Method: Year:	Other: Pseudomonas cell multiplication inhibitory test, DIN 38412 part 8, adopted for yellow publication, determination of the inhibitory effect of water constituents on bacteria GLP:
rear: Test substance:	GLP:
iest substance:	(44)

# **4.5 Chronic Toxicity to Aquatic Organisms**

# 4.5.1 Chronic Toxicity to Fish

\_

# **4.5.2 Chronic Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates**

### TERRESTRIAL ORGANISMS

# 4.6.1 Toxicity to Soil Dwelling Organisms

### **4.6.2 Toxicity to Terrestrial Plants**

### 4.6.3 Toxicity to other Non-Mamm. Terrestrial Species

Species: Endpoint: Expos. period: Unit:	Other avian: Red-winged blackbird Other: Mortality and repellency	
LD50 :	>= 111	
Method:	Other	
Year:	GLP: No data	
Test substance:	No data	
Remark:	The acute oral toxicity and a	
	"repellency toxicity index" were determined.	
07-DEC-1995		(48)
Species:	Other avian: Red-winged blackbird	
Endpoint:		
Expos. period:		
Unit:	mg/kg bw	
LD50 :	> 111	
Method:	Other: Acute toxicity test	
Year:	GLP:	
Test substance:		
		(23)

**4.7 Biological Effects Monitoring** 

### **4.8 Biotransformation and Kinetics**

### 4.9 Additional Remarks

Memo:	Aedes aegyptii (insect larva): LC50 = 400 mg/l (4 h), or	
	LC50 = 0.04 % v/v (4 h); 22 - 24 °C	
13-JAN-2000		(49)

# 5.1 Acute Toxicity

# 5.1.1 Acute Oral Toxicity

Type: Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Value: Method: Year: Test substance: Remark: 06-SEP-1995	LD50 Rat = 1830 mg/kg bw Other: No data GLP: No No data The result is only available as a table in the form of a secondary citation. (50)	(51)
Type: Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Value: Value: Method: Year: Test substance: Remark: 06-SEP-1995	LD50 Rat = 1210 mg/kg bw Other: No data Mo data The result is only available as a secondary citation. (52)	(41)
Type: Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Value: Wethod: Year: Test substance: Remark: Result: Test substance: 11-SEP-1995	LD50 Rat = 730 mg/kg bw OECD Guideline 401 "Acute Oral Toxicity" 1981 GLP: No data No data 5 males and 5 females were used per dose group (501, 631, 794 and 1000 mg/kg). The observation period was 14 days. According to the authors, body weight gain was reduced clearly related to the dose. Formic acid 99%	(53)
TT 986-1999		(55)

Type:	LD50				
Species:	Rat				
Sex:					
Number of					
Animals:					
Vehicle:					
Value:	= 1100 mg/kg bw				
Method:	Other: No data				
Year:		GLP: No data			
Test substance:	No data				
Remark:	The result is only available	as a secondary cit	cation	•	
07-DEC-1995					(54)
Type:	LD50				
Species:	Rat				
Sex:					
Number of					
Animals:					
Vehicle:					
Value:	= 3050 mg/kg bw				
Method:	Other				
Year:		GLP: No			
Test substance:	Other TS				
Test substance:	Calcium formate				
23-OCT-1995					(55)
Type:	LD50				
Species:	Mouse				
Sex:					
Number of					
Animals:					
Vehicle:					
Value:	= 1100 mg/kg bw				
Method:	Other: No data				
Year:		GLP: No			
Test substance:	No data				
Remark:	55 animals were used; no fur	ther data. The resu	ılt		
	is only available as a table				
06-SEP-1995	*		(50)	(56)	(51)
			/	/	. ,

LD50 Type: Species: Mouse Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Value: = 11200 mg/kg bw Method: Other: No data GLP: No Year: Test substance: Other TS 45 animals were used; no further data. The result Remark: is only available as a table. Test substance: Sodium formate 05-SEP-1995 (56) Type: LD50 Species: Mouse Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Value: = 1920 mg/kg bw Method: Other: No data GLP: No Year: Test substance: Other TS Remark: 45 animals were used; no further data. The result is only available as a table. Test substance: Calcium formate 05-SEP-1995 (56) LD50 Type: Mouse Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: = 700 mg/kg bwValue: Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No data Test substance: No data Remark: The result is only available as a secondary citation. 07-DEC-1995 (54)

Type: LDLo Species: Rabbit Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Value: > 4000 mg/kg bw Method: Other GLP: No data Year: Test substance: Other TS Test substance: Formic acid 28-JUL-1997 (57)Other Type: Species: Dog Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Value: = 4000 mg/kg bw Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No Test substance: Other TS Deaths occurred. In the source, supposed methemoglobin Remark: formation is described. The original (Fleig 1907) is not available, and von Oettingen (1959) does not mention this effect. The finding seems to be unlikely. Test substance: Test substance: Sodium formate 05-SEP-1995 (50) (58) (41) (59) LDLo Type: Species: Sheep Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Value: Method: Other Year: GLP: No data Test substance: Other TS Remark: Formic acid (150 mg/kg) was without any adverse effect except for some indications of anorexia. Test substance: Formic acid 29-JUL-1997 (60)

- 25/80 -

\_\_\_\_\_

# 5.1.2 Acute Inhalation Toxicity

Type: Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Exposure time: Value: Method: Year: Test substance:	LC50 Rat 4 hour(s) = 7.4 mg/1 Other: BASF test GLP: No As prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
<b>Remark:</b> 05-SEP-1995	Whole-body exposure (vapor). 10 males and 10 females were used per group. The animals were observed for 14 days.	(61)
Type: Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle:	LC50 Rat	
Exposure time: Value:	15 minute(s) = 15 mg/l	
Method: Year:	Other: No data <b>GLP:</b> No data	
Test substance: Remark: 06-SEP-1995	No data The result is only available as a secondary citation.	(54)
Type: Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle:	Other: IHT Rat	
Exposure time:	50 minute(s)	
Value: Method: Year: Test substance:	Other: Carried out on the basis of the method described by Smith et al.: Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass.J. 23, 95-107 (1962) 1962 GLP: no As prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	H.F.
Remark:	Mortality (2/12) after 3 minutes, 5/6 after 10 min. and 6/6 after 30 and 50 min respectively. Exposure to an atmos	phere
06-SEP-1995	enriched or saturated at 20 degrees C.	(62)

- 26/80 -

Type: Other: IHT Species: Rat Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: **Exposure time:** 7 hour(s) Value: Method: Other: Carried out on the basis of the method described by H.F. Smith et al: Am. Ind. Hyg. Ass. J. 23, 95-107 (1962) Year: 1962 GLP: No Test substance: Other TS No mortality after 30 min. Exposure to an atmosphere enriched Remark: or saturated at 20 degrees C. Lethality after prolonged exposure Test substance: Formic acid 50% in water 05-SEP-1995 (63) Other: IHT Type: Rat Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: **Exposure time:** 7 hour(s) Value: Method: Other: BASF test Year: GLP: No Test substance: Other TS No mortality after 3-hour exposure to an atmosphere enriched Remark: or saturated at 20 degrees C. Lethality after prolonged exposure. Test substance: Formic acid 25% in water 05-SEP-1995 (64)Type: Other: IHT Species: Rat Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Exposure time: 7 hour(s) Value: Method: Other: BASF test GLP: No Year: Test substance: Other TS Remark: No mortality after 7-hour exposure to an atmosphere enriched or saturated at 20 degrees C. **Test substance:** Formic acid 10% in water 05-SEP-1995 (65)

Type: Other: IHT Species: Rat Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: 10 minute(s) Exposure time: Value: OECD Guideline 403 "Acute Inhalation Toxicity" Method: Year: 1981 GLP: No data Test substance: No data Inhalation hazard test: Lethality in 6 of 6 rats used after Remark: 10-min exposure to an atmosphere saturated at 20 degrees C (44,168 ppm) 06-SEP-1995 (66)Type: Other: IHT Species: Rat Sex: Male/female Number of Animals: 6 Vehicle: **Exposure time:** 116 minute(s) Value: Method: Other: IHT Year: 1981 GLP: No Test substance: Other TS 12/12 rats died after 10 and 116 min by inhalation of an Remark: atmosphere that had been saturated with the volatile part of the compound at 20 degrees centigrade. 8/12 rats died after 3 min by inhalation. Formic acid, purity >98% Test substance: 16-MAY-2000 (67)Type: LC50 Species: Mouse Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: **Exposure time:** 15 minute(s) Value: = 6.2 mg/lMethod: Other: No data Year: GLP: No data Test substance: No data The result is only available as a secondary citation. Remark: 07-DEC-1995 (54)

#### 5.1.3 Acute Dermal Toxicity

-

\_\_\_\_\_

# 5.1.4 Acute Toxicity, other Routes

Type: Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Route of admin.: Value: Method: Year: Test substance: Remark: 07-DEC-1995	LD50 Mouse = 940 mg/kg bw Other: No data No data The result is only available	GLP: No as a secondary citation. (50) (51) (68)	(52)
Type: Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle:	LDO Rabbit		
Route of admin.: Value: Method: Year: Test substance:	s.c. > 300 mg/kg bw Other Other TS	GLP: No data	
Remark: Test substance: 28-JUL-1997	Rabbits tolerated a 300 mg/k adverse effect. Formic acid	g s.c. administration without	(69)
Type: Species: Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle:	LDLo Rabbit		
Route of admin.: Value: Method:	s.c. Other	CI De No dete	
Year: Test substance: Remark:	Other TS Doses of 0.46-1.25 mg/kg cau depression, vasoconstriction larger doses (about 4 g/kg)	_	1.
<b>Test substance:</b> 29-JUL-1997	Formic acid		(69)

LD50 Type: Species: Mouse Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Route of admin.: i.v. Value: = 145 mg/kg bw Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No Test substance: No data 50 animals were used; no further data. The result is only Remark: available as a table. 07-DEC-1995 (50) (56) (51) (52) Type: Other: MLD Species: Rabbit Sex: Number of Animals: Vehicle: Route of admin.: i.v. Value: = 239 mg/kg bw Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No Test substance: No data Remark: Deaths occurred. The result is only available in a table as a secondary citation. 06-SEP-1995 (50)

### 5.2 Corrosiveness and Irritation

#### 5.2.1 Skin Irritation

Species: Rabbit Concentration: Exposure: Exposure Time: Number of Animals: PDII: Result: Corrosive EC classificat.: Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No Test substance: No data Remark: The various results are only available as secondary citations. 07-DEC-1995 (70) (51) (71) (72) (52) (73)

- 30/80 -

Species: Rabbit Concentration: Exposure: Exposure Time: Number of Animals: PDII: Result: EC classificat.: Method: Other: 610 mg open GLP: No Year: Test substance: No data The result is only available as a secondary citation. Remark: Effect: "mild" according to RTECS 06-SEP-1995 (74)Species: Other: No data Concentration: Exposure: Exposure Time: Number of Animals: PDII: Result: Highly corrosive EC classificat.: Method: Other GLP: No data Year: Test substance: No data 23-OCT-1995 (75)

### 5.2.2 Eye Irritation

Species: Rabbit Concentration: Dose: Exposure Time: Comment: Number of Animals: Result: Irritating EC classificat.: Method: Other: Application to the cornea Year: GLP: No data Test substance: No data 06-SEP-1995 (76)

Species: Rabbit Concentration: Dose: Exposure Time: Comment: Number of Animals: Result: Irritating EC classificat.: Other: No data Method: GLP: No Year: Test substance: No data Method: 122 mg Remark: Effect: "severe" according to RTECS The result is only available as a secondary citation. 06-SEP-1995 (74)Species: Other: No data Concentration: Dose: Exposure Time: Comment: Number of Animals: Result: Irritating EC classificat.: Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No Test substance: No data Conjunctivitis, corneal injuries Remark: Origin of the result not comprehensible 06-SEP-1995 (77)

### 5.3 Sensitization

Type:	No data	
Species: Number of	Human	
Animals:		
Vehicle:		
Result:		
Classification:		
Method:	Other: No data	
Year:	GLP: No data	
Test substance:	No data	
Remark:	According to the present secondary source (HSDB), sensitization to formic acid may occur in rare cases in persons who had previously been exposed to formaldehyde.	
06-SEP-1995		(76)

- 32/80 -

# 5.4 Repeated Dose Toxicity

Species:	Rat Sex:	Mala
Strain:	Wistar Sex.	Male
Route of admin.:	Inhalation	
Exposure period:	3-8 days	
Frequency of	5 0 days	
treatment:	6 h daily	
Post. obs.	o II daily	
period:	No data	
Doses:	0.037 mg/l (20 ppm)	
Control Group:	Yes, concurrent vehicle	
Method:	Other	
Year:	GLP: No da	t - 2
Test substance:	No data	La
Result:		avpaquera tha
Result:	No clinical symptoms. On the 3rd day of glutathione concentration was reduced i	
	-	
	kidneys and increased in the brain as c	-
	control. The cerebral and acid proteina	_
	increased at the end of the test. The h	
	dismutase activity was below the contro	
	activity of the ethoxycoumarin deethyla	
	The activities of cytochrome P450 and e	
	deethylase were reduced in the kidneys.	NO TETALION OF LNE
06-SEP-1995	changes to the duration of exposure.	(70) (70)
06-SEP-1995		(78) (79)
Species		
Species:	Rat Sex:	Male/female
Strain:	Rat Sex: . Fischer 344	Male/female
-		Male/female
Strain:	Fischer 344	Male/female
Strain: Route of admin.:	Fischer 344 Inhalation	Male/female
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period:	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks	Male/female
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of	Fischer 344 Inhalation	Male/female
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment:	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks	Male/female
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs.	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period:	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/1	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses:	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period:	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group:	<pre>Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l</pre>	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL:	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL:	<pre>Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l</pre>	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method:	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other GLP: Yes	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method: Year:	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other GLP: Yes No data	(8, 16, 32, 64, 128
<pre>Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method: Year: Test substance:</pre>	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other GLP: Yes No data 10 males and 10 females were used per g	(8, 16, 32, 64, 128 roup. Another 10 males
<pre>Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method: Year: Test substance:</pre>	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other <b>GLP:</b> Yes No data 10 males and 10 females were used per g and 10 females per group were used for	<pre>(8, 16, 32, 64, 128 roup. Another 10 males the clinicopathologic</pre>
<pre>Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method: Year: Test substance:</pre>	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other GLP: Yes No data 10 males and 10 females were used per g and 10 females per group were used for examination which was carried out on th	<pre>(8, 16, 32, 64, 128 roup. Another 10 males the clinicopathologic e 3rd and 23rd day of the</pre>
<pre>Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method: Year: Test substance:</pre>	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other GLP: Yes No data 10 males and 10 females were used per g and 10 females per group were used for examination which was carried out on th study. The body weights were determined	<pre>(8, 16, 32, 64, 128 roup. Another 10 males the clinicopathologic e 3rd and 23rd day of the at the beginning and at</pre>
<pre>Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method: Year: Test substance:</pre>	Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other <b>GLP:</b> Yes No data 10 males and 10 females were used per g and 10 females per group were used for examination which was carried out on th study. The body weights were determined the end of the study and at weekly inte	<pre>(8, 16, 32, 64, 128 roup. Another 10 males the clinicopathologic e 3rd and 23rd day of the at the beginning and at rvals in between. The organ</pre>
<pre>Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method: Year: Test substance:</pre>	<pre>Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other GLP: Yes No data 10 males and 10 females were used per g and 10 females per group were used for examination which was carried out on th study. The body weights were determined the end of the study and at weekly inte weights (thymus, heart, right kidney, 1</pre>	<pre>(8, 16, 32, 64, 128 roup. Another 10 males the clinicopathologic e 3rd and 23rd day of the at the beginning and at rvals in between. The organ ungs, liver and right</pre>
<pre>Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method: Year: Test substance:</pre>	<pre>Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other GLP: Yes No data 10 males and 10 females were used per g and 10 females per group were used for examination which was carried out on th study. The body weights were determined the end of the study and at weekly inte weights (thymus, heart, right kidney, 1 testis) were determined. Hematologic an</pre>	<pre>(8, 16, 32, 64, 128 roup. Another 10 males the clinicopathologic e 3rd and 23rd day of the at the beginning and at rvals in between. The organ ungs, liver and right d biochemical serum</pre>
<pre>Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Control Group: NOAEL: LOAEL: Method: Year: Test substance:</pre>	<pre>Fischer 344 Inhalation 13 weeks 5 days per week, 6 hours a day None 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l ppm) Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l .12 mg/l Other GLP: Yes No data 10 males and 10 females were used per g and 10 females per group were used for examination which was carried out on th study. The body weights were determined the end of the study and at weekly inte weights (thymus, heart, right kidney, 1</pre>	<pre>(8, 16, 32, 64, 128 roup. Another 10 males the clinicopathologic e 3rd and 23rd day of the at the beginning and at rvals in between. The organ ungs, liver and right d biochemical serum c and histopathologic</pre>

- 33/80 -

All animals used survived. The body weight of the males of Result: the 32 ppm group was slightly but significantly increased at the end of the study. The body weight gains of the males of the 16, 32 and 64 ppm groups were also significantly increased. No definitely substance-related clinical signs of toxicity were observed during the study. The hematologic changes observed were all slight: At the end of the study, the number of neutrophils was significantly but not dose-dependently reduced in animals of both sexes in all dose groups. Other hematologic changes were rather of an incidental nature and not relevant. Furthermore, few and slight changes of the biochemical serum parameters were observed. No unusual gross lesions were observed. The absolute liver weights were significantly increased in the males of all exposure groups, and the relative liver weights were significantly increased in the three highest dose groups only. The absolute and relative lung weights were significantly reduced in the females of all exposure groups. In the males, the relative lung weights were significantly reduced in all exposure groups, and the absolute lung weights were significantly reduced in the two highest dose groups only. Most of the histopathologic changes at the respiratory and olfactory nasal epithelia were restricted to the highest dose group. The respiratory epithelium mainly showed slight squamous epithelial metaplasias, and the olfactory epithelium showed minimal to slight degenerative changes. In the 32 and 64 ppm groups, a minimal degeneration of the olfactory epithelium was observed in one male in each case. As compared with the 2-week study (q.v.), there was no increase in the degree of lesions after prolonged exposure. According to the NTP, a NOAEL of 64 ppm (0.122 mg/l) is obtained from the results of this 13-week study, whereas a NOAEL of 32 ppm (0.06 mg/l) is obtained from the results of the 2-week study. Formic acid, approx. 95% with approx. 5% water Test substance: 11-SEP-1995 (80) (81)

- 34/80 -

Species: Rat. Sex: Male/female Fischer 344 Strain: Route of admin.: Inhalation Exposure period: 12 days Frequency of 5 days per week, 6 hours per day treatment: Post. obs. 1 dav period: 0.06; 0.12; 0.24; 0.48; 0.95 mg/l (31; 62.5; 125; 250; 500 Doses: ppm) Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l NOAEL: LOAEL: .12 mg/l Method: Other GLP: No Year: Test substance: No data Remark: The study was used as a pretest for the 13-week study. 5 males and 5 females were used per group. After the 3rd day of exposure, the urine of the animals was collected for 16 hours. The following parameters were determined in the urine: volume, pH, glucose, protein and activities of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT) and alkaline phosphatase (AP). One day after the end of exposure, blood samples were taken and examined. The animals and their organs (liver, thymus, right kidney, right testis, heart and lungs) were examined by gross pathology, and the respiratory organs were also examined histopathologically. Result: In the highest dose group, three males and one female died on the 10th day of exposure. The body weights at the end of the study were significantly reduced in the males of the two highest dose groups and in the females of the highest dose group. In the two highest dose groups, clinical signs typical of substances which irritate the respiratory tract were observed: nasal discharge, increased preening, hypoactivity and labored breathing. In the highest dose group, corneal opacities were detected in the animals exposed during the study; at necropsy, this effect was however confirmed grosspathologically and histopathologically in only one male. There were no relevant substance-induced influences on the blood pH, coagulation and serum electrolyte concentrations. At the two highest dose levels, urinalysis showed a reduction in the volume of the 16-hour urine in the animals of both sexes and a simultaneous increase of the specific density due to this. The absolute and relative thymus weights were significantly reduced in animals of both sexes of the highest dose group. The other absolute organ weights did not show any significant changes. The relative kidney weight was significantly increased in animals of both sexes, and the relative heart weight was increased only in the females of the highest dose group.

- 35/80 -

Histopathologic changes were detected in the upper respiratory tract in animals of both sexes from a test substance concentration of 0.12 mg/l (62.5 ppm) onward in relation to the dose. Up to a concentration of 0.48 mg/l (250 ppm), squamous epithelial metaplasias, inflammations and necroses of the respiratory epithelium as well as necroses of the olfactory epithelium were detected. In the highest concentration, the severest lesions also were squamous epithelial metaplasias and inflammations in the larynx. There were no substance-induced histopathologic changes in the lowest dose. To sum up, the inhalation of the test substance only led to slight effects of systemic toxicity; the histopathologic changes observed were typical of the inhalation exposure of irritant substances. Test substance: Formic acid, approx. 95% with approx. 5% water 11-SEP-1995 (80) (81) Species: Rat Sex: No data Strain: No data Route of admin.: Oral feed Exposure period: 5-6 weeks Frequency of treatment: Continuously with the feed Post. obs. period: No data 0.5 and 1.0% (= 2500 mg/kg/d according to the authors, no Doses: information whether 0.5 or 1.0%) Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment Other: No data Method: GLP: No Year: Test substance: No data Remark: Cited according to: Sporn, A. et al.: Igiena (Bucharest) 11, 507-515 (1962) 8 animals were used per group. Retarded body weight gain, Result: reduction of the organ weights (liver and kidneys in both dose groups, adrenal and spleen in the lowest dose group only), no dose dependence. The results are only available as a brief keynote summary (secondary citation). 11-SEP-1995 (50)

- 36/80 -

Species: Rat. Sex: Male/female Strain: No data Route of admin.: Drinking water Exposure period: Up to 27 weeks Frequency of treatment: Continuously in the drinking water Post. obs. No data period: Doses: 8.2, 10.25, 90, 160, 360 mg/kg/d Control Group: No data specified Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No Test substance: No data Group 1: 0.01% in the feed for 11 weeks, 6 animals, 8.2 Result: mg/kg/d. Group 2: 0.01% in the feed for 14 weeks, 3 animals, 10.25 mg/kg/d. Group 3: 0.1% in the feed for 15 weeks, 6 animals, 90 mg/kg/d. Group 4: 0.01% in the feed for 12 weeks and subsequently 0.25% for 15 weeks, 4 animals, 160 mg/kg/d. Group 5: 0.1% in the feed for 17 weeks and subsequently 0.5% for 9 weeks, 3 animals, 360 mg/kg/d. Reduction of feed consumption and growth in the highest dose (group 5). Mortality: 1/6 and 2/4 in groups 1 and 4 respectively, otherwise no mortality. The results are only available as a brief keynote summary or as a table in the original literature (Solmann (1921)). The study does not comply with criteria valid today. 11-SEP-1995 (50) (82) Sex: Male/female Species: Rat Strain: Wistar Route of admin.: Drinking water Exposure period: Lifelong (2-3 years) Frequency of Continuously in the drinking water treatment: Post. obs. period: None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150-200 mg/kg/d in the lowest dose according Doses: to the authors) Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment Method: Other: No data GLP: No Year: Test substance: Other TS Remark: The results are only summarized in keynotes or presented briefly in a table in the case of body weight gain. Result: 6 animals were used per group. No clinical or pathologic changes (growth or organ functions) were detected in any dose group; in particular, there were no disorders of the ocular fundus. The

- 37/80 -

study includes several generations (up to 5). At the beginning, 8 males and 24 females were used. Test substance: Ca formate in the drinking water 06-SEP-1995 (56)Species: Sex: No data Rat. Strain: Wistar Route of admin.: Drinking water **Exposure period:** 1.5 years Frequency of treatment: Continuously in the drinking water Post. obs. period: None 1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185 mg/animal calculated to Doses: formic acid according to the authors) Control Group: No data specified Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No Test substance: Other TS Remark: The results are only available as a brief keynote summary. Result: No toxicity detected 6 animals/group Test substance: Na formate in the drinking water 06-SEP-1995 (56)Species: Rat Sex: No data Strain: No data Route of admin.: Drinking water Exposure period: 6 weeks Frequency of Continuously in the drinking water treatment: Post. obs. No data period: 0.5 and 1.0% (approx. 2500 mg/kg/d according to the authors; no Doses: information whether 0.5 or 1.0%) Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No Test substance: No data Remark: Cited according to: Sporn, A. et al.: Igiena (Bucharest) 11, 507-515 (1962) Result: 8 animals were used per group. Reduced body weight gain, reduction of organ weights (liver, kidney and adrenal in both dose groups and spleen only in the lowest dose group); no dose dependence The results are only available as a brief keynote summary (secondary citation). 11-SEP-1995 (50)

- 38/80 -

Species: Mouse Sex: No data B6C3F1 Strain: Route of admin .: Inhalation Exposure period: 13 weeks Frequency of 5 days per week, 6 hours per day treatment: Post. obs. None period: Doses: 0.015; 0.030; 0.061; 0.122; 0.244 mg/l (8, 16, 32, 64, 128 ppm) Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment NOAEL: .06 mg/l LOAEL: .12 mg/l Method: Other Year: GLP: No data Test substance: No data 10 males and 10 females were used per group. The body weights Remark: were determined at the beginning and at the end of the study and at weekly intervals in between. The organ weights (thymus, heart, right kidney, lungs, liver and right testis) were determined. At the end of the study, the animals were examined by gross pathology. Some organs were assessed grosspathologically and histopathologically. According to the authors, there were no clinical signs of Result: toxicity throughout the study, nor was there any mortality due to exposure. The table shows, however, that only 9 of 10 males and females in each case survived in the highest dose group; the authors do not give any further details. The body weight gains were significantly reduced in the animals of both sexes in the highest dose group, and in the females they were still significantly reduced even in the 64 ppm group. In the highest dose group, the body weights at the end of the study were significantly reduced in the animals of both sexes; this also led to increased relative organ weights in some cases. However, slight, significant increases of the relative liver or kidney weights were detected in the males or females of the 32 and 64 ppm groups. No gross-pathologic changes were observed. Minimal histopathologic lesions (degenerations) were only observed at the olfactory nasal epithelium in some animals of the two highest dose groups. According to the NTP, a NOAEL of 64 ppm (0.122 mg/l) is obtained from the results of the 13-week study; taking into account the 2-week study (q.v.), however, the NTP fixed a NOAEL of 32 ppm (0.06 mg/l). Test substance: Formic acid, approx. 95% with approx. 5% water 11-SEP-1995 (80) (81)

Species: Mouse Sex: Male/female B6C3F1 Strain: Route of admin .: Inhalation Exposure period: 12 days Frequency of 5 days per week, 6 hours per day treatment: Post. obs. 1 dav period: 0.06; 0.12; 0.24; 0.48; 0.95 mg/l (31; 62.5; 125; 250; 500 Doses: ppm) Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment .06 mg/l NOAEL: LOAEL: .12 mg/l Method: Other GLP: No Year: Test substance: No data Remark: The study served as a pretest for the 13-week study. 5 males and 5 females were used per group. The animals and their organs (liver, thymus, right kidney, right testis, heart and lungs) were assessed by gross pathology, and the respiratory organs were also examined histopathologically. Result: All animals of the highest dose group died during the first week of the study; one female of the 250 ppm group (0.48 mg/l) had to be sacrificed on the 4th day on account of its moribund state. At the end of the study, the body weights of the animals of both sexes were significantly reduced in the 250 ppm group. Clinical signs of toxicity due to exposure were only observed in the two highest dose groups and were typical of the exposure to irritant substances by inhalation as in the case of the study with rats. Corneal opacities were observed in the males and females of the highest dose group. The deaths that occurred were attributed to swelling of the nasal mucosa up to nasal occlusion and severe impairment of respiration due to this. No gross-pathologic changes were observed in any other animals at necropsy at the end of the study. The relative kidney weights of the males of the 62.5, 125 and 250 ppm groups and of the females of the 250 ppm group were slightly increased. In the 250 ppm group, the absolute and relative thymus weights were reduced in animals of both sexes and the relative lung weights were slightly increased. The histopathologic changes showed no substantial sex-specific differences and, except for the highest dose group, they were detected only in the nasal passages. The severity of the histopathologic changes observed (squamous epithelial metaplasias, inflammation and necroses) was dose-dependent, and larynx, pharynx and trachea were also affected in the highest dose group. The males of the two lowest doses showed no changes due to exposure; two females

- 40/80 -

of the 62.5 ppm group demonstrated squamous epithelial metaplasias of the respiratory epithelium. No histopathologic changes were observed in the lowest dose. To sum up, inhalative exposure to the test substance only led to slight systemic toxicity; the histopathologic changes observed were typical of the inhalation of irritant substances. When comparing the species, the mouse proved to be more sensitive than the rat. Test substance: Formic acid, approx. 95% with approx. 5% water 11-SEP-1995 (80) (81) Species: Mouse Sex: No data Strain: Swiss Route of admin.: Dermal Exposure period: 50 days Frequency of treatment: Twice per week Post. obs. period: None No data Doses: Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment Method: Other GLP: No Year: Test substance: No data Remark: The method is not acceptable and does not comply with current criteria. Moreover, documentation is inadequate. Therefore, the study cannot be assessed. Result: Painting at the ear with 8% formic acid in mineral oil. As compared with tumor promotors (croton oil, Tween 60), no histopathologic or histomorphometric changes 11-SEP-1995 (83)Species: Sex: No data Doq Strain: No data Oral feed Route of admin.: Exposure period: No data Frequency of treatment: Daily Post. obs. period: No data 500 mg/animal (?) Doses: Control Group: No data specified Method: Other: No data GLP: No Year: Test substance: No data Result: No toxicity detected; no further data. Only secondary citation 06-SEP-1995 (59)

- 41/80 -

# 5.5 Genetic Toxicity 'in Vitro'

Туре:	Ames test
System of testing: Concentration: Metabolic	Salmonella typhimurium TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 No data
activation: Result:	With and without
Method: Year:	Other GLP: No data
Test substance: Remark:	No data Method: Spot test and plate incorporation assay. Bacteriotoxicity was detected; the authors do not make any statement about mutagenicity.
06-SEP-1995	(84)
Type: System of	Ames test
testing: Concentration:	Salmonella typhimurium TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 20, 100, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 2500, 4000, 8000, 12500 ug/plate
Metabolic activation: Result: Method: Year: Test substance:	With and without Negative Other: Ames, B.N. et al.: Mutation Research 31, 347-364 1975 GLP: Yes Other TS
	Calcium formate (85)
Type: Suctor of	Ames test
System of testing: Concentration: Metabolic	Salmonella typhimurium TA97, TA98, TA100, TA1535 10, 33, 100, 333, 1000, 3333 ug/plate
activation: Result:	With and without Negative
Method: Year:	Other: Haworth, S. et al.: Environ. Mutagen. 5, Suppl. 1, 3-142 1983 GLP: No
Test substance: Test substance: 06-SEP-1995	No data Formic acid, approx. 95% with approx. 5% water (81) (86)

Type: Ames test System of TA100 testing: Concentration: No data Metabolic With and without activation: Result: Negative Method: Other: Based on Ames, B.N. et al.: Mutation Research 31, 347-364 1975 GLP: No data Year: Test substance: No data 06-SEP-1995 (87) Type: Cytogenetic assay System of testing: CHO-K1 cells Concentration: 270, 360, 450, 540, 630 ug/ml (6-14 mM) Metabolic activation: With and without Result: Ambiquous Method: Other Year: GLP: No data Test substance: No data Chromosome aberrations were examined. The unbuffered or Remark: unneutralized acid was clastogenic at pH values around 6.0 (10-14 mM) and cytotoxic from pH 5.7 (12-16 mM). Clastogenicity is stopped by neutralization with NaOH or by increasing the buffer concentrations in the incubation medium. The authors conclude from this that it is not the substance as such that induces chromosome damage but that the latter is due to the acid pH of the incubation medium as a nonspecific effect. 06-SEP-1995 (88)Type: Escherichia coli reverse mutation assay System of testing: Escherichia coli Sd-4 50, 60, 65, 70, 75 ug/ml Concentration: Metabolic activation: Without Result: Positive Method: Other Year: GLP: No Test substance: No data Weakly positive result (without S9 mix). Remark: The number of bacteria was varied while the test substance concentration remained at almost the same level. The survival rate was reduced with a decrease in the bacterial count (from 100% at 1.5 x 10E9 bacteria up to 2.8% at 2.6 x 10E7). In parallel, the number of mutations was reduced with an increase in the survival rate. 06-SEP-1995 (89)

Type: Mouse lymphoma assay System of L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells testing: Concentration: No data Metabolic activation: No data Result: Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No data Test substance: No data Within the NTP, a mutagenicity test is to be carried out in Remark: L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells. No results have been available so far. 07-DEC-1995 (90)Type: Sister chromatid exchange assay System of testing: Chinese hamster V79 cells Concentration: 18.4, 27.6, 46.0, 92.0 ug/ml (0.4, 0.6, 1.0, 2.0 mM) Metabolic activation: With and without Negative Result: Method: Other Year: GLP: No data Test substance: No data Remark: No increased SCE frequency with and without S9 mix 08-SEP-1995 (91)Type: Sister chromatid exchange assay System of Human lymphocytes testing: Concentration: 29 - 460 ug/ml (0.63 - 10 mM) Metabolic Without activation: Result: Negative Method: Other Year: GLP: No data No data Test substance: Statistically significantly increased SCE frequency only Remark: in the highest concentration (10 mM), otherwise not; however, the pH that is reduced by almost one unit due to the addition of formic acid must be taken into account here. Test substance: Formic acid, 98-100% 11-SEP-1995 (92)

- 44/80 -

\_\_\_\_\_

Type: System of	Other: SOS chromotest	
testing:	Escherichia coli PQ37	
Concentration:	Up to the solubility limit, but maximally 100 mM (3-5 concentrations)	
Metabolic		
activation:	With and without	
Result:	Negative	
Method:	Other: Quillardet, P. and Hofnung, M.: Mutation Research 147, 65-78	
Year:	1985 GLP: No data	
Test substance:	No data	
Remark:	In this test system, the SOS gene expression which is induced by DNA damage is measured.	
06-SEP-1995	(93)	

## 5.6 Genetic Toxicity 'in Vivo'

Type: Species: Strain: Route of admin.:	Drosophila SLRL test Drosophila melanogaster Other: Oregon-K Other: inhalation and oral feed
Exposure period:	24 h (inhal.); instar and 24 h after hatching
	(feed)
Doses:	No data
Result:	
Method:	Other: Demerec, M.: Genetics 33, 337-348
Year:	1948 <b>GLP:</b> No
Test substance:	No data
Remark:	Positive after inhalative exposure and administration via the diet with mutation rates of 1.31 and 1.11% as compared with the control limit of 0.15% in each case. If the pH was buffered to 7.5 in the feeding study, there was no increased mutation rate.
06-SEP-1995	(94) (95)

\_\_\_\_\_

## 5.7 Carcinogenicity

Oma a i a a i	Mauraa	Come No data	
Species: Strain:	Mouse Swiss	Sex: No data	
Route of admin.:	Dermal		
Exposure period:	50 days		
Frequency of			
treatment:	Twice per week		
Post. obs.	27		
period:	None		
Doses:	No data		
Result:			
Control Group:	Yes, concurrent vehicle		
Method:	Other		
Year:	_	P: No	
Test substance:	No data		
Remark:	The method is not acceptable an criteria. Moreover, documentati the study cannot be assessed.		
Result:	Painting at the ear with 8% for compared with tumor promotors ( histopathologic or histomorphom	croton oil, Tween 60), no	
06-SEP-1995	1 5 1	(83)	
Species:	Rat	Sex: Male/female	
Species: Strain:	Rat Wistar	Sex: Male/female	
-		Sex: Male/female	
Strain:	Wistar	Sex: Male/female	
Strain: Route of admin.:	Wistar Drinking water	Sex: Male/female	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period:	Wistar Drinking water		
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years)		
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years)		
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs.	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa	ter	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None	ter	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None	ter	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Result:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150 - 200 mg/kg	ter	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Result: Control Group:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150 - 200 mg/kg Yes, concurrent no treatment Other: No data	ter	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Result: Control Group: Method:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150 - 200 mg/kg Yes, concurrent no treatment Other: No data	ter /d according to the authors)	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Result: Control Group: Method: Year:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150 - 200 mg/kg Yes, concurrent no treatment Other: No data GL	ter /d according to the authors) <b>P:</b> No	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Result: Control Group: Method: Year: Test substance:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150 - 200 mg/kg Yes, concurrent no treatment Other: No data GL Other TS	ter /d according to the authors) <b>P:</b> No wever, the conduct of	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Result: Control Group: Method: Year: Test substance:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150 - 200 mg/kg Yes, concurrent no treatment Other: No data GL Other TS No neoplasias were observed. Ho	<pre>ter /d according to the authors) P: No wever, the conduct of current requirements</pre>	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Result: Control Group: Method: Year: Test substance:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150 - 200 mg/kg Yes, concurrent no treatment Other: No data <b>GL</b> Other TS No neoplasias were observed. Ho the study does not comply with	<pre>ter /d according to the authors) P: No wever, the conduct of current requirements chapter:</pre>	
Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Result: Control Group: Method: Year: Test substance:	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150 - 200 mg/kg Yes, concurrent no treatment Other: No data <b>GL</b> Other TS No neoplasias were observed. Ho the study does not comply with (6 animals per group). See also	<pre>ter /d according to the authors) P: No wever, the conduct of current requirements chapter:</pre>	
<pre>Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Post. obs. period: Doses: Result: Control Group: Method: Year: Test substance: Result:</pre>	Wistar Drinking water Lifelong (2-3 years) Continuously in the drinking wa None 0.2 and 0.4% (= 150 - 200 mg/kg Yes, concurrent no treatment Other: No data <b>GL</b> Other TS No neoplasias were observed. Ho the study does not comply with (6 animals per group). See also Toxicity after repeated adminis	<pre>ter /d according to the authors) P: No wever, the conduct of current requirements chapter:</pre>	

- <b>-</b>	Rat Wistar	Sex: No data	
Route of admin.:	Drinking water		
Exposure period:	1.5 years		
Frequency of	-		
treatment:	Continuously in the drinking water	<u>-</u>	
Post. obs.			
period:	None		
Doses:	1% (= 274 mg/animal formate or 185	5 mg/animal calculated to	
	formic acid according to the authority	ors)	
Result:			
Control Group:	No data specified		
Method:	Other: No data		
Year:	GLP:	No	
Test substance:	Other TS		
Result:	No neoplasias were observed. However	ver, the conduct of	
	the study does not comply with cur	rrent requirements	
	(6 animals per group). See also ch	napter:	
	Toxicity after repeated administra	ation	
Test substance:	Sodium formate		
11-SEP-1995			(56)

## 5.8 Toxicity to Reproduction

Type: Species: Strain:	Fertility Rat <b>Sex:</b> Male/female Wistar	
Route of admin.:	Drinking water	
Exposure Period:	Up to 5th (0.2%) or 2nd (0.4%) generation	
Frequency of		
treatment:	Continuously in the drinking water	
Premating Exposur	e Period	
male:	No data	
female:	No data	
Duration of test:	Over several generations	
Doses:	0.2 and 0.4% (150-200 mg/kg/d according to the authors)	
Control Group:	Yes, concurrent no treatment	
Method:	Other: No data	
Year:	GLP: No	
Test substance:	Other TS	
Remark:	The conduct of the study does not comply with current	
	criteria. Moreover, documentation is inadequate. Therefore the study cannot be assessed.	∋,
Result:	No influence on fertility or offspring over several generations. No indication of teratogenicity. The fertilit of the dams, weight at birth and the weight gain of the offspring were measured.	су
<b>Test substance:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Calcium formate	(56)

Type: Fertility Species: Rat. Sex: Male/female Strain: Fischer 344 Route of admin.: Inhalation Exposure Period: 13 weeks Frequency of 5 days per week, 6 hours per day treatment: Premating Exposure Period male: No mating female: No mating Duration of test: 13 weeks Doses: 0.015, 0.061, 0.244 mg/l (8, 32, 128 ppm) Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment Method: Other Year: GLP: Yes Test substance: No data Remark: 10 males and 10 females were used per group. The investigation was carried out together with a subchronic study (see chapter 5.4). The weight of the left epididymis, sperm motility and concentration or vaginal cytology and estrous cycles were determined. Result: Formic acid had no effects on sperm motility, sperm concentration, testicular and epididymal weights or on the duration of the estrous cycles due to exposure. Test substance: Formic acid, approx. 95% with approx. 5% water 08-SEP-1995 (80) (81) Type: Fertility Species: Mouse Sex: Male/female Strain: B6C3F1 Route of admin .: Inhalation Exposure Period: 13 weeks Frequency of 5 days per week, 6 hours per day treatment: Premating Exposure Period male: No mating female: No mating Duration of test: 13 weeks 0.015, 0.061, 0.244 mg/l (8, 32, 128 ppm) Doses: Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment Method: Other Year: GLP: Yes Test substance: No data 10 males and 10 females were used per group. The Remark: investigation was carried out together with a subchronic study (see chapter 5.4). The weight of the left epididymis, sperm motility and concentration or vaginal cytology and estrous cycles were determined. Result: Formic acid showed no effects on the testicular and epididymal weights or on the duration of the estrous cycles due to exposure. On account of the high motility value of

- 48/80 -

the control group, sperm motility was reduced in all exposure
groups. No substance-induced influences were detected as
compared with the historical control.
Formic acid, approx. 95% with approx. 5% water
(80) (81)

### 5.9 Developmental Toxicity/Teratogenicity

Species: Strain: Route of admin.: Exposure period: Frequency of treatment: Duration of test: Doses: Control Group:	Mouse CD-1 Gavage 8th day of gestation Single dose Up to the 10th or 18th day of ges 750 mg/kg/d Yes, concurrent vehicle	Sex: Female
Method: Year:	Other	No data
Tear: Test substance:	Other TS	INU UALA
Test substance: Result:	In a pilot study, sodium formate of 25, 250, 500 and 750 mg/kg to 8th day of gestation. The aim was dose necessary to generate a form blood which is achieved after the methanol for 6h/d. This blood for reached at 750 mg/kg.	CD-1 mice by gavage on the to determine the formate ate concentration in the inhalation of 10,000 ppm
	In the main study with 750 mg/kg, concentrations were obtained in t decidua (2 mmol/kg) which were co inhalative methanol exposure (10, No significantly increased incide anterior neural tubes) were obser and the decidua folate concentrat	he plasma (1.05 mM) and mparable with those after 000 or 15,000 ppm, 6h/d). nce of CNS defects (open ved. The red blood count
Test substance:	The study was carried out to deter after exposure to methanol. Accorr present study showed that methanon metabolite formate induced terator in pregnant CD-1 mice which were concentrations. Sodium formate	ding to the authors, the l itself rather than the genicity (exencephaly) exposed to high methanol
30-OCT-1995		(96)

- 49/80 -

Species: Rat. Sex: No data Strain: Sprague-Dawley Route of admin.: Other: In vitro incubation in WEC (whole embryo culture) **Exposure period:** 48 h incubation Frequency of Single dose treatment: Duration of test: 48 h Doses: 200, 400, 800, 1200, 1600 ug/ml Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment Method: Other Year: GLP: No data Test substance: Other TS The effect of the pH (8.13, 7.75, 7.00, 6.50 and 6.00) on Result: the in vitro teratogenicity of sodium formate (0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.2 and 1.6 mg/ml) was investigated in rat embryo cultures (Sprague-Dawley rats, day 9.5 of gestation). Numerous embryonic developmental parameters showed that even the decreasing pH had an influence on embryonic development in this test system. In the highest concentration, the parameters crown-rump length (CRL), head length (HL), somite number (SN), developmental score (DS) and protein concentration were significantly reduced in the incubation medium regardless of the pH. At a test substance concentration of 0.8 and 1.2 mg/ml, these parameters were significantly reduced at a low pH. At a test substance concentration of 0.4 and 0.2 mg/ml, CRL, HL and the protein concentration were still significantly reduced at a pH of 6.5 in the medium. To sum up, a dependence of the embryonic developmental parameters and of embryolethality both on the formate concentration and on the pH in the incubation medium was demonstrated in this test system. Sodium formate Test substance: 30-OCT-1995 (97)

Species: Rat. Sex: No data Strain: Sprague-Dawley Route of admin.: Other: In vitro incubation in WEC (whole embryo culture) Exposure period: 24 and 48 h incubation Frequency of Single dose treatment: Duration of test: 24 and 48 h 200, 400, 800, 1200, 1600, 2000 ug/ml (sodium formate) and Doses: 140, 270, 540, 810, 1080 ug/ml (formic acid) Control Group: Yes, concurrent no treatment Method: Other GLP: No data Year: Test substance: Other TS Rat embryo cultures (9th day of gestation) were treated with Result: the test substances. The pH of the medium was no longer corrected after addition of the test substance. Both after 24- and after 48-h incubation with sodium formate, there was a significant and concentration-dependent reduction of the developmental parameters yolk sac diameter (YSD), crown-rump length (CRL), head length (HL), somite number (SN) and developmental score (DEVSC). Embryolethality was significantly increased only in the highest concentration after 48-h incubation. The number of anomalies (mainly CNS: open anterior and posterior neuropores and erratic neurorrhaphy) was significantly increased at 1.6 and 2.0 mg/ml after 24 h and at 0.8 and 2.0 mg/ml after 48-h incubation. The protein and DNA levels showed a significant and concentration-dependent reduction. Incubations with formic acid also showed a significant and concentration-dependent reduction of YSD, CRL, HL, SN and DEVSC after 24-h incubation and of CRL, HL, SOM and DEVSC after 48 h. Embryolethality was significantly increased in the highest concentration after 24 h and in the two highest concentrations after 48 h. Protein and DNA concentrations showed significant and concentration dependent decreases in both cases. The number of anomalies (open anterior and posterior neuropores, rotatory defects and enlarged maxillary process) showed a significant increase only at 0.81 mg/ml after 48-h incubation. To sum up, concentration-dependent embryotoxic and dysmorphic changes were detected in the culture both using formate and formic acid in this test system. Test substance: Formic acid and sodium formate 30-OCT-1995 (98) (99)

- 51/80 -

Species: Mouse Sex: No data Strain: CD-1 Route of admin.: Other: In vitro incubation in WEC (whole embryo culture) **Exposure period:** 5 h incubation Frequency of Single dose treatment: Duration of test: 5 h 45 ug/ml (1 mM) Doses: Control Group: Yes Method: Other GLP: No data Year: Test substance: Other TS Result: The incubation of CD-1 mouse embryo cells (11th day of gestation) in vitro in serum-free medium with 1mM Na formate only led to a very slight, nonsignificant impairment of 3H-thymidine incorporation. Furthermore, the substantial reduction of thymidine incorporation by the teratogenic substance methoxyacetic acid was considerably weakened after the joint incubation with 1mM Na formate. Test substance: Sodium formate 23-OCT-1995 (100)Species: Mouse Sex: No data Strain: CD-1Route of admin .: Other: In vitro incubation in WEC (whole embryo culture) Exposure period: 24 h incubation Frequency of treatment: Single dose Duration of test: 24 h 400, 800, 1600, 2000, 3000 ug/ml (sodium formate) and 270, Doses: 540, 810, 1600, 2000 ug/ml (formic acid) Yes, concurrent no treatment Control Group: Method: Other GLP: No data Year: Test substance: Other TS Result: Mouse embryo cultures (8th day of gestation) were treated with the test substances. The pH of the medium was no longer corrected after the addition of the substances. Both with sodium formate and with formic acid, there was a significant and concentration-dependent reduction of the developmental parameters yolk sac diameter (YSD), crown-rump length (CRL), head length (HL), somite number (SN) and developmental score (DEVSC). Embryolethality was not significantly increased in the case of the incubation with sodium formate; there was a significant incidence of anomalies of the CNS (open anterior and posterior neuropores and erratic neurorrhaphy), enlarged pericardium, enlarged maxillary process and retardation in heart development. In the case of the incubation with formic acid, embryolethality was significantly increased in the three highest concentrations; the number of anomalies was significantly increased from a concentration of >=0.54 mg/ml

- 52/80 -

<b>Test substance:</b> 30-OCT-1995	and was 100% at 1.6 mg/ml. There was a significant and concentration-dependent reduction of protein and DNA concentrations both with sodium formate and with formic acid. YSD, CRL, HL, SOM and DEVSC showed a significant trend to reduction. To sum up, concentration-dependent embryotoxic and dysmorphic changes were detected in the culture both using formate and formic acid in this test system. In a species comparison with the rat (see entry before), there were no quantitative or qualitative differences. Formic acid and sodium formate (98) (99)
Species:	Mouse Sex: No data
Strain:	CD-1
Route of admin.:	Other: In vitro incubation in WEC (whole embryo culture)
Exposure period:	12 h incubation
Frequency of	
treatment:	Single dose
Duration of test: Doses:	12 n 180, 360, 540, 900, 1800 ug formate/ml (4, 8, 12, 20, 40 mM)
Control Group:	Yes
Method:	Other: Cockroft, D.L., in: Copp, A.J. and Cockroft, D.L.
ne onou .	(eds.): Postimplantation Mammalian Embryos - A Practical
	Approach. IRL Press, Oxford, pp. 15-40
Year:	1990 GLP: No data
Test substance:	Other TS
Result:	Mouse embryo cultures (8th day of gestation) were treated with the test substances. The pH of the medium was no longer corrected after the addition of the substances. There was a significant and concentration-dependent reduction of the developmental parameters yolk sac diameter and crown-rump length. Relative embryonic growth and rotation (75% turning in the embryos treated with the test substance as compared with 90% in the control) were retarded. Moreover, concentration- dependent dysmorphogenic effects, such as dysraphia (incomplete closure of the cranium) with a high and significant incidence only in the highest concentration and a developmental disorder of the neural fold were detected.
Test substance:	Sodium formate
30-OCT-1995	(96)

Species: Rat. Sex: No data No data Strain: Route of admin .: Other: In vitro whole embryo culture Exposure period: 48 h incubation Frequency of Single dose treatment: Duration of test: 48 hours Doses: 0-2 mg/ml Control Group: Yes Method: Other: No data Year: GLP: No data Test substance: Other TS Effects of the combination of formic acid and methanol Remark: were investigated in the whole embryo culture. Gestational day-9 rat embryos were exposed to various concentrations of methanol and formic acid and the degree of embryotoxicity was compared following 48 h of exposure using the developmental score (DEVSC). Increasing concentrations of either methanol or formate resulted in significant decreases in DEVSC. Exposure to the combination of methanol and formate was less toxic than would have been expected based on the single concentration additivity which suggested an antagonistic activity. This observation was found for embryonic crown length, head length, somite number and DNA concentration. Test substance: Formic acid, probably neutralized, no further data 29-JUL-1997 (101)Species: Rat Sex: No data Strain: Spraque-Dawley Route of admin .: Other: In vitro whole embryo culture **Exposure period:** 48 h incubation Frequency of treatment: Single dose Duration of test: 48 h Doses: 0.141-1.055 ul/ml (3.74-27.96 umol/ml) Control Group: Yes Method: Other: New, D.A.T., The Mammalian Fetus in Vitro, 15-65, CR Austin (ed), Chapman and Hall, London 1973 Year: GLP: No data Test substance: Other TS In the study, the embryotoxicity of methanol and formic acid Remark: was evaluated using rat embryo culture. Rat embryos were explanted on day 10 of gestation and cultured. The results obtained showed that both methanol and formic acid have a concentration-dependent embryotoxic effect on the developing embryo in vitro. The no-effect concentration of formic acid was 7.74 umol/ml while a concentration of 18.66 umol/ml was associated with severe embryotoxicity. When embryos were grown in sera containing 18.66 umol sodium formate/ml or in sera adjusted with hydrochloric acid to pH values similar to those achieved with formic acid, the results indicated that both a low pH and formate contributed to the embryotoxicity of formic acid. The authors concluded that embryotoxicity due

to a low pH or a high formate level would occur only after severe methanol intoxication. Formic acid (89-91%), sodium formate Test substance: 29-JUL-1997 (102)Species: Rat Sex: Female Strain: Sprague-Dawley Route of admin.: Exposure period: Day 9 of gestation Frequency of treatment: Duration of test: 48 hours 1.51 mg/ml Doses: Yes Control Group: Other: In vitro incubation in whole embryo culture (WEC) Method: Year: 1998 GLP: No data Test substance: Other TS 16-MAY-2000

#### 5.10 Other Relevant Information

<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Adsorption Skin penetration; no data usable directly	(103)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Biochemical or cellular interactions Title: An in vitro method for predicting sensitizing properties of inhaled chemicals	(104)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 08-SEP-1995	Biochemical or cellular interactions The authors investigated the concentrations of 10- formyltetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase (FTHFDH) in tissue preparations of the retina, optical nerve and brain of the rat. Here, the authors observed FTHFDH concentrations the suggest high metabolic capacity of the target organs for formic acid. According to the authors, this might be an explanation for the absence of an ocular effect of formic acid (formate toxicity) in the rat.	at
Type: Remark:	Biochemical or cellular interactions The study compared the effects on retinal function and structure of rapidly increasing formate concentrations typical of acute methanol intoxication with low level plateau formate concentrations more likely to be generated by subacute or chronic methanol exposure. Anesthetized rats received i.p. injections of methanol at doses of 4 g/kg followed by supplemental injections of 2 g/kg and 1 g/kg respectively at 12-hour intervals. These dosage regimens were designed to maintain blood formate	2

<b>Test substance:</b> 29-JUL-1997	concentrations ranging from 8-15 mM or 4-6 mM for 30-40 h. Rats that accumulated the hight formate concentration of 8-15 mM developed metabolic acidosis, retinal dysfunction (reductions in a and b waves of the ERG), and retinal histopathologic changes (vacuolation in the retinal pigment epithelium and photoreceptor inner segments). Rats exposed to 4-6 mM for 48 h showed evidence of retinal dysfunction in the absence of metabolic acidosis and retinal histopathology. Methanol, HPLC grade (106)
Type: Remark:	Cytotoxicity Title: An evaluation of the utility of four in vitro short term tests for predicting the cytotoxicity of individual compounds derived from tobacco smoke
06-SEP-1995	(107)
Type: Remark:	Cytotoxicity Title: Cytotoxicity of carbohydrates heavily irradiated in solution
06-SEP-1995	(108)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Cytotoxicity Title: Formic Acid poisoning: Case report and in vitro study of the hemolytic activity (109)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Cytotoxicity Title: Cytotoxicity Testing of 114 Compounds by the Determination of the Protein Content in HEP G2 Cell Cultures (110)
Type: Remark:	Excretion The urine specimens of 12 male farmers who were exposed to formic acid in a concentration of 0.0073+/-0.0022 mg/l were examined. Immediately after exposure, the excretion of formic acid was not increased as compared with the control group. After 15 and 30 hours, however, there were substantial and significantly increased concentrations of formic acid in the urine of the persons exposed (factor 2.1 and 3.3). Excretion showed a linear dependence on the exposure concentration. The pH in the urine was unchanged, but the ammonium and calcium excretion was significantly increased 30 hours after exposure.
<b>Test substance:</b> 08-SEP-1995	Formic acid (111) (112)

- 56/80 -

Type: Remark:	Metabolism The following text generally describes the metabolism of formic acid. The citations on which it is based are listed separately with the titles of the studies. Formic acid is absorbed well via all routes of administration. As a metabolite, it is partially metabolized into CO2 and expired and partially excreted unchanged in the urine in concentrations of 11.7-60 mg/l. The biologic half-life is between 15 minutes and 1 hour:
08-SEP-1995	Formic acid is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract, via the lungs and the intact skin. The absorbed substance is degraded to carbon dioxide (CO2) and water and is partially excreted unchanged in the urine. The major part of the absorbed formic acid is metabolized in the liver, but partially also in the intestinal mucosa, lungs, kidneys and spleen. Formic acid is oxidized in relation to folate and according to a katalase-peroxidative mechanism. The half-lives of sodium formate in the blood are 12-23, 31-51 and 55 minutes in rats, monkeys and in humans. Formic acid is metabolized into CO2 considerably more slowly in primates than in rats. The species sensitivity to methanol intoxication (metabolic acidosis caused by formic acid) is possibly dependent on the tetrahydrofolate concentration.
<b>Type:</b> Remark: 06-SEP-1995	Metabolism Title: Evaluation of the Health Aspects of Formic Acid, Sodium Formate, and Ethyl Formate as Food Ingredients (50)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Metabolism Title: Kinetics and toxic effects of repeated intravenous dosage of formic acid in rabbits (113)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Metabolism Title: Studies on Methanol toxicity and formate metabolism in isolated hepatocytes (114)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Metabolism Title: Urinary Formic Acid as an indicator of occupational exposure to Formic Acid and Methanol (115)

- 57/80 -

Type: Metabolism Title: Urinary Excretion of Formic Acid in rabbits Remark: 06-SEP-1995 (116)Type: Metabolism Remark: Title: Accumulation of Formic Acid in rabbits after daily dosages 06-SEP-1995 (117)Metabolism Type: Remark: Title: Pharmacokinetic and deuterium isotope effect studies on the metabolism of formaldehyde and formate to carbon dioxide in rats in vivo 06-SEP-1995 (118)Type: Metabolism Remark: Title: Formate in urine as a biological indicator of formaldehyde exposure: A review 06-SEP-1995 (119)Metabolism Type: Title: Formic-Acid excretion in urine as a Remark: biological monitoring parameter in areas with different air-pollution 06-SEP-1995 (120)Type: Metabolism Remark: Title: Die akute und chronische Toxizitaet der Ameisensaeure und ihrer Formiate 06-SEP-1995 (56)Metabolism Type: Title: Effect of Renal Formic Acid Excretion on Urinary Remark: Calcium and Ammonia Concentrations 06-SEP-1995 (121)Type: Neurotoxicity Remark: The authors investigated morphologic lesions caused by sodium formate in cell cultures (primary cerebrocortical fetal mouse cells). According to the authors, information on neurotoxicity, gliotoxicity and cytotoxicity is to be obtained from the lesions investigated. Thus, sodium formate showed specific neurotoxicity in concentrations up to 60 mM (2,760 ug/ml) with lesions mainly in the larger polygonal neurons. Concentrations higher than 120 mM (5,520 ug/ml) led to nonspecific cytotoxicity. Furthermore, changes of the membrane integrity were examined via the release of lactate dehydrogenase and 14C-adenine nucleotides and the metabolic activity of the mitochondria. Test substance: Sodium formate 08-SEP-1995 (122) (123) Type: Neurotoxicity Remark: Formic acid was indicated as the neurotoxic metabolite of methanol. 28-JUL-1997 (124)Toxicokinetics Type: Remark: The dose-dependent elimination of formate was investigated in the rat using both in vitro and in vivo systems. The in situ perfused liver was used to define the kinetics of hepatic metabolism and obtain initial in vitro estimates of the hepatic metabolism parameters. Formate was eliminated from the perfused rat liver following the Michaelis-Menten kinetics. Estimates of the Michaelis-Menten parameters obtained from the perfused liver studies were used in a twocompartment pharmacokinetic model of the dose-dependent elimination of formate in vivo. A good fit of the model to the observed in vivo data was obtained. Initial estimates of the Michaelis-Menten parameters, Vmax and Km, obtained from the perfused liver model, were within 40% of the final fitted values of these parameters in the in vivo model. Test substance: Sodium formate, no further data 29-JUL-1997 (125)Other Type: Remark: Title: "A new in vitro method to determine the corrosivity potential of surfactants and surfactant-based formulations" Formic acid Test substance: 08-SEP-1995 (126)Other Type: Title: Remark: "Penetration of Industrial Chemicals Across the Skin: A Predictive Model" On the basis of a model system, the test substance was classified as having a toxicologic potential after dermal application. Test substance: Formic acid 08-SEP-1995 (127)Type: Other Remark: For the validation of a new screening test for skin and eye irritation, conventional pretests were carried out with formic acid, among others. In an open patch test in rats and mice, the test substance showed moderate to severe skin irritation in a 10-12% dilution after a dose applied of 100-120 mg/kg. In an intradermal skin irritation test in rats and mice with 2-3% formic acid, similar effects were obtained with doses of 1-1.5 and 10-15 mg/kg. In an eye irritation test in rats and mice with 5-6% formic acid, moderate to severe effects were observed in doses of 2.5-3 and 25-30 mg/kg.

Test substance:	Formic acid
08-SEP-1995	(128)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 15-MAY-2000	Other Title: the role of formate in methanol-induced exencephaly in CD-1 mice (129)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Other: Carcinogenicity in vitro Formic acid did not show any effect on the metabolic cooperation in Chinese hamster V79 lung fibroblasts. (130)
Type: Remark: Result: Test substance: 11-SEP-1995	Other: Chicken egg test The method is not acceptable. Moreover, documentation is inadequate. Therefore, the study cannot be assessed. Sodium formate was injected into the air space of incubated chicken eggs (5, 10 or 20 mg/egg) and these eggs were incubated further up to the 16th day. There was no increased mortality of the embryos. The survival rate was at the same level as that of the controls. The final weights of the embryos of the eggs treated with sodium formate do not reveal any deviations. Sodium formate that was completely eliminated after 10-12 days of incubation, preferably by oxidation, showed no abnormalities with regard to teratogenicity in the incubated chicken egg. As compared with the untreated controls (n=1051), there was no change in the incidence of malformations either quantitatively or qualitatively. Sodium formate
Type: Remark: 07-DEC-1995	Other: Human data Occupational health study 10 employees in the formic acid filling plant and in the production of urea formaldehyde resin. Inhalation of methanol (40-160 ppm) and formic acid (2-5.5 ppm) at the workplace. Urine concentration of formic acid 16 h after exposure: 21.2-118 mg/g creatinine (115)
Type: Remark:	Other: Human data Occupational health study 13 farmers when handling silage solution (approx. 80% formic acid) Increased urine concentration of formic acid 15 h after exposure (131)

- 60/80 -

Type: Remark:	Other: Human data Occupational health study Employees in a textile factory Formic acid concentration in the air approx. 15 ppm Subjective complaints about nausea	(100)
06-SEP-1995		(132)
<b>Type:</b> <b>Remark:</b> 06-SEP-1995	Other: Human data Case report 45 cases of ingestion of formic acid. Abdominal pain, vomiting, hematemesis, dysphagia, dyspnea, burns in the gastrointestinal tract with subsequent strictures, coagulation disorders, pneumonia, acute kidney failure an hepatic dysfunction. After ingestion of 45-200 g formic a 9 of 16 patients died after perforations in the gastrointestinal tract and 5 died of acute kidney failure	cid,
00 501 1995		(100)
Type: Remark:	Other: Human data Case report 53 cases of ingestion of formic acid. Burns of the gastrointestinal tract with esophagus strictures, pneumon kidney failure, hypotension and unconsciousness	lia,
06-SEP-1995		(134)
Type: Remark:	Other: Human data Case report 3 deaths after ingestion of formic acid. Burns in the gastrointestinal tract, metabolic acidosis, coagulation disorders, hemorrhage, shock, hemolysis, respiratory insufficiency and kidney failure. Methemalbumin level 143 mg% (normally 6 mg%) in the blood	(125)
06-SEP-1995		(135)
Type: Remark:	Other: Human data Case report 2 cases of ingestion of formic acid. Irritation, edema, blistering and necrosis of the oropharyngeal mucosa. It was not possible to detect formic acid in the blood or Urine; no methemoglobinemia	
06-SEP-1995		(136)
Type: Remark:	Other: Human data Case report 1 death after ingestion of formic acid (approx. 200 ml of approx. 50% solution). Blood levels of 348 ug/ml of formi acid approx. 2 h after ingestion. Hematemesis, cyanosis, burns in the gastrointestinal tract, shock, metabolic acidosis and hemolysis. In vitro investigation: Hemolysis bur acidity	.C
06-SEP-1995	by acidity	(109)

Type: Other: Human data Remark: Case report 1 death after the ingestion of formic acid. Hypotension, respiratory insufficiency, coagulation disorders and kidney failure. 06-SEP-1995 (137)Type: Other: Human data Remark: Case report 1 case of a local effect of conc. formic acid on the skin. Burns of the legs with subsequent cicatricial changes. Systemic effects: Nausea, vomiting, metabolic acidosis, hemolysis and hemoglobinuria. 06-SEP-1995 (138)Type: Other: Human data Remark: Case report 1 case of a local effect of formic acid on the eye. Swelling and opacity of the cornea, pain, lacrimation and contraction of the pupils. 06-SEP-1995 (139)Other: Mitoses Type: Formamide acid 0.1M, 21 hours produce in Pleurodele eggs a Remark: dissociation of spindle fibers appears around agglutinated chromosomes. 16-MAY-2000 (140)Other: Occupational Regulation Type: Title: 'Brief introduction to occupational exposure limits Remark: in Japan.' In the article, an occupational exposure limit of 5 ppm (9.4 mg/m3) was recommended for formic acid. Test substance: Formic acid 29-JUL-1997 (141)Type: Other: QSAR Remark: Title: "Quantitative structure activity relationships for skin corrosivity of organic acids, bases and phenols" Test substance: Formic acid 08-SEP-1995 (142)Type: Other: Review Remark: Summary presentations 07-DEC-1995 (70) (50) (143) (144) (51) (71) (72) (52) (73) (59) (145) Type: Other: Review Formic acid irritates the eyes and nasal and pharyngeal Remark: mucosas. Direct contact may lead to severe burns to the skin and eyes and in the mouth and pharynx after oral intake. Nausea, vomiting, hemorrhage, acidosis, hemolysis and damage to the heart and central nervous system may occur. 06-SEP-1995 (146) Type: Other: Review One case of an esophagus burn in a child, among others Remark: 06-SEP-1995 (147)Other: Mode of action Type: The administration of formic acid in a nonspecified dose to Remark: rabbits, dogs and monkeys (presumably via the feed) led to the same histopathologic changes of the retina and the optic nerve as methanol. Acidosis occurred. The authors speculate that the toxic effects might be due to the metabolism of methanol to formic acid via general acidosis. The study is only available as an abstract and the results cannot be assessed. 06-SEP-1995 (76)Type: Other: Acute toxicity in vitro Remark: An in vitro model system with Saccharomyces cerevisiae was tested with a total of 160 substances for its suitability as an in vitro model for the determination of the acute toxicity. According to the authors, the IC50 values determined (50% growth inhibition) correlated well with the LD50 values from the literature. Test substance: Formic acid 08-SEP-1995 (148) (149)Type: Other: Blood levels Remark: The formate concentrations were investigated in the blood of 6 volunteers who were administered 200 mg/kg aspartame orally. At the beginning of the study, the formate concentrations were 1.91 +/- 0.61 mg/100 ml, on an average. Aspartame, formic acid Test substance: 07-DEC-1995 (150)Other: Blood levels Type: Remark: The formate concentrations in the blood and urine were investigated in 20 print workers. The aim was to investigate whether the formate concentrations measured allow conclusions to be drawn about the exposure to methanol in the air; the methanol concentrations measured in the respiratory air were 85, 101 and 134 ppm. The formate concentrations in the blood of the workers increased significantly from 3.2 +/- 2.4 mg/l before the beginning of the shift (in the morning) to 7.9 +/- 3.2 mg/l after the shift (in the evening). The specific formate concentrations in the urine increased from 13.1 +/- 3.9 mg/l to 20.2 +/- 7 mg/l. Compared with this, the formate concentrations in the blood of the control persons showed a slight decrease from 5.6 +/- 4.5 mg/l in the morning to 4.9 + - 4.2 mg/l in the evening; the specific formate concentrations in the urine were 11.9 +/- 6.4 mg/l in the morning and 11.7 +/- 5.6 mg/l in the evening. There was a great interindividual variability of the formate concentrations. According to the authors, the measurement

<b>m</b>	of the formate concentration in the blood and urine is an important parameter for monitoring the exposure of worker to methanol.	
<b>Test substance:</b> 07-DEC-1995	Methanol, formic acid	(151)
Type: Test substance:	Other: Final report on the safety assessment of formic ac Formic acid	id
16-MAY-2000		(152)
Type: Remark:	Other: Review Summary literature	
08-SEP-1995	(153)	(154)
Type: Test substance:	Other: Review Formic acid	
28-JUL-1997	romme actu	(155)
Type: Remark:	Other: Review	
<b>Remark:</b> 15-MAY-2000	Formic acid, draft	(156)
Type: Remark:	Other: Review - safety assessment	
Remark: 15-MAY-2000	Final report on the safety assessment of formic acid	(157)
Type: Remark:	Other: Skin irritation test in vitro In an in vitro test system (bovine udder) various substances severely irritating to the skin were investiga After 2 hours, the tissue was examined biochemically (cytotoxicity and eicosanoid concentrations) and histopathologically. The substances examined had distinct effects on the prostaglandin E2 concentration and on histopathology. According to the authors, further investigations must be carried out to clarify whether slightly skin-irritating substances are also identified i this in vitro test system.	
<b>Test substance:</b> 08-SEP-1995	Formic acid, 25%	(158)
00 001 1990		(100)

## 5.11 Experience with Human Exposure

Remark:	Overview: One case of an esophagus burn in a child, among others (159)
Remark:	Overview: Formic acid irritates the eyes and nasal and pharyngeal mucosas. Direct contact may lead to severe burns to the skin and eyes and in the mouth and pharynx after oral intake. Nausea, vomiting, hemorrhage, acidosis, hemolysis and damage to the heart and central nervous system may occur. (160)

- 64/80 -

Remark:	Occupational health study: When handling silage solution (approx. 80% formic acid), 13 farmers showed an increased concentration of formic acid in the urine 15 h after expos	
Remark:	Occupational health study: After the inhalation of methano (40-160 ppm) and formic acid (2-5.5 ppm), 10 employees in the formic acid filling plant and in the production of ure formaldehyde resin showed formic acid concentrations of 21.2-118 mg/g creatinine in the urine 16 h after exposure	ea
Remark:	Occupational health study: Employees of a textile factory complained about nausea at concentrations of formic acid o approx. 15 ppm in the air.	
Remark:	Case report: 45 cases of ingestion of formic acid were described. Abdominal pain, vomiting, hematemesis, dysphage dyspnea, burns in the gastrointestinal tract with subseque strictures, coagulation disorders, pneumonia, acute kidney failure and hepatic dysfunction occurred. After ingestion 45-200 g formic acid, 9 of 16 patients died after perforations in the gastrointestinal tract and 5 died of a kidney failure.	ent V of
Remark:	Case report: 53 cases of ingestion of formic acid are described. Burns of the gastrointestinal tract with esophe strictures, pneumonia, kidney failure, hypotension and unconsciousness occurred.	agus (165)
Remark:	Case report: 5 deaths after ingestion of formic acid are described. Burns in the gastrointestinal tract, metabolic acidosis, coagulation disorders, hemorrhage, shock, hemoly respiratory insufficiency and kidney failure occurred. The methemoglobin level was 143 mg% (normally 6 mg%) in the bi	e ,
Remark:	Case report: 2 cases of ingestion of formic acid are report Irritation, edema, blistering and necrosis of the orophary mucosa occurred. It was not possible to detect formic acid the blood or urine. There was no methemoglobinemia.	yngeal

- 65/80 -

Remark: Case report: One death is reported after ingestion of formic acid (approx. 200 ml of an approx. 50% solution). The blood level is 348 ug/ml formic acid approx. 2h after ingestion. Hematemesis, cyanosis, burns in the gastrointestinal tract, shock, metabolic acidosis and hemolysis occurred. (168)Case report: One death is reported after ingestion of formic Remark: acid with hypotension, respiratory insufficiency, coagulation disorders and kidney failure. (169)Case report: One case of a local effect of conc. formic acid Remark: on the skin with burns of the legs with subsequent cicatricial changes and nausea, vomiting, metabolic acidosis, hemolysis and hemoglobinuria is reported. (170)Remark: Case report: One case of a local effect of formic acid on the eye with swelling and opacity of the cornea, pain, lacrimation and contraction of the pupils is reported. (171)Remark: 12 farmers were exposed to an average of 7.3 mg/m3/8h formic acid when handling silage. =0 h after exposure, renal ammonia formation and calcium were increased in the urine. (172)The mean concentration of formic acid in the urine is Remark: reported to be 21 mg/l for female and male adults between 20 and 80 years. (173)Case report: After splashing of a drop (0.8 ml 90% Remark: formic acid and 0.2 ml 30% hydrogen peroxide) into the eye, there was swelling of the conjunctiva and cornea with complete reversibility after 36-60 hours. (174)Remark: From 1989-93, a total of 3 cases of skin and/or eye corrosions after accidental local exposure to formic acid were referred to hospital for further treatment. (175)

- 66/80 -

<b>Remark:</b> 25-MAR-1997	Twelve male farmers were exposed to 7.3 + 2.2 mg formic acid/m3 for 8 h in silage making. Each gave urine samples immediately, 15h and 30h after the end of the exposure. The excretion of formate was linearly related to the exposure 15 and 30h after exposure. Exposure increased renal ammoniagenesis and urinary calcium at 30h post exposure. Both biochemical effects may be explained by the interaction of formic acid with the oxidative metabolism of renal tubular cells, as formic acid is a known inhibitor of cytochrome oxidase. (176)
Remark:	Report on use of urinary formic acid as a biologic exposure index of methanol exposure.
25-MAR-1997	(177)
Remark:	Report on absence of formic acid accumulation in urine following five days of methanol exposure.
25-MAR-1997	(178)
<b>Remark:</b> 25-MAR-1997	Report on formic acid excretion in the urine of persons environmentally and occupationally exposed to formaldehyde. (179)
Remark:	Ingestion of over 60 g of formic acid by an adult is potentially fatal. A case of a 36-year-old woman with a history of depression who ingested 110 g of formic acid is reported. She survived a complicated intensive care hospitalization following usage of intravenous folinic acid, urinary alkalinization, intravenous furosemide and supportive care. It is suggested to minimize formate toxicity by enhancing hepatic formate degradation via the folinic acid ¤one carbon pool¤ and by enhanced renal elimination of formate.
25-MAR-1997	(180)
Remark:	Systemic toxicity developed in a 3-year-old girl burned by formic acid over 35% of her total body surface area. The patient presented with profound metabolic acidosis and a serum formate level of 400 óg/ml, the highest reported in the literature for poisoning by any route. The patient was successfully treated with hemodialysis, IV bicarbonate, and supportive measures.
25-MAR-1997	(181)
<b>Remark:</b>	After inhalation of 200 ppm methanol for 4 h in 22 subjects serum methanol conc. were increased by more than fourfold, as were urinary methanol excretion rates, although formate conc. were not increased over background conc.
25-MAR-1997	(182)

<b>Remark:</b> 25-MAR-1997	A case in which a patient sustained an inhalation injury as a result of aerosolized formic acid is reported. The patient sustained a partial thickness burn to the face from a chemical spray; however, as a result of aerosolization, he also inhaled formic acid. This resulted in a reversible pulmonary chemical injury. Inhalation of formic acid results in a reactive airway dysfunction syndrome, a common response to inhalation of an occupational irritant. (183)
Remark:	Compilation of concentrations of drugs affecting digestive system and metabolism. For formic acid the following concentrations in serum/plasma were noted:
Reliability:	Habitual/therapeutic 0-12 µg/ml and toxic 120 µg/ml (4) Not assignable
Nerrability.	Only secondary literature
25-NOV-1999	(184)
Remark:	Systemic toxicity developed in a 3-year-old girl burned by formic acid over 35% of her total body surface area. The patient presented with profound metabolic acidosis and a serum formate level of 400 µg/ml. The patient was successfully treated with hemodialysis, IV bicarbonate, and supportive measures.
Reliability:	(2) Valid with restrictions
	Acceptable study, meets basic scientific principles
29-NOV-1999	(185)

- (1) BASF AG, Sicherheitsdatenblatt Ameisensaeure 99-100 %, Jan. 6, 1999
- (2) EC Guideline 93/72/EEC of Sep. 1, 1993, page 819 of the Annex
- (3) Gefahrstoffverordnung vom 26.10.1993 und Liste der gefaehrlichen Stoffe und Zubereitungen (vom 16.09.1993) nach Par. 4a der Gefahrstoffverordnung
- (4) TRGS 900 of 4/1997 and TRGS 905 of 6/1997
- (5) ACGIH (1991-1992)
- (6) Stoerfall-Verordnung of Sep. 20, 1991
- (7) BASF AG, Safety data sheet Formic Acid 99 100 %, Mar. 13, 2000
- (8) Celanese Chemical Company, Product Bulletin Formic Acid
- (9) Yaws C.L. et al., Chemical Engineering, p. 115 118, July 1990
- (10) Verschueren K., Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals, Second Edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1983
- (11) Collander R., Acta Chem. Scand., Vol. 5, pp 774-780, 1951
- (12) BASF AG, Labor fuer Umweltanalytik, unpublished study, Jan. 9, 1989
- (13) BASF AG, Sicherheitstechnische Kenndaten, unpublished study, SIK-No. 86/0236, Feb. 27, 1986
- (14) BASF AG, Sicherheitstechnische Kenndaten, unpublished study, SIK-No. 80/0258, Apr. 15, 1980
- (15) BASF AG, Sicherheitstechnik, internal communication, Nov. 2, 1999
- (16) Atkinson, R., Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of organic compounds: a review. Atmospheric environment 24A, 1-41, (1990)
- (17) Wallington,T.J. et al., Journal Phys. Chem.92, 5024-5028, (1988)

- (19) Dorfman,L.M., Adams,G.E., 'Reactivity of the hydroxyl radical in aqueous solution', NSRD-NBS-46, Washington, DC: National Bureau of Standards, 51, (1973)
- (20) Chin, M.; Wine, P.H. (1994): A temperature-dependent competitive kinetics study of the aqueous-phase reactions of OH radicals with formate, formic acid, acetate, acetic acid and hydrated formaldehyde; Aquatic Surface Photochem, 85 - 96
- (21) Exner, M.; et al. (1994): Rate constants for the reactions of the NO3 radical with HCOOH/HCOO- and CH3COOH/CH3COO- in aqueous solution between 278 and 328 K, J. Atmos. Chem., 18, 359 - 378
- (22) Dagaut, P. et al., Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 20, 331-338, (1988)
- (23) Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker, BUA-Kurzbericht Nr.81
   'Ameisensaeure', VCH Weinheim, (1991)
- (24) Hrutfiord,B.F. et al., Tappi Environ. Conf. Prepr.181, (1975)
- (25) Goncharova, I.A. et al., Gidrokhim Mater 47, 110, (1968)
- (26) Murtaugh, J.J. et al., JWPCF 37, 410, (1965)
- (27) Hrutfiord, B.F. et al., Tappi 58, 98, (1975)
- (28) Hartmann, W.R. et al., Atmos. Environ.23, 1531-1533, (1989)
- (29) Gaffney,J.S. et al., Environ. Sci. Technol.21, 519-524, (1987)
- (30) Lyman, W.J. et al., Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation Methods New York: McGraw-Hill, 15-1 to 15-34, (1982)
- (31) BASF AG, department of ecology, unpublished calculation, Dec. 17, 1998
- (32) BASF AG, Ecology Laboratory; unpublished study, (rep. Of Apr. 7, 1988; Test No. 0048/88)
- (33) BASF AG, Ecology Laboratory; unpublished study, (rep. Of Sep. 28, 1988; Test No. 52/048/88)

- (34) Price, K.S. et al., JWPCF 46(1), 63-77, (1974)
- (35) Wagner, R., Vom Wasser 47, 241-265, (1976)
- (36) Takemoto, S. et al., Suishitsu-Odaku-Kenkyu 4, 80-90, (1981)
- (37) Malaney,G.W., Gerhold,R.M., Journal Water Pollution Control Federation 41/2/2, R18-R33, (1969)
- (38) Lyman, W.J. et al., Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation Methods, McGraw-Hill, New York, table 5-1, page 5-5, (1982)
- (39) Dowden, B.F. and Bennett, H.J.: J. Water Pollut. Contr. Fed.37, 1308-1316 (1965)
- (40) Verschueren, K., Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals, Second Edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, (1983)
- (41) Water Quality Characteristics of Hazardous Materials, Vol.1-4 (1979)
- (42) Huels AG, unpublished study (No. 10) of Mar. 11, 1992
- (43) BASF AG, Dept. of Toxicology, unpublished study (88/218) Mar. 30, 1989
- (44) BASF AG, Ecology Laboratory; unpublished study, (0290/88)
- (45) Randall, T.L., Knopp, P.V., JWPCF 52(8), 2117-2130, (1980)
- (46) Bringmann,G., Kuehn,R., Gesundheits-Ingenieur, 80(4), 115-120, (1959)
- (47) BASF AG, Ecology Laboratory; unpublished study (rep. Of Apr. 13, 1988)
- (48) Schafer, E.W. et al.: Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 12, 355-382 (1983)
- (49) Kramer, V.C. et al., J. Inv. Pathol. 42, 285-287, (1983)
- (50) FDA, PB 266282, Evaluation of the Health Aspects of Formic Acid and (...) as Food Ingredients, Washington DC, (1976)
- (51) Patty Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 3rd Ed., 4903 (1981)

- 71/80 -

- (52) Sax, S. 695 (1979)
- (53) Huels AG, unpublished study (No. 0359) of Feb. 5 1985
- (54) RTECS, Update 9001: Gig. Tr. Prof. Zabol. 23 (12), 49 (1979)
- (55) Bayer AG, unpublished study, Apr. 21, 1978
- (56) Malorny, G.: Z. Ernaehrungswiss. 9, 332-339 (1969)
- (57) Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, 4th edition, 3528, 1994
- (58) Oettingen W.F. von: Arch. Ind. Health 20, 517 (1959)
- (59) WHO Food Additives Series, Nr. 5, Genf (1974)
- (60) Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, 4th edition, 3526, 1994
- (61) BASF AG, Dept. of Toxicology, unpublished study (78/651), Aug. 21, 1980
- (62) BASF AG, Dept. of Toxicology, unpublished study (78/651), Dec. 3, 1979
- (63) BASF AG, Dept. of Toxicology, unpublished study (79/422) Jan. 10, 1980
- (64) BASF AG, Dept. of Toxicology, unpublished study (79/423) Dec. 23, 1981
- (65) BASF AG, Dept. of Toxicology, unpublished study (79/424) Oct. 26, 1981
- (66) TSCATS, OTS 84003A, Doc.I.D. 878212113 8D, Shell Oil Co., (1982)
- (67) Hoechst Aktiengesellschaft, department toxicology, unpublished data, 12 Nov. 1981
- (68) RTECS, Update 9001: Igiena 11, 507 (1962)
- (69) Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, 4th edition, 3525, 1994
- (70) Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices, Fifth Edition 1986

- (71) Patty, F.A. (Ed.), Ind. Hyg. Toxicol., Vol.II, 1772, (1962) (72) Plunkett, E.R. (Ed.): Handbook of Ind. Toxicol., (1976) (73) von Oettingen, W.F.: Arch. Ind. Health 20, 517-531 (1959) (74) RTECS, Update 9001: Union Carbide Data Sheet 8, 5 (1968) (75) Gefahrstoffverordnung, Dtsch.Bundesverl. Bonn, (1986) (76) HSDB, Search of Feb. 26, 1991 (77) Plunkett, E.R. Ed.: Handbook of Ind.Toxicol., 191-192 (1976) (78) Savolainen, H. and Zitting, A.: Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 47,239-240 (1980) (79) Zitting, A. and Savolainen, H.: Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol. 27, 157-162 (1980) (80) Leach, C.L. et al.: The Toxicologist 9 (No.1), 144 (1989), abstract no. 575 (81) NTP Technical Report No. 19, Formic Acid, NIH-Publication 92-3342 (July 1992) (82) Solmann, T.: J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 16, 463-474 (1921) (83) Frei, J.V. and Stephens, P.: Br. J. Cancer 22, 83-92 (1968) (84) Sloop F.V. and Baker, F.T.: Abstr. Ann. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 82, Abstr. H 69, (1982) (85) Bayer AG, unpublished study, report no. 17969, Apr. 25, 1989 (86) NTP, Chemical Status Report, (Jan. 10, 1990) (87) Kleindienst, T.E. et al.: Environ. Sci. Technol. 19, 620-627 (1985)(88) Morita, T. et al.: Mut. Res. 240, 195-202 (1990) (89) Demerec, M. et al.: Am. Nat. 85, 119-136 (1951)
  - (90) NTP, Review of Current DHHS, DOE and EPA Research Related to Toxicology and Fiscal Year Annual Plan, (1987)

- 73/80 -

- (91) Basler A. et al.: Arch. Toxicol. 58, 10-13 (1985)
- (92) Sipi, P. et al.: Mutation Research 279, 75-82 (1992)
- (93) von der Hude, W. et al.: Mut. Res. 203, 81-94 (1988)
- (94) Stumm-Tegethoff, B.F.A.: Naturwissenschaften 24, 646-647 (1964)
- (95) Stumm-Tegethoff, B.F.A.: Theor. Appl. Genetics 39, 330-334 (1969)
- (96) Dorman, D.C. et al.: Teratology 52, 30-40 (1995)
- (97) Andrews, J.E. et al.: Toxic. in Vitro 7 (6), 757-762 (1993)
- (98) Andrews, J.E. et al.: Teratology 51, 243-251 (1995)
- (99) Ebron-McCoy, M.T. et al.: Teratology 49, 393 (1994), abstract No. P32
- (100) Stedman, D.B. and Welsch, F.: Tox. Lett. 45, 111-117 (1989)
- (102) Brown-Woodman, P.D.C. et al., Teratology, 52, 233-243, (1995)
- (103) Del Terzo, S. et al.: Pharmaceutical Research, Vol. 6, No. 1, 85-90 (1989)
- (104) Weiss, U. et al.: Scand. J. Work Environ. Health 16, 208-214 (1990)
- (105) Neymeyer, V.R. and Tephly, T.R.: Life Sciences 54 (22), 395-399 (1994)
- (106) Eells, J.T. et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 140, 58-69 (1996)
- (107) Curvall, M. et al.: Cell Biology and Toxicology 1 (1), 173-193 (1984)
- (108) Hills, P.R. and Berry, R.J.: Nature 215, 309 (1967)

- 74/80 -

(110)	Dierickx, P.J.: Toxic. in Vitro Vol.3, No.3, 189-193 (1989)
(111)	Liesivuori, J. et al.: Arch. Toxicol. 66, 522-524 (1992)
(112)	Liesivuori, J. et al.: Toxicol. Lett., Suppl., p. 92 (1992),abstract No. 02/06
(113)	Liesivuori, J. et al.: Br. J. exp. Path. 68, 853-861 (1987)
(114)	Billings, R.E. and Tephly, T.R.: Biochem. Pharmacol. 28, 2985–2991 (1979)
(115)	Liesivuori, J. and Savolainen, H.: Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 48, 32-34, (1987)
(116)	Liesivuori, J. and Savolainen, H.: Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 58, 161–162 (1986)
(117)	Liesivuori, J. and Savolainen, H.: Toxicol. Lett. 31 (Suppl.), 232 (1986)
(118)	Keefer, L.K. et al.: Drug Metabol. Dispos. 15, 300-304 (1987)
(119)	Boeniger, M.F.: Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 48 (11), 900-908 (1987)
(120)	Eikmann, T.: Zentralb. Bakteriol. Mikrobiol. Hyg. B. Umwelt Hyg. Vol. 4-5, 473 (1988)
(121)	Liesivuori, J. and Savolainen, H.: Klin. Wochenschr. 65, 860-865 (1987)
(122)	Bolon, B. et al.: Toxicol. Pathol. 21 (5), 465-479 (1993)
(123)	Dorman, D.C. et al.: Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 122, 265-272 (1993)
(124)	Gulevich, S. and Rosenberg, N.L., Poisons and Toxins, cited in Guide to Clinical Neurology (Mohr, J.P. and Gautier, J.C., eds.), Churchill Livingstone, New York, 841-850, 1996
(125)	Damian, P. and Raabe, O.G., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 139, 22-32, 1996
(126)	Gordon, V.C. et al.: Com. Esp. Det., Vol. 25, p. 11-27 (1994)

- 75/80 -

(127) Guy, R.H. and Potts, R.: Am. J. Ind. Med. 23, 711-719 (1993) (128) Sekizawa, J. et al.: J. Toxicol. Sci. 19, 25-35 (1994) (129) Dorman D.C. et al.: Teratology society abstracts P26, 183 (130) Malcolm, A.R. et al.: Carcinogenesis, Vol. 8, 305 (1985) (131) Liesivuori J.: Ann.Occup.Hyg. 30, 329-333, (1986) (132) Fahy, J.P. and Elkins, H.B.: unpubl. data cit. in: Doc. of the treshold limit values ... 5th Ed., Cincinanati, Ohio, (1986) (133) Jefferys, D.B. and Wiseman, H.M.: Postgraduate Medical J. 56, 761-763 (1980) (134) Rajan, N. et al.: Postgraduate Medical J. 61, 35-36 (1985) (135) Naik, R.B. et al.: Postgraduate Medical J. 56, 451-456 (1980) (136) Malizia, E. et al.: Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. Suppl. 41, 342-347 (1977) (137) Jaques, S.: Nursing Times 78, 1312-1315 (1982) (138) Sigurdsson, J. et al.: Burns 9, 358-361 (1982) (139) Sudarsky, R.D.: Arch. Ophthal. 74, 805-806 (1965) (140) P. Senstein, association des anatomistes, 712-720, 1972 (141) Sakurai, H. et al., J. Occup. Health, 38, 133-147, 1996 (142) Barratt, M.D.: Toxicol. Lett. 75, 169-176 (1995) (143) Moeschlin, S.: Klinik und Therapie der Vergiftungen, G.Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, New York, (1980) (144) NIOSH, RTECS, October 1987 (145) Wirth, W. et al.: Toxikologie, G.Thieme Verlag Stuttg., New York, (1981) (146) Lundberg, P. (Hrsg.): Scientific basis for Swedish

occupational standards 32, 137-141 (1988)

- (147) Schober, P.H. et al.: Wiener Klin. Wochenschr. 101, 318-322 (1989)
- (148) Koch, H.P. et al.: Meth. Find. Exp. Clin. Pharmacol. 15
   (3),141-152 (1993)
- (149) Koch, H.P.: Pharmazie 47 (7), 531-537 (1992)
- (150) Stegink, L.D. et al.: J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 7, 281-290(1981)
- (151) Baumann, K. and Angerer, J.: Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 42, 241-249 (1979)
- (152) Intern. Journal of toxicology, 16, 221-234, 1997
- (153) BUA-Stoffbericht Nr. 81 (August 1991)
- (154) Ullmann;s Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry Vol. A12, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim (Germany) 1989
- (155) Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, 4th edition, 3527- 3532, 1994
- (156) Gesondheitsraad, Health Council Committee updating of OELs, Draft Febr, 1999
- (157) Nair, B. and A. Andersen, Intern. Journ. of Toxicology, 16: 221-234, 1997
- (158) Kietzmann, M. et al.: Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Arch. 349, R108
  (1994), abstract Nr. 429
- (159) Schober, P.,H., et al.; Wiener Klin. Wschr. 101, 318-322, (1989)
- (160) Lundberg, P. (publ.), Scientific basis for Swedish occupational standards 32, 137-141, (1988)
- (161) Liesivuori, J.; Ann. Occup. Hyg. 30, 329-333, (1986)
- (162) Liesivuori, J., Savolainen, H.; Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 48, 32-34, (1987)
- (163) Fahy, J., O., Elkins, H., B.; unpubl. data cit. in: ACGIH, Doc. threshold limit values, 5th ed., Cincinnati, (1986)
- (164) Jeffery, D.,B., Wiseman, H., M.; Postgrad. Med. J. 56, 761-763, (1980)

- 77/80 -

- (165) Rajan, N., et al.; Postgrad. Med. J. 56, 761-763, (1980)
- (166) Naik, R., B., et al.; Postgrad. Med. J. 56, 451-456, (1980)
- (167) Malizia, E., et al.; Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. S41, 342-347, (1977)
- (168) Verstraete, A., et al.; Am. J. Emergency Med. 7, 286-290, (1989)
- (169) Jaques, S.; Nursing Times 78, 1312-1315, (1982)
- (170) Sigurdsson, J., et al.; Burns 9, 358-361, (1982)
- (171) Sudarsky, R., D.; Arch. Ophthal. 74, 805-806, (1965)
- (172) Liesivuori, J., Laitinen, J., Savolainen, H.; Arch Toxicol. 66, 522-524, (1992)
- (173) Heinzov, B., Ellrott, T.; Zbl. Hyg. Umweltmed. 192, 455-461, (1992)
- (174) Grant, W., M.; Toxicology of the eye, 3.ed., Thomas Publ., Springfield, 447, (1986)
- (176) Liesivuori, J., et al; Arch. Toxicol. 66, 522-524, (1992)
- (177) Franzblau, A., et al; Appl. Occup. Environ. hyg. 7, 467-471, (1992)
- (178) Franzblau, A., et al; Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 8, 883-888, (1993)
- (179) Schmid, K., et al; Zbl. Hyg. Umweltmed. 196, 139-152, (1994)
- (180) d`Alessandro, A., et al; Environ. Health Pers. 102, 178-181, (1994)
- (181) Chan, T., C., et al; Ann. Emerg. Me. 26, 383-386, (1995)
- (182) Osterloh, J., D., et al; J. Occup. Environ. Med. 38, 571-576, (1996)
- (183) Yelon, J., A., et al; J. Burn Care Rehab. 17, 241-242, (1996)

-78/80 -

(184) Repetto M., R., Repetto M., Clin. Toxicol. 37, 1-8 (1999) (185) Chan T. C., et al., Ann. Emerg. Med. 26, 383-386 (1995)

\_\_\_\_\_

# 7.1 Risk Assessment