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## Executive Summary

The survey was fielded in March 2005 over the course of two weeks. The survey was fielded to all people who had signed up through the Join page at the website since 1998, or who had joined as full members, who still had working email addresses. Only approximately 2200 email addresses work of the 3000 people we count as members. 586 people responded to the survey, compared to 591 people in the December 2003 survey, amounting to a roughly $26 \%$ response rate, down from the $36 \%$ response rate on the 2003 survey.
The survey respondents have been categorized into two groups, US and non-US residents. Respondents represented US and non-US members, basic and full members, and our too-few non-male members, in a reasonably balanced way. The percent of non-US respondents rose in this sample from $49 \%$ in 2003 to $56 \%$ however, reflecting their actual proportion in our membership base. As in 2003, roughly $90 \%$ of the sample was male. The median age of the respondents in both 2003 and 2005 is roughly the same, about 30-33.
Comfort with "Transhumanist" Identity The second question on the survey was about how comfortable the respondent felt about identifying as a transhumanist. Although all respondents had signed up as WTA members through the website membership form, some had done so in order to get access to the website and newsletter. For the subsequent analyses the $5 \%$ who said "I am not a transhumanist" were excluded. Also, compared to 2003, there was an increase in the percent of respondents who were full members and a decrease in the percent who did not consider themselves transhumanists.
Satisfaction with the WTA and Transhumanism Satisfaction with the WTA remains very high. Fully $87 \%$ of the respondents say they are satisfied with the WTA overall, down slightly from the $90 \%$ satisfied in 2003. Satisfaction of US and non-US members is roughly equal.

Satisfaction with the Transvision conferences (for those who attended) was also relatively high, while satisfaction with the "WTA activism" was relatively low. About half thought our activism was fair or poor, compared to only $24 \%$ who thought the WTA News and WTA website was fair or poor.
Three quarters of transhumanists say they are likely to recommend that someone join the WTA. NonAmericans are significantly more likely to want to recruit people to the WTA.
Activism and Financial Support As in 2003, "interest in transhumanism" was the principal reason noted for joining, followed by "intellectual stimulation." Activism was only cited as a reason by $40 \%$ of members, and networking only by $20 \%$.
Even though full membership dues had doubled between the last survey and the 2005 survey, the majority of respondents still thought the dues were "about right" ( $77 \%$ last time and $71 \%$ this time). Non-US respondents were only slightly more likely to think full membership dues were too high ( $15 \%$ versus $13 \%$ ). Only $13 \%$ of all respondents said they would never pay full membership dues.

Setting aside the respondents who answered before the question on willingness to donate fixed on the survey form, there was still an up-tick in the percent of respondents willing to donate to support the WTA from $20 \%$ to $28 \%$.

Conferences Non-US respondents are more likely to say they would attend a WTA conference in their own country than Americans are to want to attend conferences in the US (non-Americans are $88 \%$ willing and Americans $73 \%$ willing). Non-Americans are also far more likely to want to attend WTA conferences in countries other than their own than Americans are. Only 16\% of American respondents said they would attend conferences outside the US, down from $24 \%$ in 2003, contrasted with the $35 \%-$ $43 \%$ of non-Americans who would travel outside their country.

Attitudes One part of this survey was a set of attitudes that respondents were asked to agree or disagree with, which we are examining in order to establish a 10 question self-diagnostic for whether someone is a
likely transhumanist. We have also examined these questions in terms of the groups that they cluster into. There are five basic value clusters that the questions we provided fell into:
(1) Human-Enhancement attitudes about life extension, intelligence augmentation, cryonics and uploading
(2) Humanism attitudes about human self-reliance and whether there are divine limits on human reason
(3) Tech-Optimism attitudes about embracing or banning new technologies, such as nanotechnology, genetic engineering and human enhancement technologies
(4) Personhood attitudes about valuing the well-being of all sentient beings, including rights for great apes and robots, and conversely about fetal rights and permitting abortion
(5) Reproductive rights attitudes about abortion, human cloning and the genetic enhancement of children

The top ten questions on which the respondents (who considered themselves transhumanists) agreed were:

- Do you believe that people have a right to use technology to extend their mental and physical (including reproductive) capacities and to improve their control over their own lives?
- Do you think that by being generally open and embracing of new technology we have a better chance of turning it to our advantage than if we try to ban or prohibit it?
- Do you expect human progress to result from human accomplishment rather than divine intervention, grace, or redemption?
- Do you think it would be a good thing if people could become many times more intelligent than they currently are?
- Is your concept of "the meaning of life" derived from human responsibility and opportunity rather than divine revelation?
- Do you believe women should have the right to terminate their pregnancies?
- Does your ethical code advocate the well-being of all sentient beings, whether in artificial intellects, humans, posthumans, or non- human animals?
- Do you think it would be a good thing if people could live for hundreds of years or longer?
- Would you consider having your mind uploaded to computers if it was the only way you could continue as a conscious person?
- Should parents be able to have children through cloning once the technology is safe?

If we use agreement with half of these statements as a self-diagnostic for whether someone is probably a transhumanist, this would include $96 \%$ of all the respondents to this survey who were comfortable calling themselves transhumanists. Conversely agreement to any seven of these questions would probably yield few false positives among the general public.

On other questions, almost three quarters of respondents support human rights for enhanced chimpanzees, and $70 \%$ support human rights for "robots who think and feel like human beings, and aren't a threat to human beings." Only 62\% think liberal democracy is the best of available political systems however, and only a third believe in an imminent Singularity, defined as "an abrupt, cataclysmic, worldwide social change by 2040" caused by emerging technologies.

Perhaps most disturbing is that only a plurality (46\%) of respondents believe that "humans and posthumans will be able to coexist in one society and polity," while $41 \%$ were unsure, and $12 \%$ believed they could not coexist. There were three questions on which Americans were significantly different than non-Americans: cryonics and parental rights to clone or enhance children.

Slogans The most popular slogans involved themes of personal "evolution" beyond the human body, and more general references to creating the future through science and technology.

- "The best way to predict the future is to help create it"
- "Evolve!"
- "Human by birth; Transhuman by choice"
- "If evolution is outlawed only outlaws will evolve"
- "Better living through science and technology"
- "This body is just a first draft."

The least popular slogans referred to having a second machine body, becoming a Jupiterbrain or god, or the imminent "TechnoRapture."
Politics and Religion Asking about political self-identity, there are only marginal changes from 2003, but interesting ones nonetheless. The percent of the respondents who consider themselves left-wingers of one sort or the other increased from $36 \%$ to $39 \%$ while the percent who were libertarians (including Euro-liberals, Objectivists, and anarcho-capitalists) remained at 22\%. Conservatives remained only 3-4\% of membership, but those who consider themselves "far right" declined from $1.2 \%$ of membership to $0.4 \%$ of membership. The percent apolitical declined from $15 \%$ to $12 \%$

In regards religious views, the 2005 respondents are again almost identical to the 2003 respondents, with slight down ticks in both secularism and religiosity, and an up tick in "none of the above." One quarter of the respondents are religious in some sense, although some of the religious categories, such as "spiritual," "religious humanist," "Buddhist," "Unitarian Universalist" and "Raelian", could also identify as humanists or atheists.

Other Organizational Memberships The most impressive thing about respondents' reports of other organizational affiliations is the jump in the percent of respondents who consider themselves to be members of a local WTA chapter, which increased from $7 \%$ to $17 \%$, and of those who belong to wta-talk, which jumped from $17 \%$ to $27 \%$. This represents a deepening of our membership identification and involvement. Most other organizational affiliations stayed pretty much the same, with joint Extropy Institute memberships ticking down to $15 \%$ and joint Immortality Institute memberships ticking up to $13 \%$. A much larger percent of Americans report membership in a political party.

## Sample

The survey was fielded in March 2005 over the course of three weeks. The survey was fielded to all people who had signed up through the Join page at the website since 1998, or who had joined as full members, whose email addresses worked. Only approximately 2200 email addresses worked, however, with those email addresses accumulated in the last two years working much more often than older addresses. 586 people responded to the survey, amounting to a roughly $26 \%$ response rate, down from the $36 \%$ response rate on last year's survey.

## Demographics

Respondents represented US and non-US members, basic and full members, and our too-few non-male members.

Gender by Nationality and Membership Status in 2005

| Non-US | Basic | Male | Female | Transgender | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full | 43 | 21 | 0 | 262 |
|  | Basic | 161 | 14 | 0 | 47 |
|  | Full | 52 | 3 | 4 | 179 |
|  | Total | 497 | 42 | 4 | 59 |

The percent of non-US respondents rose in this sample from $49 \%$ to $56 \%$.

| Nationality of $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ and $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | Respondents |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Non-US | 2003 | 2005 |
| US | $49 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
|  | $51 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
|  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

As in 2003 , roughly $90 \%$ of the sample was male.
Gender of 2003 and 2005 Respondents

| 2003 |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Non-US | US | Total | Non-US | US | Total |
| Male | $90 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Female | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Transgender | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 0 | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

The median age of the respondents in both 2003 and 2005 was roughly the same, about 30-33.

| Age Distributions of the Two Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
|  | Non-US | US | Total | Non-US | US | Total |
| 15-24 | 24\% | 29\% | 26\% | 29\% | 22\% | 26\% |
| 25-34 | 39\% | 30\% | 34\% | 36\% | 31\% | 34\% |
| 35-44 | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% | 17\% | 20\% | 19\% |
| 45-64 | 14\% | 20\% | 17\% | 15\% | 22\% | 18\% |
| 65-100 | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% |

## Comfort with "Transhumanist" Identity

The second question on the survey was about how comfortable the respondent felt about identifying as a transhumanist. Although all respondents had signed up as WTA members through the website membership form, some had done so in order to get access to the website and newsletter, and some didn't even remember doing that.

| How comfortable are you describing yourself as a "transhumanist"? |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Non-US | US | Total |
| Not a transhumanist | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Very uncomfortable | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Uncomfortable | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Comfortable | $45 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Very comfortable | $37 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Compared to 2003, there has been an increase in 2005 in the percent of respondents who are full members and decrease in the percent who do not consider themselves transhumanists.

| Membership Status of Respondents |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2003 | 2005 |
| Not transhumanist | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Basic Members | $80 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Full/Supporting members | $12 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

For the subsequent analyses I have excluded those who said "I am not a transhumanist".

## Satisfaction with the WTA and Transhumanism

Satisfaction with the WTA remains very high. Fully $87 \%$ of the respondents say they are satisfied with the WTA overall, down slightly from the $90 \%$ satisfied in 2003. Satisfaction of US and non-US members is roughly equal.

How satisfied have you been with the World Transhumanist Association overall?

|  | 2003 |  |  |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-US | US | Total | Non-US | US | Total |
| Very dissatisfied | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $72 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Very satisfied | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

Satisfaction with the Transvision conferences (for those who attended) was relatively high, while satisfaction with the "WTA Activism" was the lowest. Of all respondents, $46 \%$ thought our activism was fair or poor, compared to only $24 \%$ who thought the WTA News and WTA website was fair or poor.

How would you rate each of the following?
1=Poor, 2=Fair, 3=Good, 4=Excellent

| Mean <br> Satisfaction |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 3.1 | Transvision conferences |
| 2.9 | WTA News |
| 2.9 | WTA website |
| 2.6 | WTA discussion lists |
| 2.5 | WTA activism |

Three quarters of transhumanists say they are likely to recommend that someone join the WTA. NonAmericans are significantly more likely to want to recruit people to the WTA.

|  | 2003 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NonUS | US | Total | NonUS | US | Total |
| Very likely | 29\% | 27\% | 28\% | 35\% | 28\% | 32\% |
| Somewhat likely | 46\% | 47\% | 47\% | 43\% | 46\% | 44\% |
| Not likely | 15\% | 13\% | 14\% | 15\% | 16\% | 15\% |
| Not sure | 11\% | 12\% | 11\% | 7\% | 10\% | 8\% |

## Activism and Financial Support

Two questions, on willingness to donate and willingness to organize local activities, were incorrectly coded in this section. Thanks to respondents' feedback, the willingness to donate question was quickly corrected, but the willingness to organize local activities question was not. So it has been dropped from the analysis below.

As in 2003, "interest in transhumanism" was the principal reason noted for joining, followed by "intellectual stimulation." Activism was only cited as a reason by $40 \%$ of members, and networking only by $20 \%$.

Why did you first join the association? Choose all that apply.

|  | 2003 |  |  |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non-US | US |  | Total | Non-US | US | Total

Even though full membership dues had doubled between the last survey and the 2005 survey, the overwhelming majority of respondents still thought they were "about right" ( $77 \%$ last time and $71 \%$ this time). Non-US respondents were only slightly more likely to think full membership dues were too high ( $15 \%$ versus $13 \%$ ). Only $13 \%$ of respondents said they would never pay full membership dues.

How reasonably priced are WTA full member dues (US\$50/\$20)?

|  | $2003 *$ |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Non-US | US | Total | Non-US | US | Total |
| Too high | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| About right | $71 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Too low | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| I wouldn't pay any dues | $17 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

* In 2003 WTA dues were $\$ 25$ and $\$ 10$.

Setting aside the respondents who answered before the question below was reprogrammed not to flip to "Yes" when another question was answered, there was still an up tick in the percent of respondents willing to donate to support the WTA from $20 \%$ to $28 \%$.

## Would you consider making a financial contribution in the future if the WTA had a fund-raising drive?*

|  |  | 2003 |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Non-US | US | Non-US | US | Non-US | US |
| Yes | $16 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Maybe | $57 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| No | $27 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

Non-US respondents are more likely to say they would attend a WTA conference in their own country than Americans are to want to attend conferences in the US (non-Americans are $88 \%$ willing and Americans 73\% willing).

| How likely are you to attend a WTA conference in your country? |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2005 |  |  |
|  | Non-US | US | Total |
| Very unlikely | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Somewhat unlikely | $9 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Not sure | $2 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Somewhat likely | $32 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Very likely | $56 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $43 \%$ |

And non-Americans are far more likely to want to attend WTA conferences in countries other than their own than Americans are. Only 16\% of American respondents said they would attend conferences outside the US, down from $24 \%$ in 2003, contrasted with the $35 \%-43 \%$ of non-Americans who would travel outside their country.

How likely are you to attend a WTA conference in other countries?

|  | 2003 |  |  |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-US | US | Total | Non-US | US | Total |
| Very unlikely | $33 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Somewhat unlikely | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Not sure | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Somewhat likely | $26 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Very likely | $18 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

## Attitudes

One part of this survey was a set of attitudes that respondents were asked to agree or disagree with, which we are examining in order to establish a 10 question self-diagnostic for whether someone is a likely transhumanist. We have also examined these questions in terms of the groups that they cluster into. There are five basic value clusters:

1. Human-Enhancement attitudes about life extension, intelligence augmentation, cryonics and uploading
2. Humanism attitudes about human self-reliance and whether there are divine limits on human reason
3. Technological-Optimism attitudes about embracing or banning new technologies, such as nanotechnology, genetic engineering and human enhancement technologies
4. Personhood attitudes about valuing the well-being of all sentient beings, including rights for great apes and robots, and conversely about permitting abortion
5. Reproductive rights attitudes about abortion, human cloning and the genetic enhancement of children
The top ten questions on which the respondents (who considered themselves transhumanists) agreed were:

Top Ten Attitudes on Which There is Overwhelming (75\%+) Agreement

|  | Yes | Human- <br> Enhance ment | Huma nism | TechOptim ism | Personhood | Repro Rights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you believe that people have a right to use technology to extend their mental and physical (including reproductive) capacities and to improve their control over their own lives? | 95\% | X |  | X |  |  |
| Do you think that by being generally open and embracing of new technology we have a better chance of turning it to our advantage than if we try to ban or prohibit it? | 94\% |  |  | X |  |  |
| Do you expect human progress to result from human accomplishment rather than divine intervention, grace, or redemption? | 93\% |  | X |  |  |  |
| Do you think it would be a good thing if people could become many times more intelligent than they currently are? | 92\% | X |  |  |  |  |
| Is your concept of "the meaning of life" derived from human responsibility and opportunity rather than divine revelation? | 86\% |  | X |  |  |  |
| Do you believe women should have the right to terminate their pregnancies? | 83\% |  |  |  | X | X |
| Does your ethical code advocate the well-being of all sentient beings, whether in artificial intellects, humans, posthumans, or non- human animals? | 81\% |  |  |  | X |  |
| Do you think it would be a good thing if people could live for hundreds of years or longer? | 80\% | X |  |  |  |  |
| Would you consider having your mind uploaded to computers if it was the only way you could continue as a conscious person? | 78\% | X |  |  |  |  |
| Should parents be able to have children through cloning once the technology is safe? | 76\% |  |  |  |  | X |

Based on the above results, it is proposed that we make a flyer that asks "Are you a transhumanist? Answer the questions above." If we offered the self-diagnostic categories below, where five or more positive answers made you "probably a transhumanist," then that would have included $96 \%$ of the respondents who were comfortable calling themselves transhumanists, and only excluded $4 \%$ of WTA member respondents, including $3 \%$ of supporting (voting) members. These $3-4 \%$ could be considered the "false negatives" of this self-diagnostic.

Suggested self-diagnostic categories

|  | Basic <br> members | Supporting <br> (voting) <br> Members |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $7-10$ = You are a transhumanist | $89 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| $5-6$ = Probably a transhumanist | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $2-4$ = Some transhumanist tendencies | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $0-1$ = Not a transhumanist yet | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

Another test of this diagnostic is what percent of "false positives" it might yield, the percent of definitely non-transhumanist people who would agree with seven or more of these questions. It is quite possible that humanist liberals might agree with these four statements:

- Do you believe that people have a right to use technology to extend their mental and physical (including reproductive) capacities and to improve their control over their own lives?
- Do you think that by being generally open and embracing of new technology we have a better chance of turning it to our advantage than if we try to ban or prohibit it?
- Is your concept of "the meaning of life" derived from human responsibility and opportunity rather than divine revelation?
- Do you believe women should have the right to terminate their pregnancies?
....and still disagree with the other six statements, and still be decidedly non-transhumanist. But the remaining six questions all touch on distinctively transhumanist values. That argues for suggesting agreement with five or six questions as "probably transhumanist" and seven or more as definitely a transhumanist.

There was also majority agreement on a second set of questions, some of which were phrased in a bioconservative direction, and some of which were related to more controversial aspects of the transhumanist meme-set. Almost three quarters support human rights for enhanced chimpanzees, and 70\% support human rights for "robots who think and feel like human beings, and aren't a threat to human beings." Only 62\% think liberal democracy is the best of available political systems. Only a third believe in an imminent Singularity, defined as "an abrupt, cataclysmic, worldwide social change by 2040" caused by emerging technologies. Perhaps most disturbing is that only a plurality (46\%) of respondents believe that "humans and posthumans will be able to coexist in one society and polity," while $41 \%$ were unsure, and $12 \%$ believed they could not coexist.

Attitudes for Which There is Less than 75\% Support


## Slogans

The most popular slogans involved themes of personal "evolution" beyond the human body. The least popular slogans referred to having a second machine body, becoming a Jupiterbrain or god, or the imminent "TechnoRapture."


## Politics and Religion

Asking about political self-identity, there are only marginal changes from 2003, but interesting ones nonetheless.
The percent of the respondents who consider themselves left-wingers of one sort or the other increased from $36 \%$ to $39 \%$ while the percent who were libertarians (including Euro-liberals, Objectivists, and anarcho-capitalists) remained at $22 \%$. Conservatives remained only $3-4 \%$ of membership, but those who consider themselves "far right" declined from $1.2 \%$ of membership to $0.4 \%$ of membership. Those who were apolitical declined from $15 \%$ to $12 \%$

Which of these best describes your political views?

|  | 2003 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-US | US | Total | Non-US | US | Total |
| Left, left-leaning | 33\% | 38\% | 36\% | 38\% | 40\% | 39\% |
| Libertarian socialist | 8\% | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Progressive | 4\% | 9\% | 6\% | 6\% | 9\% | 7\% |
| Democratic socialist | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Social democrat | 8\% | 3\% | 5\% | 7\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| Green | 2\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% |
| US-style liberal | 0\% | 7\% | 4\% | 2\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Left anarchist | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Radical | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Communist | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Libertarian | 22\% | 21\% | 22\% | 24\% | 19\% | 22\% |
| Libertarian | 9\% | 13\% | 11\% | 8\% | 11\% | 10\% |
| European Liberal | 9\% | 3\% | 6\% | 9\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Anarcho-capitalist | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Randian/Objectivist | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| Minarchist | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Other | 16\% | 17\% | 17\% | 16\% | 17\% | 16\% |
| Upwinger/advocate of future political system | 8\% | 7\% | 8\% | 11\% | 9\% | 10\% |
| Other | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 6\% | 8\% | 7\% |
| Not political | 19\% | 10\% | 15\% | 15\% | 9\% | 12\% |
| Moderate | 5\% | 10\% | 7\% | 5\% | 12\% | 8\% |
| Conservative | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Christian Democrat | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% |  | 0\% |
| Conservative | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Far right | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

In regards religious views, the 2005 respondents are again almost identical to the 2003 respondents, with slight down ticks in both secularism and religiosity, and an up tick in "none of the above." One quarter of the respondents are religious in some sense, although some of the religious categories, such as "spiritual," "religious humanist," "Buddhist," "Unitarian Universalist" and "Raelian", could also identify as spiritual humanists or atheists.

| Which of these best describes your religious or spiritual views? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |
|  | Non-US | US | Total | Non-US | US | Total |
| Secular, atheist | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ |
| Atheist | $34 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Agnostic | $11 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Secular humanist | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Other non-theistic philosophy | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Religious or spiritual | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| Spiritual | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Protestant | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Buddhist | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Religious humanist | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Pagan or animist | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Catholic | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Unitarian-Universalist | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Hindu | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Other religion | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jewish | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Muslim | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Raelian | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other/DK | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| None of the above | $11 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Don't know | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

## Other Organizational Memberships

The most impressive thing about respondents' reports of other organizational affiliations is the jump in the percent of respondents who consider themselves members of local WTA chapter, which increased from $7 \%$ to $17 \%$, and who belong to wta-talk, which jumped from $17 \%$ to $27 \%$. This represents a deepening of our membership identification. Most other organizational affiliations stayed pretty much the same, with joint Extropy Institute memberships ticking down to $15 \%$ and joint Immortality Institute memberships ticking up to $13 \%$. A much larger percent of Americans report membership in a political party, probably because membership in the Democrats and Republicans does not involve dues as it does with most of the world's parties.

Which other organizations and groups do you belong to?

|  | 2003 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Non-US | US | Total | Non-US | US | Total |
| wta-talk discussion list | $19 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| a political party | $6 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| local chapter of the WTA | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Extropy Institute | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Immortality Institute | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| an environmental organization | $7 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Foresight Institute | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Alcor, CI or other cryo assoc | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Friendster.com | $4 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| a church, synagogue, temple, coven, etc. | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| SL4 | $3 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| World Future Society | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Life Extension Foundation | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| transhumanism.meetup.com | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Tribe.net | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| a women's rights organization | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Transhumanist Arts and Culture | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Transhumanist Student Network | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Singularity Action Group | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

