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## Chapter I

## INTRODUCTION

An important and necessary step in the regional planning process is the projection of the probable nature and magnitude of changes in factors which are largely beyond the influence of the planning process but which must be considered in the preparation of a comprehensive plan. Among the most important of these factors are those relating to the size, distribution, and composition of the population and to the number, distribution, and types of employment opportunities, or jobs. Accordingly, the Regional Planning Commission periodically carries out demographic studies - resulting in projections of the future size, distribution, and composition of the resident population-and economic studies-resulting in projections of the future number, distribution, and types of jobs-as a basis for updating and extending the comprehensive plan for physical development of the Region.

The Commission has undertaken a number of in-depth analyses of the Region's population and economic base since 1960. The major demographic analyses have generally coincided with the release of information from the Federal decennial census of population and housing; the major economic base analyses have generally been carried out concurrently with the demographic studies.

This report constitutes the fourth edition of SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11, The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin. It documents the findings of the demographic analyses conducted by the Commission following the 2000 census and sets forth new population projections for the Region to the year 2035. This report is a companion to the fourth edition of SEWRPC Technical Report No. 10, The Economy of Southeastern Wisconsin, which documents a concurrent analysis of the regional economy and sets forth new employment projections to the year 2035. The aforereferenced reports were prepared in tandem to ensure consistency between the Commission's long-range population projections and employment projections. Together, the new population and employment projections presented in these reports provide an important part of the basis for updating and extending the currently adopted regional land use and transportation plans, along with other elements of the comprehensive plan for Southeastern Wisconsin, to the year 2035.

## PREVIOUS DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSES AND PROJECTIONS

In 1963 the Commission completed a demographic analysis for the Region, resulting in the preparation of a set of population projections through 1985. During the course of the Commission's initial land use-transportation study begun in 1963, those population projections were updated and extended to the year 1990, providing a basis for the initial design year 1990 regional land use and transportation plans. In subsequent studies over the course of the next several decades, the Commission population projections were extended to 2000, to 2010, and to 2020serving as a basis for the updates of the Commission's land use and transportation plans and other plan elements

Table 1
REPORTS DOCUMENTING PREVIOUS COMMISSION DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSES AND PROJECTIONS

| Name of Publication | Date |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEWRPC Planning Report No. 4, The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin | June 1963 |
| SEWRPC Planning Report No. 7, Land Use-Transportation Study, Volume Two, Forecasts <br> and Alternative Plans: 1990 | June 1966 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11, The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin | December 1972 |
| SEWRPC Planning Report No. 25, A Regional Land Use Plan and a Regional Transportation <br> Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin-2000, Volume II, Alternative and Recommended Plans | May 1978 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 22, Recent Population Growth and Change in Southeastern <br> Wisconsin: 1970-1977 | September 1979 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 25, Alternative Futures for Southeastern Wisconsin | December 1980 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11 (2nd Edition), The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin | June 1984 |
| SEWRPC Planning Report No. 40, A Regional Land Use Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin- <br> 2010 | January 1992 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11 (3rd Edition), The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin | October 1995 |

Source: SEWRPC.
with corresponding design years. The reports documenting previous Commission demographic studies and projections are listed in Table 1. ${ }^{1}$

The Commission's most recent population projections for the Region were prepared for the thirty-year period 1990 to 2020. The projections are documented in SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11 (3rd Edition), The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin, dated October 1995. As part of that work, the Commission prepared a range of population projections attendant to "high-growth," "intermediate-growth," and "low-growth" scenarios for the Region. The intermediate-growth projection was used as the basis for the preparation of the year 2020 regional land use and transportation plans.

The year 2020 population projections are re-presented for the Region and its seven counties in Figure 1. ${ }^{2}$ Under those projections, it was envisioned that the resident population of the Region would increase from the 1.81 million persons in 1990 to a 2020 level of about 2.37 million persons under the high-growth scenario, about 2.08 million persons under the intermediate-growth scenario, and about 1.93 million persons under the low-growth scenario. As shown on Figure 1, actual population growth for the Region as a whole since 1990 has most closely approximated the intermediate-growth scenario projection. ${ }^{3}$ Since 1990, actual population growth within each

[^0]Figure 1
POPULATION PROJECTIONS FORTHE REGION: 1990-2020
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NOTE: The Commission population projections for the year 2020, presented above, were prepared using 1990 base year data.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census; Wisconsin Department of Administration; and SEWRPC.
county in the Region except Milwaukee County has been within the projected range. In Milwaukee County, the population has decreased since 1990, with the result that the current population is below the low-growth scenario projection.

## CURRENT DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSES AND PROJECTIONS

Following the release of information from the 2000 census, the Commission undertook another analysis of trends in population levels and characteristics for the Region. This analysis provided the basis for the preparation of new population projections, those projections being extended 15 years beyond the previous projections, to the year 2035. The analysis work and the preparation of new projections were carried out with the assistance of the Commission's Advisory Committee on Regional Population and Economic Forecasts. The membership of that Committee is set forth on the inside front cover of this report.

The new population projections are presented in Chapter IV of this report. As in the past, the Commission has projected a range of future population levels-low, intermediate, and high-for the Region. The intermediate projection is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall; it is envisioned that this projection would be used as the basis for the preparation of the new year 2035 regional land use and transportation plans. The high and low projections were developed in recognition of the considerable uncertainty that is inherent in any effort to predict future socioeconomic conditions. The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of population levels which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

The new population projections are accompanied by a new set of household projections for the Region to the year 2035. The households projections are also presented in Chapter IV.

## PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

This report, then, documents the findings of the demographic analyses conducted by the Commission following the 2000 census and sets forth new population projections for the Region to the year 2035. Following this introductory chapter, Chapter II of this report presents information on existing population and household levels in the Region and information on the characteristics of the Region's population and households, along with related historic trend information. Chapter III describes historical trends in the components of population changenamely, natural increase and migration. Chapter IV presents a set of population and household projections for the Region covering the period 2000 to 2035 . Chapter V is a summary chapter.

## Chapter II

## POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD TRENDS

## INTRODUCTION

Current and historic information on the Region's population and households is essential to the comprehensive planning program for the Region. Such information contributes to an understanding of existing development patterns and historic trends in the development of the Region, and provides a framework for preparing the projections of population and households required as a basis for updating the regional land use plan and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the Region. This chapter presents information on existing population and household levels in the Region and information on the characteristics of the Region's population and households, along with related historic trend information.

## Data Sources

Most of the information presented in this chapter is drawn from the year 2000 Federal census and prior Federal decennial censuses. The Census Bureau released the results of the 2000 census over several years beginning in April 2001.

As part of its Census 2000 Count Question Resolution program, the Census Bureau has approved certain corrections to the initially released year 2000 census data. These corrections pertain to total population, group quarter population, household population, total housing units, vacant housing units, and occupied housing units. With respect to Southeastern Wisconsin, the county-level corrections to total population counts consist of a reduction of 1,746 persons in the Walworth County population and an increase of three persons in the Washington County population. The entirety of the Census Bureau-approved corrections for these Counties, as well as for cities, villages and towns in the Region, is presented in Appendix A. ${ }^{1}$ The Census Bureau-approved corrections for Walworth and Washington Counties are reflected in the county-level and regional data presented in this chapter.

Census Bureau-approved corrections to the 2000 census are confined to the most basic of data items-total population, group quarter population, household population, and total, occupied, and vacant housing units. Corrected census data regarding characteristics of the population-such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, marital status, and household type-are not available from the Census Bureau. Data regarding these characteristics, as presented in this chapter, are based upon initially released census data.

[^1]
## POPULATION TRENDS

## Population Size

The resident population of the Region was $1,931,200$ persons in 2000 , compared to $1,810,400$ in 1990 . The increase of 120,800 persons, or 6.7 percent, in the regional population during the 1990 s is substantially greater than the increases experienced during the 1970s ( 8,700 persons) and 1980s ( 45,600 persons) -but less than the increases of 333,000 persons and 182,500 persons experienced during the 1950 s and 1960 s, respectively (see Table 2).

In relative terms, the Region's population grew at a somewhat slower rate than the population of Wisconsin overall and the population of the United States during the 1990s. As a result, the Region's share of Wisconsin's population decreased slightly, from 37 percent to 36 percent, with the Region's share of the national population also declining. As indicated in Table 2, the Region's share of the State and national population has been gradually decreasing since 1960 .

## Population Distribution by County

During the 1990s, six of the counties in the Region experienced significant population growth, while Milwaukee County lost population. Waukesha County gained the most population during the 1990s, increasing by 56,100 persons. Kenosha, Ozaukee, Racine, Walworth, and Washington Counties gained between 9,400 and 22,200 persons each. Milwaukee County lost 19,100 persons (see Table 3 and Figure 2).

The past decade saw further change in the relative distribution of the population among the counties within the Region, continuing long-term trends in this respect. Milwaukee County's share of the regional population decreased by about 4 percentage points during the 1990s, while the share of each of the other six counties increased at least slightly. Over the past 50 years, the most notable change in the distribution has been the increase in Waukesha County's share, from 7 percent to 19 percent of the regional population, and the decrease in Milwaukee County's share, from 70 percent to 49 percent (see Figure 3).

It should be noted that there has been a rapid increase in the population in counties located immediately south of the Region. As indicated in Table 4, the population of Lake and McHenry Counties (Illinois), combined, increased by about 204,800 persons, during the 1990 s, well above the increase of 120,800 persons for the entire Southeastern Wisconsin Region. By 2000, the combined population of Lake and McHenry Counties stood at 904,400 persons.

## Population Distribution by Urbanized Area

It is also useful to analyze the population of the Region in terms of its location inside and outside Census Bureaudefined urbanized areas. An urbanized area is an area consisting of a central core and adjacent densely settled territory that together contain at least 50,000 people. Urbanized areas are identified by the Census Bureau as aggregations of census blocks and block groups which meet certain population level and population density criteria.

As shown on Map 1, there were four urbanized areas in the Region in 2000: the Kenosha, Milwaukee, and Racine urbanized areas, which are located entirely within the Region; and the Round Lake Beach-McHenry-Grayslake urbanized area, which consists of portions of Kenosha County and Lake and McHenry Counties (Illinois). Nearly all of the latter area was located in Illinois until the 2000 census. The combined population of the urbanized areas within the Region was $1,569,200$ persons in 2000 , representing about 81 percent of the total population of the Region (see Table 5).

The Census Bureau altered its procedures for delineating urbanized areas for purposes of the 2000 census. The changes resulted in a significant modification of the 1990 urbanized area delineations in the Region, as shown on Map 1. One of the more obvious differences is the exclusion of the less densely populated areas of large fringe incorporated communities-such as the Cities of Franklin, Mequon, Muskego, and New Berlin and the Villages of Germantown and Menomonee Falls-from the Milwaukee urbanized area, for purposes of the 2000 census.

Table 2
POPULATION TRENDS IN THE REGION, WISCONSIN, AND THE UNITED STATES: 1900-2000

| Year | Region |  |  | Wisconsin |  |  | United States |  |  | Regional Population as a percent of: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |  |  |
|  | Population | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent | Wisconsin | United <br> States |
| 1900 | 501,808 | -- | -- | 2,069,042 | -- | -- | 75,994,575 | -- | -- | 24.3 | 0.66 |
| 1910 | 631,161 | 129,353 | 25.8 | 2,333,860 | 264,818 | 12.8 | 91,972,266 | 15,977,691 | 21.0 | 27.0 | 0.69 |
| 1920 | 783,681 | 152,520 | 24.2 | 2,632,067 | 298,207 | 12.8 | 105,710,620 | 13,738,354 | 14.9 | 29.8 | 0.74 |
| 1930 | 1,006,118 | 222,437 | 28.4 | 2,939,006 | 306,939 | 11.7 | 122,755,046 | 17,044,426 | 16.1 | 34.2 | 0.82 |
| 1940 | 1,067,699 | 61,581 | 6.1 | 3,137,587 | 198,581 | 6.8 | 131,669,587 | 8,914,541 | 7.3 | 34.0 | 0.81 |
| 1950 | 1,240,618 | 172,919 | 16.2 | 3,434,575 | 296,988 | 9.5 | 151,325,798 | 19,656,211 | 14.9 | 36.1 | 0.82 |
| 1960 | 1,573,614 | 332,996 | 26.8 | 3,951,777 | 517,202 | 15.1 | 179,323,175 | 27,997,377 | 18.5 | 39.8 | 0.88 |
| 1970 | 1,756,083 | 182,469 | 11.6 | 4,417,821 | 466,044 | 11.8 | 203,302,031 | 23,978,856 | 13.4 | 39.7 | 0.86 |
| 1980 | 1,764,796 | 8,713 | 0.5 | 4,705,642 | 287,821 | 6.5 | 226,504,825 | 23,202,794 | 11.4 | 37.5 | 0.78 |
| 1990 | 1,810,364 | 45,568 | 2.6 | 4,891,769 | 186,127 | 4.0 | 249,632,692 | 23,127,867 | 10.2 | 37.0 | 0.73 |
| $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 1,931,165 | 120,801 | 6.7 | 5,363,675 | 471,906 | 9.6 | 281,421,906 | 31,789,214 | 12.7 | 36.0 | 0.69 |

$\mathrm{a}_{\text {Reflects }}$ Census Bureau-approved corrections to initially released 2000 census data for the Region.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

## POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

The Federal census provides extensive data regarding the characteristics of the Nation's population. This section reports on the basic characteristics of the regional population available from the 2000 census-including age and gender composition, racial composition, Hispanic ethnicity, foreign-born status, and marital status. Where possible, trends in these data over the last several decades are reported.

## Age Composition

As indicated in Table 6 and Figure 4, growth in the regional population has been accompanied by change in the age composition. Among the five-year age groups, growth over the past ten years in the Region is most evident in the 40 - to 44 -year, the 45 - to 49 -year, and the 50 - to 54 -year age groups, largely reflecting the aging of "babyboomers" (those born from 1946 through 1964). Conversely, the largest decreases in population between 1990 and 2000 occurred in the 25 - to 29 -year and 30 - to 34 -year age groups, a reflection of baby boomers moving out of, and comparatively smaller cohorts of the late 1960s and early 1970s moving into, those age groups.

The proportion of the population 65 years of age or older was essentially unchanged over the past 10 years, accounting for about 12 percent of the total population in both 1990 and 2000. The population 65 to 69 years of age decreased during the 1990s, while the population in the 70 - to 74 -year age group and older age groups increased. These changes can be related back to the birth cohorts and migration patterns of the past.

The median age of the regional population (the age above and below which there is an equal number of persons) was 35.4 years in 2000 . The median age has increased steadily over the past three decades, from 27.6 years in 1970 , to 29.7 years in 1980, and to 32.8 years in 1990. Among the seven counties in the Region, the median age in 2000 ranged from 33.7 years in Milwaukee to 38.9 years in Ozaukee (see Table 7).

The changing age composition has implications for public policy formulation in the areas of education, health services, housing, and transportation. Changes in the age composition will also have an important bearing on the future size and makeup of the Region's labor force, particularly as the large baby boom segment (age 36 to 54 in 2000) continues to age and begins to move into retirement years.

## Gender Composition

Males comprised 48.7 percent of the total regional population in 2000, while females comprised 51.3 percent. Males slightly outnumbered females through early childhood and young adulthood. Differences in the gender

Table 3
POPULATION TRENDS IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1900-2000

| Year | Kenosha County Population |  |  |  | Milwaukee County Population |  |  |  | Ozaukee County Population |  |  |  | Racine County Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1900 | 21,707 | -- | -- | 4.3 | 330,017 | -- | -- | 65.8 | 16,363 | -- | -- | 3.3 | 45,644 | -- | -- | 9.1 |
| 1910 | 32,929 | 11,222 | 51.7 | 5.2 | 433,187 | 103,170 | 31.3 | 68.6 | 17,123 | 760 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 57,424 | 11,780 | 25.8 | 9.1 |
| 1920 | 51,284 | 18,355 | 55.7 | 6.6 | 539,449 | 106,262 | 24.5 | 68.8 | 16,335 | -788 | -4.6 | 2.1 | 78,961 | 21,537 | 37.5 | 10.1 |
| 1930 | 63,277 | 11,993 | 23.4 | 6.3 | 725,263 | 185,814 | 34.4 | 72.1 | 17,394 | 1,059 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 90,217 | 11,256 | 14.3 | 9.0 |
| 1940 | 63,505 | 228 | 0.4 | 5.9 | 766,885 | 41,622 | 5.7 | 71.8 | 18,985 | 1,591 | 9.1 | 1.8 | 94,047 | 3,830 | 4.2 | 8.8 |
| 1950 | 75,238 | 11,733 | 18.5 | 6.1 | 871,047 | 104,162 | 13.6 | 70.2 | 23,361 | 4,376 | 23.0 | 1.9 | 109,585 | 15,538 | 16.5 | 8.8 |
| 1960 | 100,615 | 25,377 | 33.7 | 6.4 | 1,036,041 | 164,994 | 18.9 | 65.8 | 38,441 | 15,080 | 64.6 | 2.5 | 141,781 | 32,196 | 29.4 | 9.0 |
| 1970 | 117,917 | 17,302 | 17.2 | 6.7 | 1,054,249 | 18,208 | 1.8 | 60.0 | 54,461 | 16,020 | 41.7 | 3.1 | 170,838 | 29,057 | 20.5 | 9.7 |
| 1980 | 123,137 | 5,220 | 4.4 | 7.0 | 964,988 | -89,261 | -8.5 | 54.7 | 66,981 | 12,520 | 23.0 | 3.8 | 173,132 | 2,294 | 1.3 | 9.8 |
| 1990 | 128,181 | 5,044 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 959,275 | -5,713 | -0.6 | 53.0 | 72,831 | 5,850 | 8.7 | 4.0 | 175,034 | 1,902 | 1.1 | 9.7 |
| $2000{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 149,577 | 21,396 | 16.7 | 7.7 | 940,164 | -19,111 | -2.0 | 48.7 | 82,317 | 9,486 | 13.0 | 4.2 | 188,831 | 13,797 | 7.9 | 9.8 |


| Year | Walworth County Population |  |  |  | Washington County Population |  |  |  | Waukesha County Population |  |  |  | Region Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { of Region } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1900 | 29,259 | -- | -- | 5.8 | 23,589 | -- | -- | 4.7 | 35,229 | -- | -- | 7.0 | 501,808 | -- | -- | 100.0 |
| 1910 | 29,614 | 355 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 23,784 | 195 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 37,100 | 1,871 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 631,161 | 129,353 | 25.8 | 100.0 |
| 1920 | 29,327 | -287 | -1.0 | 3.7 | 25,713 | 1,929 | 8.1 | 3.3 | 42,612 | 5,512 | 14.9 | 5.4 | 783,681 | 152,520 | 24.2 | 100.0 |
| 1930 | 31,058 | 1,731 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 26,551 | 838 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 52,358 | 9,746 | 22.9 | 5.2 | 1,006,118 | 222,437 | 28.4 | 100.0 |
| 1940 | 33,103 | 2,045 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 28,430 | 1,879 | 7.1 | 2.7 | 62,744 | 10,386 | 19.8 | 5.9 | 1,067,699 | 61,581 | 6.1 | 100.0 |
| 1950 | 41,584 | 8,481 | 25.6 | 3.4 | 33,902 | 5,472 | 19.2 | 2.7 | 85,901 | 23,157 | 36.9 | 6.9 | 1,240,618 | 172,919 | 16.2 | 100.0 |
| 1960 | 52,368 | 10,784 | 25.9 | 3.3 | 46,119 | 12,217 | 36.0 | 2.9 | 158,249 | 72,348 | 84.2 | 10.1 | 1,573,614 | 332,996 | 26.8 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 63,444 | 11,076 | 21.2 | 3.6 | 63,839 | 17,720 | 38.4 | 3.7 | 231,335 | 73,086 | 46.2 | 13.2 | 1,756,083 | 182,469 | 11.6 | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 71,507 | 8,063 | 12.7 | 4.0 | 84,848 | 21,009 | 32.9 | 4.8 | 280,203 | 48,868 | 21.1 | 15.9 | 1,764,796 | 8,713 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| 1990 | 75,000 | 3,493 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 95,328 | 10,480 | 12.4 | 5.3 | 304,715 | 24,512 | 8.7 | 16.8 | 1,810,364 | 45,568 | 2.6 | 100.0 |
| $2000{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 92,013 | 17,013 | 22.7 | 4.8 | 117,496 | 22,168 | 23.3 | 6.1 | 360,767 | 56,052 | 18.4 | 18.7 | 1,931,165 | 120,801 | 6.7 | 100.0 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{\text {Reflects }}$ Census Bureau-approved corrections to initially released 2000 census data for the Region.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 2
POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2000


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 3

## SHARE OF REGIONAL POPULATION BY COUNTY: 1950 AND 2000



Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 4
POPULATION IN LAKE AND MCHENRY COUNTIES, ILLINOIS: 1970-2000

| Year | Lake County |  |  | McHenry County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| 1970 | 382,638 | -- | -- | 111,555 | -- | -- |
| 1980 | 440,372 | 57,734 | 15.1 | 147,897 | 36,342 | 32.6 |
| 1990 | 516,418 | 76,046 | 17.3 | 183,241 | 35,344 | 23.9 |
| 2000 | 644,356 | 127,938 | 24.8 | 260,077 | 76,836 | 41.9 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 5
POPULATION IN THE REGION BY URBANIZED AREA: 1990 AND 2000

| Urbanized Area | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Number | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Percent } \\ \text { of Total }\end{array}$ | Number | \(\left.\begin{array}{r}Percent <br>

of Total\end{array}\right]\)
a Nearly all of the Round Lake Beach-McHenry-Grayslake Urbanized Area was located in Illinois until the 2000 Census.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
makeup are most evident in the older age groups. Females comprised 59.8 percent of the population age 65 years and older in the Region in 2000, while males comprised only 40.2 percent. For the population 85 years and older, the female proportion stood at 73.2 percent, compared to 26.8 percent for males (see Table 8).

## Racial Composition

As part of the 2000 census, respondents were given the opportunity for the first time to specify more than one race when reporting their racial identity. The vast majority of the population in the Region ( 98.3 percent) reported only one race. This includes 79.4 percent reporting White; 13.6 percent reporting Black or African American; 0.5 percent reporting American Indian or Alaska Native; 1.8 percent reporting Asian; less than 0.1 percent reporting Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander; and 3.0 percent reporting some other race. Among the Region's seven counties, Milwaukee County remains the most racially diverse county, followed by Racine and Kenosha (see Table 9).

Because of the change in the way the question on race was asked in the 2000 census, the 2000 census data on race are not directly comparable with data from previous decennial censuses, as part of which respondents were allowed to identify only one race. There are at least two ways to quantify the change in the population by race between 1990 and 2000 . One method compares 1990 census data to 2000 census data for census respondents who reported a single race in 2000; the other method compares 1990 census data to 2000 census data for those who reported a single race and those who reported more than one race in the 2000 census. In combination, the methods provide a minimum-maximum range of change for the various racial groups between 1990 and 2000. Changes in the racial composition for the Region, based upon both methods, are presented in Table 10.

## Hispanic Origin

The decennial census includes questions on Hispanic origin independent of questions on race. ${ }^{2}$ In the 2000 census, about 126,400 persons in the Region, or about 7 percent of the Region's population, were reported to be of Hispanic origin. As indicated in Table 11, the Hispanic population comprised more than 6 percent of the total population in Kenosha County ( 7.2 percent); Milwaukee County ( 8.8 percent); Racine County ( 7.9 percent); and Walworth County ( 6.5 percent). Conversely, the Hispanic population comprised less than 3 percent of the total

[^2]Map 1
U.S. CENSUS URBANIZED AREAS IN THE REGION: 2000

```
1990 CENSUS-DEFIN
```

2000 CENSUS-DEFINED


Table 6
AGE COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION: 1970-2000

| Age Group (years) | 1970 |  | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Under 5 | 153,243 | 8.7 | 128,085 | 7.3 | 138,444 | 7.6 | 132,390 | 6.8 |
| 5-9................................... | 183,283 | 10.4 | 127,834 | 7.2 | 137,582 | 7.6 | 144,219 | 7.5 |
| 10-14................................ | 186,865 | 10.6 | 146,252 | 8.3 | 128,651 | 7.1 | 147,229 | 7.6 |
| 15-19............................... | 163,033 | 9.3 | 168,897 | 9.6 | 123,812 | 6.8 | 141,558 | 7.3 |
| 20-24................................ | 132,672 | 7.5 | 166,934 | 9.5 | 132,736 | 7.3 | 124,200 | 6.4 |
| 25-29................................ | 114,042 | 6.5 | 153,984 | 8.7 | 154,747 | 8.6 | 125,567 | 6.5 |
| 30-34............................... | 98,001 | 5.6 | 134,573 | 7.6 | 161,435 | 8.9 | 138,238 | 7.2 |
| 35-39................................ | 95,857 | 5.5 | 104,594 | 5.9 | 146,066 | 8.1 | 157,844 | 8.2 |
| 40-44................................ | 104,631 | 6.0 | 89,464 | 5.1 | 126,119 | 7.0 | 159,702 | 8.3 |
| 45-49................................ | 103,140 | 5.9 | 87,770 | 5.0 | 97,337 | 5.4 | 142,428 | 7.4 |
| 50-54. | 93,714 | 5.3 | 94,349 | 5.3 | 81,990 | 4.5 | 120,345 | 6.2 |
| 55-59. | 85,424 | 4.9 | 90,688 | 5.1 | 77,337 | 4.3 | 88,417 | 4.6 |
| 60-64.. | 72,567 | 4.1 | 76,201 | 4.3 | 77,637 | 4.3 | 69,747 | 3.6 |
| 65-69. | 57,494 | 3.3 | 64,547 | 3.7 | 70,577 | 3.9 | 62,281 | 3.2 |
| 70-74............................... | 46,711 | 2.7 | 50,400 | 2.9 | 56,505 | 3.1 | 60,479 | 3.1 |
| 75-79.. | 33,341 | 1.9 | 37,502 | 2.1 | 44,570 | 2.5 | 51,372 | 2.7 |
| 80-84.. | 19,421 | 1.1 | 24,367 | 1.4 | 29,758 | 1.6 | 35,349 | 1.8 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 12,448 | 0.7 | 18,478 | 1.0 | 25,061 | 1.4 | 31,543 | 1.6 |
| All Ages | 1,755,887 | 100.0 | 1,764,919 | 100.0 | 1,810,364 | 100.0 | 1,932,908 | 100.0 |
| Median Age | 27.6 | -- | 29.7 | -- | 32.8 | -- | 35.4 | -- |


| Age Group (years) | Net Change1970-1980 |  | Net Change 1980-1990 |  | Net Change1990-2000 |  | Net Change 1970-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Under 5 | -25,158 | -16.4 | 10,359 | 8.1 | -6,054 | -4.4 | -20,853 | -13.6 |
| 5-9................................... | -55,449 | -30.3 | 9,748 | 7.6 | 6,637 | 4.8 | -39,064 | -21.3 |
| 10-14................................ | -40,613 | -21.7 | -17,601 | -12.0 | 18,578 | 14.4 | -39,636 | -21.2 |
| 15-19............................... | 5,864 | 3.6 | -45,085 | -26.7 | 17,746 | 14.3 | -21,475 | -13.2 |
| 20-24............................... | 34,262 | 25.8 | -34,198 | -20.5 | -8,536 | -6.4 | -8,472 | -6.4 |
| 25-29............................... | 39,942 | 35.0 | 763 | 0.5 | -29,180 | -18.9 | 11,525 | 10.1 |
| 30-34................................ | 36,572 | 37.3 | 26,862 | 20.0 | -23,197 | -14.4 | 40,237 | 41.1 |
| 35-39............................... | 8,737 | 9.1 | 41,472 | 39.7 | 11,778 | 8.1 | 61,987 | 64.7 |
| 40-44................................ | -15,167 | -14.5 | 36,655 | 41.0 | 33,583 | 26.6 | 55,071 | 52.6 |
| 45-49............................... | -15,370 | -14.9 | 9,567 | 10.9 | 45,091 | 46.3 | 39,288 | 38.1 |
| 50-54............................... | 635 | 0.7 | -12,359 | -13.1 | 38,355 | 46.8 | 26,631 | 28.4 |
| 55-59............................... | 5,264 | 6.2 | -13,351 | -14.7 | 11,080 | 14.3 | 2,993 | 3.5 |
| 60-64................................ | 3,634 | 5.0 | 1,436 | 1.9 | -7,890 | -10.2 | -2,820 | -3.9 |
| 65-69............................... | 7,053 | 12.3 | 6,030 | 9.3 | -8,296 | -11.8 | 4,787 | 8.3 |
| 70-74................................ | 3,689 | 7.9 | 6,105 | 12.1 | 3,974 | 7.0 | 13,768 | 29.5 |
| 75-79............................... | 4,161 | 12.5 | 7,068 | 18.8 | 6,802 | 15.3 | 18,031 | 54.1 |
| 80-84... | 4,946 | 25.5 | 5,391 | 22.1 | 5,591 | 18.8 | 15,928 | 82.0 |
| 85 and Older ....................... | 6,030 | 48.4 | 6,583 | 35.6 | 6,482 | 25.9 | 19,095 | 153.4 |
| All Ages | 9,032 | 0.5 | 45,445 | 2.6 | 122,544 | 6.8 | 177,021 | 10.1 |
| Median Age | 2.1 | 7.6 | 3.1 | 10.4 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 28.3 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
population in Ozaukee County (1.3 percent); Washington County (1.3 percent); and Waukesha County ( 2.6 percent).

The Hispanic population in the Region increased by about 58,500 persons, or by 86 percent, between 1990 and 2000, far exceeding the rate of increase in the overall population of the Region (7 percent). Combined with a 46 percent increase during the 1980s, the Hispanic population nearly tripled between 1980 and 2000. Similar rapid growth in the Hispanic population occurred for the State overall during the past two decades.

## Foreign-Born Population

The foreign-born population includes persons born outside the United States, Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Island Areas such as Guam-excluding, however, persons born abroad of a U.S. citizen parent. The foreign-born

Figure 4
AGE COMPOSITION OFTHE POPULATION INTHE REGION: 1970-2000


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 7
AGE COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY AND WISCONSIN: 2000

| Age Group | Kenosha |  | Milwaukee |  | Ozaukee |  | Racine |  | Walworth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Under $5 . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 10,367 | 6.9 | 67,141 | 7.1 | 5,069 | 6.2 | 13,220 | 7.0 | 5,527 | 5.9 |
| 5 to 9 ................... | 11,640 | 7.8 | 71,253 | 7.6 | 6,096 | 7.4 | 14,069 | 7.4 | 6,299 | 6.7 |
| 10 to 14 ................ | 11,826 | 7.9 | 69,193 | 7.4 | 6,825 | 8.3 | 14,667 | 7.8 | 6,864 | 7.3 |
| 15 to 19 ................ | 11,106 | 7.4 | 68,674 | 7.3 | 6,014 | 7.3 | 14,008 | 7.4 | 8,160 | 8.7 |
| 20 to 24 ................ | 9,568 | 6.4 | 69,873 | 7.4 | 3,551 | 4.3 | 10,602 | 5.6 | 8,735 | 9.3 |
| 25 to 29 ................ | 9,747 | 6.5 | 71,001 | 7.5 | 3,538 | 4.3 | 11,116 | 5.9 | 5,278 | 5.6 |
| 30 to 34 ................ | 11,068 | 7.4 | 70,129 | 7.5 | 4,897 | 5.9 | 13,415 | 7.1 | 5,925 | 6.3 |
| 35 to 39 ................ | 13,365 | 8.9 | 71,716 | 7.6 | 6,874 | 8.4 | 15,886 | 8.4 | 7,309 | 7.8 |
| 40 to 44 ................ | 12,696 | 8.5 | 71,701 | 7.6 | 7,740 | 9.4 | 16,118 | 8.5 | 7,329 | 7.8 |
| 45 to 49 ................ | 10,596 | 7.1 | 64,493 | 6.9 | 7,127 | 8.7 | 14,063 | 7.4 | 6,645 | 7.1 |
| 50 to 54 ................ | 8,661 | 5.8 | 53,764 | 5.7 | 6,080 | 7.4 | 12,204 | 6.5 | 5,644 | 6.0 |
| 55 to 59 ................ | 6,649 | 4.5 | 38,037 | 4.0 | 4,713 | 5.7 | 9,105 | 4.8 | 4,456 | 4.8 |
| 60 to 64 ................ | 5,119 | 3.4 | 31,504 | 3.4 | 3,436 | 4.2 | 7,125 | 3.8 | 3,654 | 3.9 |
| 65 to 69 ................ | 4,436 | 3.0 | 29,641 | 3.2 | 2,897 | 3.5 | 6,147 | 3.3 | 3,089 | 3.3 |
| 70 to 74 ................ | 4,355 | 2.9 | 30,279 | 3.2 | 2,751 | 3.3 | 5,859 | 3.1 | 2,956 | 3.2 |
| 75 to 79 ................ | 3,632 | 2.4 | 26,877 | 2.9 | 2,080 | 2.5 | 5,052 | 2.7 | 2,401 | 2.6 |
| 80 to 84 ................ | 2,577 | 1.7 | 18,376 | 1.9 | 1,449 | 1.8 | 3,329 | 1.8 | 1,764 | 1.9 |
| 85 and Older ......... | 2,169 | 1.5 | 16,512 | 1.8 | 1,180 | 1.4 | 2,846 | 1.5 | 1,724 | 1.8 |
| Total Population | 149,577 | 100.0 | 940,164 | 100.0 | 82,317 | 100.0 | 188,831 | 100.0 | 93,759 | 100.0 |
| Median Age | 34.8 | -- | 33.7 | -- | 38.9 | -- | 36.1 | -- | 35.1 | -- |


| Age Group | Washington |  | Waukesha |  | Region |  | Wisconsin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Under 5. | 7,970 | 6.8 | 23,096 | 6.4 | 132,390 | 6.8 | 342,340 | 6.4 |
| 5 to 9.................... | 8,687 | 7.4 | 26,175 | 7.3 | 144,219 | 7.5 | 379,484 | 7.1 |
| 10 to 14.............. | 9,224 | 7.9 | 28,630 | 7.9 | 147,229 | 7.6 | 403,074 | 7.5 |
| 15 to 19............... | 8,235 | 7.0 | 25,361 | 7.0 | 141,558 | 7.3 | 407,195 | 7.6 |
| 20 to 24................ | 5,645 | 4.8 | 16,226 | 4.5 | 124,200 | 6.4 | 357,292 | 6.7 |
| 25 to 29................ | 6,587 | 5.6 | 18,300 | 5.1 | 125,567 | 6.5 | 333,913 | 6.2 |
| 30 to 34................. | 8,838 | 7.5 | 23,966 | 6.6 | 138,238 | 7.2 | 372,255 | 6.9 |
| 35 to 39................ | 11,193 | 9.5 | 31,501 | 8.7 | 157,844 | 8.2 | 435,255 | 8.1 |
| 40 to 44................ | 10,446 | 8.9 | 33,672 | 9.3 | 159,702 | 8.3 | 440,267 | 8.2 |
| 45 to 49................ | 9,161 | 7.8 | 30,343 | 8.4 | 142,428 | 7.4 | 397,693 | 7.4 |
| 50 to 54................. | 7,860 | 6.7 | 26,132 | 7.2 | 120,345 | 6.2 | 334,613 | 6.3 |
| 55 to 59................ | 5,946 | 5.1 | 19,511 | 5.4 | 88,417 | 4.6 | 252,742 | 4.7 |
| 60 to 64................ | 4,489 | 3.8 | 14,420 | 4.0 | 69,747 | 3.6 | 204,999 | 3.8 |
| 65 to 69................ | 3,598 | 3.1 | 12,473 | 3.5 | 62,281 | 3.2 | 182,119 | 3.4 |
| 70 to 74................ | 3,298 | 2.8 | 10,981 | 3.1 | 60,479 | 3.1 | 173,188 | 3.2 |
| 75 to 79................ | 2,733 | 2.3 | 8,597 | 2.4 | 51,372 | 2.7 | 146,675 | 2.7 |
| 80 to 84................ | 1,918 | 1.6 | 5,936 | 1.7 | 35,349 | 1.8 | 104,946 | 2.0 |
| 85 and Older.......... | 1,665 | 1.4 | 5,447 | 1.5 | 31,543 | 1.6 | 95,625 | 1.8 |
| Total Population | 117,493 | 100.0 | 360,767 | 100.0 | 1,932,908 | 100.0 | 5,363,675 | 100.0 |
| Median Age | 36.6 | -- | 38.1 | -- | 35.4 | -- | 36.0 | -- |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
population in the Region stood at about 101,500 persons in 2000, representing about 5 percent of the total regional population.

Following a decrease in the foreign-born population between 1970 and 1990, the foreign-born population of the Region increased substantially-by 33,800 persons, or 50 percent-between 1990 and 2000 (see Table 12). The increase in the foreign-born population, including a significant Hispanic component, is a key aspect of the population migration pattern for the Region during the 1990s, when the Region experienced a modest net inmigration - the first net in-migration since the 1950s. Migration patterns are described in detail in the next chapter of this report.

Table 8
GENDER AND AGE COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION: 2000

| Age Group | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Under 5.............................................. | 67,447 | 50.9 | 64,943 | 49.1 |
| 5 to 9 ................................................... | 73,701 | 51.1 | 70,518 | 48.9 |
| 10 to 14 ............................................... | 75,298 | 51.1 | 71,931 | 48.9 |
| 15 to 19 ................................................ | 72,737 | 51.4 | 68,821 | 48.6 |
| 20 to 24 .............................................. | 62,069 | 50.0 | 62,131 | 50.0 |
| 25 to 29 .............................................. | 62,369 | 49.7 | 63,198 | 50.3 |
| 30 to 34 ................................................ | 68,551 | 49.6 | 69,687 | 50.4 |
| 35 to 39 ............................................... | 77,554 | 49.1 | 80,290 | 50.9 |
| 40 to 44 ............................................... | 79,168 | 49.6 | 80,534 | 50.4 |
| 45 to 49 ................................................ | 70,678 | 49.6 | 71,750 | 50.4 |
| 50 to 54. | 59,238 | 49.2 | 61,107 | 50.8 |
| 55 to 59 .............................................. | 42,748 | 48.3 | 45,669 | 51.7 |
| 60 to 64 .............................................. | 33,150 | 47.5 | 36,597 | 52.5 |
| 65 to 69 ................................................ | 28,434 | 45.7 | 33,847 | 54.3 |
| 70 to 74. | 26,504 | 43.8 | 33,975 | 56.2 |
| 75 to 79 .............................................. | 20,683 | 40.3 | 30,689 | 59.7 |
| 80 to 84 ................................................ | 12,712 | 36.0 | 22,637 | 64.0 |
| 85 and Older......................................... | 8,449 | 26.8 | 23,094 | 73.2 |
| Total | 941,490 | 48.7 | 991,418 | 51.3 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 9
RACIAL COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY AND WISCONSIN: 2000

| County | One Race Reported |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | Black or African American |  | American Indian and Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander |  |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Kenosha ................. | 132,193 | 88.4 | 7,600 | 5.1 | 564 | 0.4 | 1,381 | 0.9 | 57 | <0.1 |
| Milwaukee ............. | 616,973 | 65.6 | 231,157 | 24.6 | 6,794 | 0.7 | 24,145 | 2.6 | 422 | <0.1 |
| Ozaukee ................. | 79,621 | 96.7 | 765 | 0.9 | 162 | 0.2 | 882 | 1.1 | 14 | <0.1 |
| Racine ................... | 156,796 | 83.0 | 19,777 | 10.5 | 687 | 0.4 | 1,363 | 0.7 | 77 | <0.1 |
| Walworth ............... | 88,597 | 94.5 | 790 | 0.9 | 219 | 0.2 | 612 | 0.7 | 24 | <0.1 |
| Washington ........... | 114,778 | 97.7 | 465 | 0.4 | 296 | 0.2 | 674 | 0.6 | 35 | <0.1 |
| Waukesha .............. | 345,506 | 95.8 | 2,646 | 0.7 | 788 | 0.2 | 5,381 | 1.5 | 87 | <0.1 |
| Region Total | 1,534,464 | 79.4 | 263,200 | 13.6 | 9,510 | 0.5 | 34,438 | 1.8 | 716 | <0.1 |
| Wisconsin | 4,769,857 | 88.9 | 304,460 | 5.7 | 47,228 | 0.9 | 88,763 | 1.7 | 1,630 | <0.1 |


| County | One Race Reported (continued) |  |  |  | More Than One Race Reported |  | Total Population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other Race |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent Of Total |
| Kenosha ................. | 4,924 | 3.3 | 146,719 | 98.1 | 2,858 | 1.9 | 149,577 | 100.0 |
| Milwaukee ............. | 39,931 | 4.3 | 919,422 | 97.8 | 20,742 | 2.2 | 940,164 | 100.0 |
| Ozaukee ................. | 276 | 0.4 | 81,720 | 99.3 | 597 | 0.7 | 82,317 | 100.0 |
| Racine ................... | 6,972 | 3.7 | 185,672 | 98.3 | 3,159 | 1.7 | 188,831 | 100.0 |
| Walworth ............... | 2,452 | 2.6 | 92,694 | 98.9 | 1,065 | 1.1 | 93,759 | 100.0 |
| Washington ........... | 474 | 0.4 | 116,722 | 99.3 | 771 | 0.7 | 117,493 | 100.0 |
| Waukesha .............. | 3,128 | 0.9 | 357,536 | 99.1 | 3,231 | 0.9 | 360,767 | 100.0 |
| Region Total | 58,157 | 3.0 | 1,900,485 | 98.3 | 32,423 | 1.7 | 1,932,908 | 100.0 |
| Wisconsin | 84,842 | 1.6 | 5,296,780 | 98.8 | 66,895 | 1.2 | 5,363,675 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 10
RACIAL COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION: 1990 AND 2000

| 1990 |  | 2000 |  | Change: <br> 1990 to 2000 (minimum/ maximum) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | Persons | Race | Persons |  |
| White | 1,527,404 | White <br> Alone. $\qquad$ <br> Alone, or in Combination with Other Races. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,534,464 \\ 1,561,522 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Black or African American | 219,931 | Black or African American <br> Alone. $\qquad$ <br> Alone, or in Combination with Other Races. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 263,200 \\ 275,863 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 43,269 <br> 55,932 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 9,195 | American Indian and Alaska Native <br> Alone. $\qquad$ <br> Alone, or in Combination with Other Races. $\qquad$ | $9,510$ <br> 17,819 | $\begin{array}{r} 315 \\ 8,624 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Asian | 20,565 | Asian <br> Alone. $\qquad$ <br> Alone, or in Combination with Other Races. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,438 \\ & 40,458 \end{aligned}$ | 13,873 <br> 19,893 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 384 | Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander <br> Alone $\qquad$ <br> Alone, or in Combination with Other Races. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 716 \\ 1,712 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 332 \\ 1,328 \end{array}$ |
| Other Race | 32,885 | Other Race <br> Alone. $\qquad$ <br> Alone, or in Combination with Other Races. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58,157 \\ & 70,385 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,272 \\ & 37,500 \end{aligned}$ |

NOTE: In the 1990 census, respondents were allowed to identify only a single race. In the 2000 census, respondents were allowed to identify more than one race. In the 2000 census data, "alone" refers to respondents who identified one race. "In Combination with Other Races" refers to respondents who reported more than one race-that is, the race indicated on this table and at least one other race.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

## Table 11

HISPANIC POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY AND WISCONSIN: 1980-2000

| County | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | Change: 1980-1990 |  | Change:1990-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total Population | Number | Percent of Total Population | Number | Percent of Total Population | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Kenosha................ | 3,578 | 2.9 | 5,580 | 4.4 | 10,757 | 7.2 | 2,002 | 56.0 | 5,177 | 92.8 |
| Milwaukee ............. | 29,343 | 3.0 | 44,671 | 4.7 | 82,406 | 8.8 | 15,328 | 52.2 | 37,735 | 84.5 |
| Ozaukee................. | 530 | 0.8 | 517 | 0.7 | 1,073 | 1.3 | -13 | -2.5 | 556 | 107.5 |
| Racine................... | 7,201 | 4.2 | 9,034 | 5.2 | 14,990 | 7.9 | 1,833 | 25.5 | 5,956 | 65.9 |
| Walworth............... | 1,330 | 1.9 | 2,017 | 2.7 | 6,136 | 6.5 | 687 | 51.7 | 4,119 | 204.2 |
| Washington ........... | 472 | 0.6 | 670 | 0.7 | 1,529 | 1.3 | 198 | 41.9 | 859 | 128.2 |
| Waukesha.............. | 3,998 | 1.4 | 5,448 | 1.8 | 9,503 | 2.6 | 1,450 | 36.3 | 4,055 | 74.4 |
| Region Total | 46,452 | 2.6 | 67,937 | 3.8 | 126,394 | 6.5 | 21,485 | 46.3 | 58,457 | 86.0 |
| Wisconsin | 62,972 | 1.3 | 93,194 | 1.9 | 192,921 | 3.6 | 30,222 | 48.0 | 99,727 | 107.0 |

[^3]Table 12
FOREIGN-BORN POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY AND WISCONSIN: 1970-2000

| County | Foreign-Born Population |  |  |  | Change in the Foreign-Born Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 1970-1980 |  | 1980-1990 |  | 1990-2000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Kenosha ................. | 6,511 | 5,862 | 5,543 | 7,144 | -649 | -10.0 | -319 | -5.4 | 1,601 | 28.9 |
| Milwaukee ............... | 54,828 | 46,271 | 42,775 | 63,648 | -8,557 | -15.6 | -3,496 | -7.6 | 20,873 | 48.8 |
| Ozaukee ................... | 1,291 | 1,605 | 1,515 | 2,719 | 314 | 24.3 | -90 | -5.6 | 1,204 | 79.5 |
| Racine ..................... | 7,254 | 6,859 | 5,455 | 7,710 | -395 | -5.4 | -1,404 | -20.5 | 2,255 | 41.3 |
| Walworth ................. | 2,078 | 2,414 | 2,608 | 5,036 | 336 | 16.2 | 194 | 8.0 | 2,428 | 93.1 |
| Washington ............. | 1,006 | 1,750 | 1,447 | 2,190 | 744 | 74.0 | -303 | -17.3 | 743 | 51.3 |
| Waukesha ................ | 5,858 | 8,796 | 8,306 | 13,017 | 2,938 | 50.2 | -490 | -5.6 | 4,711 | 56.7 |
| Region Total | 78,826 | 73,557 | 67,649 | 101,464 | -5,269 | -6.7 | -5,908 | -8.0 | 33,815 | 50.0 |
| Wisconsin | 130,669 | 125,297 | 121,547 | 193,751 | -5,372 | -4.1 | -3,750 | -3.0 | 72,204 | 59.4 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

## Marital Status

About 53 percent of the Region's population age 15 years and older was reported as married in 2000. Among the seven counties in the Region, the proportion of married persons ranged from 45 percent in Milwaukee County to over 64 percent in Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties (see Table 13).

Persons who have never married comprised about 30 percent of the Region's population age 15 years and older in 2000. Separated and divorced persons combined comprised about 11 percent of the population age 15 years and older, while widowed persons comprised about 6 percent.

The marital status of the Region's population has changed considerably over the past 30 years-the most significant changes being a decrease in the proportion of married persons and an increase in the proportion of divorced persons. The proportion reported as married at the time of the census decreased from 61 percent in 1970 to about 53 percent in both 1990 and 2000. The proportion reported as divorced increased from about 3 percent in 1970 to about 9 percent in 2000 (see Table 14).

## HOUSEHOLDS

## Number and Size of Households

In addition to total population, the number of households, or occupied housing units, is of importance in land use planning and public facility planning insofar as it greatly influences the demand for urban land as well as the demand for transportation and other public facilities and services. A household includes all persons who occupy a housing unit-defined by the Census Bureau as a house, apartment, a mobile home, a group of rooms, or a single room that is occupied, or intended for occupancy, as a separate living quarters. ${ }^{3}$ Persons not living in households are classified by the Census Bureau as living in group quarters, such as correctional facilities, college dormitories, and military quarters. The household population accounted for the vast majority, 97.6 percent, of the Region's population in 2000; the remainder, 2.4 percent, was comprised of occupants of group quarters. This proportional relationship has been stable over the past several decades.

The number of households in the Region stood at 749,000 in 2000, an increase of 72,900 over 1990. During the 1990s, all counties in the Region experienced increases in the number of households, led by Waukesha County, which gained 29,200 households, an increase of 28 percent. Milwaukee County gained 4,700 households during the 1990s, despite experiencing a decrease in total population (see Table 15).

[^4]Table 13
MARITAL STATUS OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY AND WISCONSIN: 2000

| County | Persons Age 15 and Older |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never Married |  | Now Married (except separated) |  | Separated |  | Widowed |  | Divorced |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Kenosha.................. | 30,252 | 26.1 | 64,298 | 55.5 | 1,946 | 1.7 | 7,268 | 6.3 | 12,047 | 10.4 | 115,811 | 100.0 |
| Milwaukee ............... | 260,481 | 35.6 | 327,915 | 44.8 | 14,575 | 2.0 | 52,080 | 7.1 | 77,362 | 10.5 | 732,413 | 100.0 |
| Ozaukee .................. | 13,642 | 21.2 | 41,988 | 65.3 | 423 | 0.6 | 3,659 | 5.7 | 4,629 | 7.2 | 64,341 | 100.0 |
| Racine .................... | 37,094 | 25.3 | 84,724 | 57.8 | 1,845 | 1.3 | 8,605 | 5.9 | 14,246 | 9.7 | 146,514 | 100.0 |
| Walworth ................. | 21,249 | 28.3 | 41,543 | 55.3 | 865 | 1.2 | 4,946 | 6.6 | 6,466 | 8.6 | 75,069 | 100.0 |
| Washington ............. | 20,683 | 22.6 | 58,846 | 64.2 | 676 | 0.7 | 4,505 | 4.9 | 6,964 | 7.6 | 91,674 | 100.0 |
| Waukesha................ | 61,666 | 21.8 | 182,972 | 64.6 | 1,760 | 0.6 | 16,106 | 5.7 | 20,530 | 7.3 | 283,034 | 100.0 |
| Region Total | 445,067 | 29.5 | 802,286 | 53.2 | 22,090 | 1.5 | 97,169 | 6.4 | 142,244 | 9.4 | 1,508,856 | 100.0 |
| Wisconsin | 1,153,197 | 27.2 | 2,383,565 | 56.2 | 49,955 | 1.2 | 269,611 | 6.4 | 383,233 | 9.0 | 4,239,561 | 100.0 |

NOTE: Census Bureau sample data totals for the population age 15 and older presented here may differ from complete count totals.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 14
MARITAL STATUS OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION: 1970-2000

| Marital Status | 1970Persons Age 14 and Older |  | 1980Persons Age 15 and Older |  | 1990Persons Age 15 and Older |  | 2000Persons Age 15 and Older |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Now Married......... | 774,971 | 61.1 | 764,350 | 56.1 | 751,865 | 53.5 | 802,286 | 53.2 |
| Never Married....... | 342,122 | 27.0 | 392,529 | 28.8 | 409,274 | 29.1 | 445,067 | 29.5 |
| Separated............. | 15,636 | 1.2 | 23,375 | 1.7 | 24,056 | 1.7 | 22,090 | 1.5 |
| Widowed .............. | 94,074 | 7.4 | 100,866 | 7.4 | 103,257 | 7.4 | 97,169 | 6.4 |
| Divorced ............... | 41,742 | 3.3 | 81,628 | 6.0 | 117,235 | 8.3 | 142,244 | 9.4 |
| Total | 1,268,545 | 100.0 | 1,362,748 | 100.0 | 1,405,687 | 100.0 | 1,508,856 | 100.0 |

NOTE: Marital status was reported for persons age 14 and older in the 1970 census, and for persons age 15 and older in the 1980, 1990, and 2000 censuses. Census Bureau sample data totals for the population age 15 and older presented here may differ from complete count totals.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

In relative terms, the rate of growth in households in the Region during the 1990s, 10.8 percent, exceeded the rate of growth in the total population, 6.7 percent, as well as the rate of growth in the household population, 6.6 percent. Similar patterns were observed over each of the four previous decades. For the past 50 years overall, the number of households in the Region increased by 111 percent, while the total population increased by 56 percent and the household population increased by 58 percent. These differential growth rates between households and population are reflected in a declining average household size in the Region.

For the Region overall, the average household size-calculated as the household population divided by the number of households-was 2.52 persons in 2000 (see Table 16 and Figure 5). During the 1990s, the average household size in the Region decreased by about 0.10 person per household, or about 4 percent, from the 1990 figure of 2.62 persons. The decrease in household size during the 1990s represents a continuation of a long-term trend in declining average household size for the Region over the past 50 years. A particularly large decrease in the average household size for the Region occurred between 1970 and 1980. Each of the seven counties in the Region has experienced a similar long-term trend of declining household size, traceable back to the 1970 or prior censuses. The decline in household size is related to changing household types in the Region as described below.

Table 15

HOUSEHOLDS IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2000

| County | Total Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 |  | 1960 |  | 1970 |  | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | $2000{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Kenosha................. | 21,958 | 6.2 | 29,545 | 6.4 | 35,468 | 6.6 | 43,064 | 6.9 | 47,029 | 6.9 | 56,057 | 7.5 |
| Milwaukee .............. | 249,232 | 70.3 | 314,875 | 67.6 | 338,605 | 63.1 | 363,653 | 57.9 | 373,048 | 55.2 | 377,729 | 50.4 |
| Ozaukee ................. | 6,591 | 1.9 | 10,417 | 2.2 | 14,753 | 2.8 | 21,763 | 3.5 | 25,707 | 3.8 | 30,857 | 4.1 |
| Racine .................... | 31,399 | 8.8 | 40,736 | 8.7 | 49,796 | 9.3 | 59,418 | 9.5 | 63,736 | 9.4 | 70,819 | 9.5 |
| Walworth ................ | 12,369 | 3.5 | 15,414 | 3.3 | 18,544 | 3.5 | 24,789 | 3.9 | 27,620 | 4.1 | 34,505 | 4.6 |
| Washington ............ | 9,396 | 2.7 | 12,532 | 2.7 | 17,385 | 3.2 | 26,716 | 4.2 | 32,977 | 4.9 | 43,843 | 5.8 |
| Waukesha ............... | 23,599 | 6.6 | 42,394 | 9.1 | 61,935 | 11.5 | 88,552 | 14.1 | 105,990 | 15.7 | 135,229 | 18.1 |
| Region | 354,544 | 100.0 | 465,913 | 100.0 | 536,486 | 100.0 | 627,955 | 100.0 | 676,107 | 100.0 | 749,039 | 100.0 |
| County | Household Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1950-1960 |  | 1960-1970 |  |  | 1970-1980 |  | 1980-1990 |  |  | 1990-2000 |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number |  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Kenosha ................. | 7,587 | 34.6 | 5,923 |  | 20.0 | 7,596 | 21.4 | 3,965 | 9.2 |  | 9,028 | 19.2 |
| Milwaukee.............. | 65,643 | 26.3 | 23,730 |  | 7.5 | 25,048 | 7.4 | 9,395 | - 2.6 |  | 4,681 | 1.3 |
| Ozaukee................. | 3,826 | 58.0 | 4,336 |  | 41.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,010 \\ & 9,622 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.5 \\ & 19.3 \end{aligned}$ | 3,944 | - 18.1 |  | 5,150 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \\ & 11.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Racine.................... | 9,337 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.7 \\ & 24.6 \end{aligned}$ | 9,060 |  | 22.2 |  |  | 4,318 | 7. |  | 7,083 |  |
| Walworth................ | 3,045 |  |  | 130 | 20.3 | 6,245 | $\begin{aligned} & 33.7 \\ & 53.7 \end{aligned}$ | 2,831 | 11.4 |  | 6,885 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.1 \\ & 24.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Washington............ | 3,136 | 33.4 | 4,853 |  | 38.7 | 9,331 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,261 \\ 17,438 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.4 \\ & 19.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10,866 \\ & 29,239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.9 \\ & 27.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Waukesha............... | 18,795 | 79.6 |  |  | 46.1 | 26,617 | $\begin{aligned} & 53.7 \\ & 43.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | 111,369 | 31.4 | 70,573 |  | 15.1 | 91,469 | 17.0 | 48,152 | 7.7 |  | 72,932 | 10.8 |

${ }^{a}$ Reflects Census Bureau-approved corrections to initially released 2000 census data for the Region.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

## Household Types

Of the 749,000 households in the Region in $2000,492,900$, or 66 percent, were identified in the census as "family" households. The balance, 256,100 , or 34 percent, were identified as "nonfamily" households. The latter consists of one-person households as well as households comprised of unrelated persons living in the same housing unit. Between 1990 and 2000, nonfamily households increased much more rapidly than family households, in both absolute and percentage terms (see Table 17).

The number of family households in the Region increased by 5 percent between 1990 and 2000, about one-half the rate of increase in total households. Consequently, the relative proportion of family households decreased, from 70 percent in 1990 to 66 percent in 2000. This represents a continuation of trends observed in the Region during the 1970s and 1980s.

The number of nonfamily households in the Region increased by 24 percent between 1990 and 2000-more than double the rate of increase in total households. As a result, the relative proportion of nonfamily households increased from 30 percent in 1990 to 34 percent in 2000. The increase in nonfamily households is due to a 23.6 percent increase in single-person households during the 1990s and a 26.4 percent increase in other nonfamily households. These changes also represent a continuation of trends observed in the Region during the 1970s and 1980s. Owing to their substantial growth over the past three decades (an overall increase of 115,400 households), single-person households comprised 28 percent of all households in the Region in 2000.

## SUMMARY

This chapter has presented information on existing population and household levels in the Region and information on the characteristics of the Region's population and households, along with related historic trend information. Most of the data are drawn from the year 2000 Federal census and prior Federal censuses. A summary of key information presented in this chapter follows.

Table 16
HOUSEHOLDS, HOUSEHOLD POPULATION, AND AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN THE REGION BY COUNTY AND WISCONSIN: 1950-2000

| County | Data Item | Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | $2000{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Kenosha | Households Household Population. <br> Average Household Size. | $\begin{gathered} 21,958 \\ 73,707 \\ 3.36 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29,545 \\ 99,381 \\ 3.36 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 35,468 <br> 115,710 3.26 | 43,064 120,460 2.80 | 47,029 125,577 2.67 | 56,057 145,553 2.60 |
| Milwaukee | Households. $\qquad$ Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size $\qquad$ | 249,232 831,324 3.34 | $\begin{array}{r} 314,875 \\ 1,010,342 \\ 3.21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 338,605 \\ 1,029,104 \\ 3.04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 363,653 940,172 2.59 | 373,048 933,426 2.50 | 377,729 916,054 2.43 |
| Ozaukee | Households. $\qquad$ Household Population. $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,591 \\ 23,122 \\ 3.51 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,417 \\ 38,012 \\ 3.65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,753 \\ 53,951 \\ 3.66 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 21,763 66,211 3.04 | $\begin{gathered} 25,707 \\ 71,732 \\ 2.79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30,857 \\ 80,558 \\ 2.61 \end{gathered}$ |
| Racine | Households. Household Population <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | 31,399 105,761 3.37 | 40,736 138,238 3.39 | 49,796 166,977 3.35 | 59,418 <br> 170,189 <br> 2.86 | 63,736 <br> 172,209 <br> 2.70 | 70,819 183,360 2.59 |
| Walworth | Households. Household Population. <br> Average Household Size $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,369 \\ 40,183 \\ 3.25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,414 \\ 50,532 \\ 3.28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18,544 \\ 58,534 \\ 3.16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24,789 \\ 67,973 \\ 2.74 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27,620 \\ 71,761 \\ 2.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 34,505 \\ 88,563 \\ 2.57 \end{gathered}$ |
| Washington | Households Household Population. <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,396 \\ 33,378 \\ 3.55 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,532 \\ 45,585 \\ 3.64 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17,385 \\ 63,135 \\ 3.63 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 26,716 \\ 83,946 \\ 3.14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 32,977 \\ 94,271 \\ 2.86 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 43,843 <br> 116,198 2.65 |
| Waukesha | Households. $\qquad$ Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size.................. | $\begin{gathered} 23,599 \\ 82,718 \\ 3.51 \end{gathered}$ | 42,394 15,145 3,66 | $\begin{array}{r} 61,935 \\ 226,789 \\ 3.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88,552 \\ 27,616 \\ 3.11 \end{array}$ | 105,990 300,144 2.83 | 135,229 355,014 2.63 |
| Region | Households. Household Population <br> Average Household Size | $\begin{array}{r} 354,544 \\ 1,190,193 \\ 3.36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 465,913 \\ 1,537,235 \\ 3.30 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 536,486 \\ 1,714,200 \\ 3.20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 627,955 \\ 1,724,567 \\ 2.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 676,107 \\ 1,769,120 \\ 2.62 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 749,039 \\ 1,885,300 \\ 2.52 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Wisconsin | Households. $\qquad$ Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 967,448 \\ 3,320,043 \\ 3.43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,146,040 \\ 3,854,086 \\ 3.36 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,328,804 \\ 4,283,702 \\ 3.22 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,652,261 \\ 4,576,886 \\ 2.77 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,822,118 \\ 4,758,171 \\ 2.61 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,084,544 \\ 5,207,717 \\ 2.50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{\text {a Reflects Census Bureau-approved corrections to initially released } 2000 \text { census data for the Region. }}$
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

## Population Size and Distribution

- The resident population of the Region was $1,931,200$ persons in 2000, compared to $1,810,400$ in 1990. The increase of 120,800 persons, or 6.7 percent, in the regional population during the 1990s is substantially greater than the increases experienced during the 1970 ( 8,700 persons) and 1980s ( 45,600 persons)-but less than the increases of 333,000 persons and 182,500 persons experienced during the 1950s and 1960s, respectively.
- In relative terms, the Region's population grew at a somewhat slower rate than that of both the State and the Nation during the 1990s. As a result, the Region's share of Wisconsin's population decreased slightly, from 37 percent to 36 percent, with the Region's share of the national population also declining. The Region's share of the State and national population has been gradually decreasing since 1960.
- The past decade saw further change in the relative distribution of the population among the counties within the Region, continuing long-term trends in this respect. Milwaukee County's share of the regional population decreased by about 4 percentage points during the 1990s, while the share of each of the other six counties increased at least slightly. Over the past 50 years, the most notable change in the distribution has been the increase in Waukesha County's share, from 7 percent to 19 percent of the regional population, and the decrease in Milwaukee County's share, from 70 percent to 49 percent.

Figure 5
AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2000


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 17
HOUSEHOLDS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE IN THE REGION: 1970-2000

| Household Type | 1970 |  | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change: } \\ & \text { 1970-1980 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change: } \\ & \text { 1980-1990 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Change:$1990-2000$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Family Households........... | 431,003 | 80.3 | 454,705 | 72.4 | 469,674 | 69.5 | 492,902 | 65.8 | 23,702 | 5.5 | 14,969 | 3.3 | 23,228 | 4.9 |
| Nonfamily households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Person................. | 93,102 | 17.4 | 146,477 | 23.3 | 168,759 | 24.9 | 208,546 | 27.8 | 53,375 | 57.3 | 22,282 | 15.2 | 39,787 | 23.6 |
| Other Nonfamily .............. | 12,381 | 2.3 | 26,773 | 4.3 | 37,674 | 5.6 | 47,607 | 6.4 | 14,392 | 116.2 | 10,901 | 40.7 | 9,933 | 26.4 |
| Subtotal Nonfamily Households | 105,483 | 19.7 | 173,250 | 27.6 | 206,433 | 30.5 | 256,153 | 34.2 | 67,767 | 64.2 | 33,183 | 19.2 | 49,720 | 24.1 |
| Total Households | 536,486 | 100.0 | 627,955 | 100.0 | 676,107 | 100.0 | 749,055 | 100.0 | 91,469 | 17.0 | 48,152 | 7.7 | 72,948 | 10.8 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

## Population Characteristics

- Growth in the regional population over the past decade was accompanied by change in the age composition. Among the five-year age groups, growth over the past ten years in the Region is most evident in the 40 - to 44 -year, the 45 - to 49 -year, and the 50 - to 54 -year age groups, largely reflecting the aging of "baby-boomers" (those born from 1946 through 1964). Conversely, the largest decreases in population between 1990 and 2000 occurred in the 25 - to 29 -year and 30 - to 34 -year age groups, a reflection of baby boomers moving out of those age groups.
- As part of the 2000 census, respondents were given the opportunity for the first time to specify more than one race when reporting their racial identity. The vast majority of respondents in the Region ( 98.3 percent) reported one race. This includes 79.4 percent reporting White; 13.6 percent reporting Black or African American; 0.5 percent reporting American Indian or Alaska Native; 1.8 percent reporting Asian; less than 0.1 percent reporting Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander; and 3.0 percent reporting some other race. Among the Region's counties, Milwaukee County remained the most racially diverse, followed by Racine and Kenosha.
- As part of the census, respondents are asked about Hispanic origin independent of questions on race. In the 2000 census, about 126,400 persons in the Region, or about 7 percent of the Region's population, were reported to be Hispanic. According to 1990 and 2000 censuses, the Hispanic population in the Region increased by 86 percent during the 1990s. Combined with a reported 46 percent increase during the 1980s, the Hispanic population nearly tripled between 1980 and 2000.
- The foreign-born population in the Region stood at about 101,500 persons in 2000, representing about 5 percent of the total regional population. The foreign-born population of the Region increased substantially-by 33,800 persons, or 50 percent-between 1990 and 2000-following decreases over the prior two decades. The increase in the foreign-born population, including a significant Hispanic component, is a key aspect of the population migration pattern for the Region during the 1990s, when the Region experienced a modest net in-migration-the first net in-migration observed for the Region since the 1950s.


## Number of Households and Household Characteristics

- In addition to total population, the number of households, or occupied housing units, is of importance in land use planning and public facility planning insofar as it greatly influences the demand for urban land as well as the demand for transportation and other public facilities and services. There were 749,000 households in the Region in 2000, an increase of 72,900 over 1990. In relative terms, the rate of growth in households in the Region during the 1990s, 10.8 percent, exceeded the rate of growth in the total population, 6.7 percent, as well as the rate of growth in the household population, 6.6 percent-patterns observed over each of the four previous decades. Over the past 50 years, the number of households in the Region increased by 111 percent, while the total population increased by 56 percent and the household population increased by 58 percent.
- The differential growth rates between households and population noted above are reflected in a declining average household size in the Region. For the Region overall, the average household sizecalculated as the household population divided by the number of households-was 2.52 persons in 2000. During the 1990s, the average household size in the Region decreased by about 0.10 person per household, or about 4 percent, from the 1990 figure of 2.62 persons. The decrease in household size during the 1990s represents a continuation of a long-term trend in declining average household size for the Region over the past 50 years.
- Of the 749,000 households in the Region in 2000, 66 percent were identified in the census as "family" households and the remaining 34 percent as "nonfamily" households (one-person households as well as households comprised of unrelated persons living in the same housing unit). The number of family households in the Region increased by 5 percent between 1900 and 2000, about one-half the rate of increase in total households. The number of nonfamily households in the Region increased by 24 percent between 1990 and 2000-more than double the rate of increase in total households.


## Chapter III

## COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE

## INTRODUCTION

The population of an area such as the Southeastern Wisconsin Region is constantly changing with the occurrence of vital events such as births and deaths, and through the inflow and outflow of persons migrating from one area to another. Population increases result from births and in-migration of persons; population decreases result from deaths and out-migration of persons. The balance between births and deaths is termed "natural increase" and the balance between in-migration and out-migration is termed "net migration." Information on past trends in natural increase and net migration provides insight into the causal factors underlying the historic population changes described in the previous chapter of this report. In addition, such information provides part of the basis upon which projections of future population levels may be made.

This chapter, then, examines the levels and rates of natural increase and migration which underlie the changes in the population of the Region described in the previous chapter. The first section of this chapter describes overall trends in natural increase and net migration in the Region. The second section analyzes the basic components of natural increase - namely, births and deaths-in greater detail. The third section examines population migration patterns in greater detail.

## COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE—OVERVIEW

As noted above, population change can be attributed to natural increase and net migration. Natural increase is the balance between births and deaths in an area over a given period of time; it can be measured directly from historical records on the number of births and deaths for an area. Net migration is the balance between migration into and migration out of an area over a given period of time; as a practical matter, net migration is often determined as a derived number, obtained by subtracting natural increase from total population change for the time period concerned.

The historic trend in natural increase and net migration is presented for the Region and its counties in Table 18 and Figures 6 and 7. Information presented here on total population change is from the decennial censuses; information on natural increase is from records of births and deaths maintained by the Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services;' and information on net migration is derived, as noted above.

Of the total population increase of 120,800 persons in the Region between 1990 and 2000, 116,900 can be attributed to natural increase; the relatively small balance can be attributed to a net in-migration of about 3,900

[^5]Table 18
LEVELS OF POPULATION CHANGE, NATURAL INCREASE, AND NET MIGRATION FOR THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1920-2000

| County | 1920-1930 |  |  | 1930-1940 |  |  | 1940-1950 |  |  | 1950-1960 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration |
| Kenosha. | 11,993 | 7,571 | 4,422 | 228 | 4,391 | -4,163 | 11,733 | 8,090 | 3,643 | 25,377 | 13,931 | 11,446 |
| Milwaukee .................... | 185,814 | 61,736 | 124,078 | 41,622 | 49,309 | -7,687 | 104,162 | 84,690 | 19,472 | 164,994 | 150,141 | 14,853 |
| Ozaukee ...................... | 1,059 | 1,435 | -376 | 1,591 | 230 | 1,361 | 4,376 | 2,567 | 1,809 | 15,080 | 5,926 | 9,154 |
| Racine ......................... | 11,256 | 8,867 | 2,389 | 3,830 | 5,725 | -1,895 | 15,538 | 11,351 | 4,187 | 32,196 | 21,473 | 10,723 |
| Walworth ...................... | 1,731 | 1,005 | 726 | 2,045 | 2 | 2,043 | 8,481 | 2,349 | 6,132 | 10,784 | 5,733 | 5,051 |
| Washington................... | 838 | 2,148 | -1,310 | 1,879 | 1,756 | 123 | 5,472 | 3,656 | 1,816 | 12,217 | 7,501 | 4,716 |
| Waukesha..................... | 9,746 | 2,651 | 7,095 | 10,386 | 2,145 | 8,241 | 23,157 | 8,424 | 14,733 | 72,348 | 19,746 | 52,602 |
| Region | 222,437 | 85,413 | 137,024 | 61,581 | 63,558 | -1,977 | 172,919 | 121,127 | 51,792 | 332,996 | 224,451 | 108,545 |
|  | 1960-1970 |  |  | 1970-1980 |  |  | 1980-1990 |  |  | 1990-2000 |  |  |
| County | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net <br> Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net <br> Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration |
| Kenosha....................... | 17,302 | 15,125 | 2,177 | 5,220 | 7,746 | -2,526 | 5,044 | 8,177 | -3,133 | 21,396 | 9,365 | 12,031 |
| Milwaukee.. | 18,208 | 122,192 | -103,984 | -89,261 | 60,105 | -149,366 | -5,713 | 69,529 | -75,242 | -19,111 | 64,145 | -83,256 |
| Ozaukee....................... | 16,020 | 6,090 | 9,930 | 12,520 | 4,798 | 7,722 | 5,850 | 5,141 | 709 | 9,486 | 3,916 | 5,570 |
| Racine ........................... | 29,057 | 20,441 | 8,616 | 2,294 | 12,842 | -10,548 | 1,902 | 13,720 | -11,818 | 13,797 | 11,127 | 2,670 |
| Walworth...................... | 11,076 | 4,685 | 6,391 | 8,063 | 2,451 | 5,612 | 3,493 | 2,939 | 554 | 17,013 | 2,592 | 14,421 |
| Washington................... | 17,720 | 8,122 | 9,598 | 21,009 | 7,163 | 13,846 | 10,480 | 7,756 | 2,724 | 22,168 | 7,159 | 15,009 |
| Waukesha..................... | 73,086 | 25,699 | 47,387 | 48,868 | 18,011 | 30,857 | 24,512 | 20,068 | 4,444 | 56,052 | 18,582 | 37,470 |
| Region | 182,469 | 202,354 | -19,885 | 8,713 | 113,116 | -104,403 | 45,568 | 127,330 | -81,762 | 120,801 | 116,886 | 3,915 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.

Figure 6
COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE IN THE REGION: 1920-2000


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.

Figure 7
COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1920-2000

persons into the Region. During the 1990s, net in-migration exceeded natural increase in Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, Washington, and Waukesha Counties. In Racine County, natural increase exceeded net in-migration. In Milwaukee County, net out-migration of 83,300 , combined with a natural increase of 64,200 , resulted in an overall population loss of 19,100 during the last decade.

The level of natural increase in the Region has been relatively stable over the past three decades, averaging about 119,000 per decade. This is significantly lower than the levels experienced during the 1950s and 1960s-which include much of the post-World War II baby-boom era-when natural increase in the Region reached very high levels-224,500 and 202,400, respectively (see Figure 6).

In contrast to the relative stability in natural increase over the past three decades, net migration has varied considerably, ranging from an in-migration of 3,900 persons during the 1990s, as noted above, to a net outmigration of 104,400 persons during the 1970s. Although modest in size, the net migration into the Region during the 1990s is significant insofar as it represents the first time the Region as a whole experienced a net in-migration since the 1950s.

The above-described trends in natural increase and net migration provide insight into the overall change in the Region's population. The next two sections of this chapter examine these components of population change in greater detail.

## NATURAL INCREASE

As noted above, natural increase is the balance between births and deaths in an area over a given period of time. Since 1920, the registration of births and deaths has been relatively complete in Wisconsin. Historic trends in births and deaths, and the resultant natural increase, are presented by decade for the period 1920 to 2000 for the Region and its counties in Table 19. Annual data on births, deaths, and natural increase for the Region are presented in Figure 8.

During the period from 1920 to 2000, the number of births in the Region reached a high around 1960, about 40,000 births annually. After 1960, the number of annual births in the Region decreased sharply, to a level of about 25,000 births per year in the early 1970s. Over the past three decades, the number of births per year has been relatively stable, averaging about 27,300 annually.

The number of deaths in the Region has risen very gradually throughout the period of record-from about 10,000 deaths annually in the 1920s to about 16,000 per year during the 1990s. Year-to-year fluctuations in the number of deaths have been relatively small. Historically, because of the relatively stable trend in the number of deaths in the Region, the trend in the natural increase component of population change has been very similar to the trend in births (see Figure 8).

The historic information on the number of births and deaths - and the resultant natural increase-described above provides insight into historic population trends in the Region. Additional insight is obtained through the calculation of birth rates and death rates. Age-specific birth rates (or "fertility rates") for the female population and age-specific death rates (or "mortality rates") for the general population are particularly useful in this respect. Trends in these rates for the Region are described in the following sections.

## Fertility Rates

The "age-specific fertility rate" is defined as the number of births per year occurring to 1,000 women of a given age. It is typically calculated for five-year age groups for women between the ages of 15 and 44 , since women between 15 and 44 include nearly all women who bear children. In accordance with generally accepted

Table 19
LIVE BIRTHS, DEATHS, AND NATURAL INCREASE FOR THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1920-2000

| County | 1920-1930 |  |  | 1930-1940 |  |  | 1940-1950 |  |  | 1950-1960 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural <br> Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase |
| Kenosha ..................... | 12,433 | 4,862 | 7,571 | 9,508 | 5,117 | 4,391 | 14,203 | 6,113 | 8,090 | 21,768 | 7,837 | 13,931 |
| Milwaukee................... | 132,260 | 70,524 | 61,736 | 122,145 | 72,836 | 49,309 | 163,512 | 78,822 | 84,690 | 240,017 | 89,876 | 150,141 |
| Ozaukee....................... | 3,005 | 1,570 | 1,435 | 2,127 | 1,897 | 230 | 4,525 | 1,958 | 2,567 | 8,380 | 2,454 | 5,926 |
| Racine......................... | 17,272 | 8,405 | 8,867 | 14,539 | 8,814 | 5,725 | 20,905 | 9,554 | 11,351 | 32,748 | 11,275 | 21,473 |
| Walworth .................. | 4,462 | 3,457 | 1,005 | 3,970 | 3,968 | 2 | 6,807 | 4,458 | 2,349 | 10,965 | 5,232 | 5,733 |
| Washington................. | 4,877 | 2,729 | 2,148 | 4,697 | 2,941 | 1,756 | 6,674 | 3,018 | 3,656 | 10,921 | 3,420 | 7,501 |
| Waukesha ................... | 8,450 | 5,799 | 2,651 | 8,400 | 6,255 | 2,145 | 15,558 | 7,134 | 8,424 | 28,863 | 9,117 | 19,746 |
| Region Total | 182,759 | 97,346 | 85,413 | 165,386 | 101,828 | 63,558 | 232,184 | 111,057 | 121,127 | 353,662 | 129,211 | 224,451 |


| County | 1960-1970 |  |  | 1970-1980 |  |  | 1980-1990 |  |  | 1990-2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase |
| Kenosha ...................... | 24,546 | 9,421 | 15,125 | 17,839 | 10,093 | 7,746 | 18,733 | 10,556 | 8,177 | 20,850 | 11,485 | 9,365 |
| Milwaukee................... | 222,868 | 100,676 | 122,192 | 155,863 | 95,758 | 60,105 | 161,475 | 91,946 | 69,529 | 155,081 | 90,936 | 64,145 |
| Ozaukee....................... | 9,180 | 3,090 | 6,090 | 8,613 | 3,815 | 4,798 | 9,542 | 4,401 | 5,141 | 9,318 | 5,402 | 3,916 |
| Racine....................... | 33,744 | 13,303 | 20,441 | 26,645 | 13,803 | 12,842 | 27,343 | 13,623 | 13,720 | 26,160 | 15,033 | 11,127 |
| Walworth | 10,657 | 5,972 | 4,685 | 8,902 | 6,451 | 2,451 | 9,614 | 6,675 | 2,939 | 10,010 | 7,418 | 2,592 |
| Washington................. | 12,458 | 4,336 | 8,122 | 12,295 | 5,132 | 7,163 | 13,619 | 5,863 | 7,756 | 14,346 | 7,187 | 7,159 |
| Waukesha ................... | 38,592 | 12,893 | 25,699 | 33,372 | 15,361 | 18,011 | 38,078 | 18,010 | 20,068 | 41,114 | 22,532 | 18,582 |
| Region Total | 352,045 | 149,691 | 202,354 | 263,529 | 150,413 | 113,116 | 278,404 | 151,074 | 127,330 | 276,879 | 159,993 | 116,886 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.

Figure 8
LIVE BIRTHS, DEATHS, AND NATURAL INCREASE FOR THE REGION: 1920-2000


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.
procedures, in the calculation of birth rates in this report, births to females under age 15 are included in the 15 -to19 -year age group, while births to females age 45 and older are included in the 40 -to- 44 -year age group. ${ }^{2}$

A useful summary measure of fertility, referred to as the "total fertility rate," may be calculated from the agespecific fertility rates. When fertility rates are based upon five-year age groups, as in this report, the total fertility rate is calculated as the sum of the age-specific fertility rates multiplied by a factor of five, reflecting the fact that a woman is in each age group for five years. The resulting total fertility rate is often expressed in terms of births per woman, rather than per thousand women. The total fertility rate indicates the expected number of children that a woman would bear if she were to complete her reproductive life at the age-specific fertility rates for a particular point in time. A total fertility rate of 2.1 is referred to as "replacement fertility" because, at this rate, the population is producing just enough births to sustain its size through natural increase alone.

Information on current (2000) and historic fertility rates-including both age-specific fertility rates and total fertility rates-is presented for the Region and its counties in Table 20. Figure 9 graphically illustrates the trends in age-specific fertility rates for the Region. Figure 10 illustrates the trends in total fertility rates for the Region and its counties.

As indicated in Table 20, the total fertility rate for the Region was 2.04 in 2000, slightly below the replacement level. The Region total fertility rate was nearly equal to the rate for the Nation (2.03), and was somewhat higher than that for the State (1.90). Among the seven counties in the Region, the total fertility rate in 2000 ranged from 1.80 in Walworth County to 2.16 in Racine County.

The total fertility rate for the Region has increased somewhat since 1980 , following dramatic decreases in the 1960s and 1970s. The total fertility rate for the Region increased by 11 percent between 1980 and 1990 and by 3 percent between 1990 and 2000. The increase in the total fertility rate since 1980 largely reflects increases in fertility in the older childbearing age groups-particularly, the 30 -to- 34 -year and the 35 -to- 39 -year age groups.

## Mortality Rates

Mortality rates, expressed as the number of deaths per 1,000 persons, are presented for broad age groups by gender for the Region and its counties in Table 21. The trend in mortality rates by broad age group is illustrated graphically on Figure 11.

The long-term trend in mortality rates in the Region has been one of gradual decline. With few exceptions, the mortality rates calculated for selected broad age groups ( 0 to 44,45 to 64,65 to 74 , and 75 and over) for males and females combined have decreased each decade, going back to at least 1960. Between 1960 and 2000, the largest decreases in mortality rates occurred in the older age groups-the 65 -to- 75 -year and the 75 -year-and-over age groups.

The county-level data in Table 21 indicate that, except for the 75 -year-and-over age group, age-specific mortality rates for males and females combined were somewhat higher in Kenosha, Milwaukee, and Racine Counties than in Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties in 2000. The corresponding rates for Walworth County generally fell between these groups.

## MIGRATION

The measurement of migratory movement of people for an area is indirect and generally relies on a number of data sources, each having certain strengths and limitations. This section reviews the trend in net migration for the Region-calculated as total population change less natural increase-and presents additional information providing insight into the extent of migration from abroad and insight into county-to-county migration trends.

[^6]Table 20
AGE-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES AND TOTAL FERTILITY RATES FOR THE REGION BY COUNTY, WISCONSIN, AND THE UNITED STATES: 1960-2000

| Geographic Area | 1960 - Births per 1,000 Women per Year by Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  | Total Fertility Rate (births per woman) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 |  |
| Kenosha County...... | 97.4 | 320.7 | 221.2 | 134.8 | 68.2 | 21.3 | 4.319 |
| Milwaukee County....................... | 65.5 | 255.0 | 225.3 | 129.5 | 63.4 | 16.7 | 3.776 |
| Ozaukee County .......................... | 48.5 | 298.2 | 266.5 | 158.7 | 82.4 | 23.0 | 4.387 |
| Racine County ................................ | 77.6 | 282.2 | 230.3 | 137.8 | 70.1 | 20.9 | 4.093 |
| Walworth County........................... | 65.1 | 274.9 | 216.8 | 129.4 | 72.1 | 21.9 | 3.901 |
| Washington County......................... | 63.0 | 329.0 | 258.4 | 157.8 | 87.7 | 30.8 | 4.630 |
| Waukesha County.......................... | 52.0 | 293.5 | 241.2 | 145.4 | 72.7 | 23.6 | 4.143 |
| Region .......................................... | 66.9 | 267.3 | 228.7 | 133.9 | 66.8 | 18.8 | 3.911 |
| Wisconsin ....................................... | 63.0 | 282.2 | 236.1 | 141.8 | 74.7 | 23.4 | 4.106 |
| United States................................. | 90.2 | 258.0 | 197.2 | 112.9 | 56.2 | 16.4 | 3.653 |


| Geographic Area | 1970 - Births per 1,000 Women per Year by Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  | Total Fertility Rate (births per woman) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 |  |
| Kenosha County... | 51.1 | 169.5 | 141.1 | 72.0 | 32.7 | 8.8 | 2.375 |
| Milwaukee County.. | 57.2 | 151.1 | 143.5 | 77.1 | 34.6 | 9.0 | 2.362 |
| Ozaukee County .. | 25.0 | 173.8 | 175.5 | 86.6 | 36.3 | 10.4 | 2.539 |
| Racine County .. | 48.8 | 167.7 | 147.7 | 79.2 | 34.3 | 9.6 | 2.436 |
| Walworth County........................... | 35.9 | 115.1 | 153.9 | 78.7 | 36.2 | 9.8 | 2.148 |
| Washington County......................... | 35.4 | 188.9 | 167.3 | 87.1 | 43.5 | 12.9 | 2.676 |
| Waukesha County............................ | 24.5 | 152.8 | 164.3 | 80.7 | 35.3 | 9.3 | 2.335 |
| Region ............................................. | 48.7 | 154.0 | 148.5 | 78.4 | 34.9 | 9.3 | 2.369 |
| Wisconsin ....................................... | 43.6 | 160.0 | 153.9 | 81.3 | 38.4 | 11.4 | 2.443 |
| United States ................................... | 67.5 | 162.9 | 141.0 | 71.9 | 31.2 | 8.5 | 2.414 |


| Geographic Area | 1980 - Births per 1,000 Women per Year by Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  | Total Fertility Rate (births per woman) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 |  |
| Kenosha County............ | 40.8 | 111.9 | 120.0 | 51.0 | 14.7 | 2.6 | 1.708 |
| Milwaukee County .......................... | 58.1 | 103.5 | 108.7 | 62.6 | 20.1 | 4.0 | 1.786 |
| Ozaukee County ........................... | 21.2 | 105.3 | 142.8 | 81.1 | 19.9 | 5.3 | 1.878 |
| Racine County .. | 56.0 | 129.2 | 126.7 | 57.3 | 16.7 | 2.9 | 1.944 |
| Walworth County......... | 30.2 | 83.1 | 123.9 | 63.0 | 18.1 | 3.9 | 1.612 |
| Washington County....................... | 29.7 | 137.4 | 143.6 | 69.0 | 25.2 | 3.4 | 2.042 |
| Waukesha County..... | 19.6 | 95.2 | 139.1 | 76.6 | 19.5 | 2.9 | 1.765 |
| Region ............................................ | 45.9 | 105.9 | 118.4 | 64.9 | 19.4 | 3.6 | 1.792 |
| Wisconsin ...................................... | 39.4 | 110.7 | 126.7 | 67.0 | 19.3 | 4.1 | 1.837 |
| United States .................................... | 53.1 | 113.5 | 112.3 | 61.9 | 19.8 | 4.1 | 1.824 |


| Geographic Area | 1990 - Births per 1,000 Women per Year by Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Fertility Rate (births per woman) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 |  |
| Kenosha County.... | 62.7 | 125.9 | 127.4 | 72.0 | 24.5 | 3.0 | 2.079 |
| Milwaukee County...... | 86.4 | 112.3 | 109.1 | 76.3 | 29.8 | 5.4 | 2.097 |
| Ozaukee County ... | 7.0 | 67.6 | 139.3 | 107.9 | 33.5 | 5.2 | 1.803 |
| Racine County ... | 66.3 | 122.8 | 125.1 | 71.1 | 23.4 | 3.8 | 2.062 |
| Walworth County... | 26.4 | 62.0 | 126.0 | 75.0 | 29.0 | 4.9 | 1.616 |
| Washington County......................... | 22.7 | 93.6 | 147.1 | 81.9 | 24.1 | 3.5 | 1.864 |
| Waukesha County.............................. | 16.4 | 61.4 | 135.2 | 102.0 | 30.8 | 4.4 | 1.751 |
| Region... | 61.4 | 102.5 | 118.9 | 81.1 | 28.9 | 4.8 | 1.988 |
| Wisconsin .................................... | 43.2 | 96.9 | 123.7 | 78.1 | 27.1 | 4.6 | 1.868 |
| United States................................... | 61.0 | 115.7 | 118.3 | 79.1 | 31.2 | 5.6 | 2.054 |


| Geographic Area | 2000 - Births per 1,000 Women per Year by Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  | Total Fertility Rate (births per woman) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 |  |
| Kenosha County........... | 45.1 | 103.5 | 123.1 | 92.8 | 38.3 | 8.0 | 2.054 |
| Milwaukee County.......................... | 70.1 | 109.6 | 102.5 | 86.7 | 38.2 | 8.1 | 2.076 |
| Ozaukee County ............................... | 10.1 | 57.2 | 123.3 | 128.2 | 46.8 | 7.8 | 1.867 |
| Racine County ... | 49.6 | 124.3 | 128.9 | 89.6 | 34.1 | 5.7 | 2.162 |
| Walworth County.... | 32.5 | 63.3 | 129.3 | 92.9 | 35.6 | 7.2 | 1.803 |
| Washington County........................ | 20.7 | 87.3 | 137.5 | 108.3 | 41.1 | 7.2 | 2.009 |
| Waukesha County.... | 14.2 | 61.2 | 125.1 | 134.3 | 49.4 | 7.5 | 1.959 |
| Region ............................................ | 49.0 | 98.9 | 113.1 | 99.0 | 40.6 | 7.6 | 2.041 |
| Wisconsin ....................................... | 35.7 | 87.4 | 119.8 | 95.7 | 35.3 | 6.7 | 1.904 |
| United States.................................... | 48.1 | 107.6 | 112.9 | 90.1 | 39.3 | 8.2 | 2.031 |

NOTES: These fertility rates are based upon the three-year average number of births for each point in time, in order to minimize the effects of random variation. For example, in the calculation of fertility rates for 2000, the three-year average number of births for 1999, 2000, and 2001 for each child-bearing age group was divided by the year 2000 population for that age-group. Population data used in calculating the 1990 and 2000 fertility rates are from July 1, 1990 and July 1, 2000 Census Bureau estimates, respectively. Population data used in calculating the 1960, 1970, and 1980 fertility rates are from the April 1, 1960, April 1, 1970, and April 1, 1980 censuses, respectively. The total fertility rate represents the expected number of children that one woman would bear if she completed her reproductive life at the age-specific fertility rates for the indicated year.

Source: U.S. National Center for Health Statistics, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.

Figure 9
AGE-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES FOR THE REGION: 1960-2000


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. National Center for Health Statistics, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.

## Net Migration

As noted earlier in this chapter, net migration is the balance between migration into and migration out of an area over a given period. It is often quantified by subtracting natural increase (as determined by records of births and deaths) from the total population change for a given time period. It should be noted that this approach only tabulates net migration; it does not indicate the magnitude of inflows and outflows for a given area.

Data on net migration for the Region were previously presented in the overview of the components of population change presented earlier in this chapter. These data are re-presented for ease of reference in Table 22. As indicated in that table, the Region experienced a modest net in-migration during the 1990s, marking the first time since the 1950s that the Region as a whole experienced net in-migration. The net in-migration of 3,900 persons for the Region during the 1990s followed three decades of net out-migration-out-migrations of 81,800 persons during the 1980s, 104,400 persons during the 1970s, and 19,900 persons during the 1960s.

Each county in the Region, other than Milwaukee County, experienced a net in-migration of population during the 1990s. Milwaukee County experienced a net out-migration of 83,300 persons during the 1990s-slightly more than the County experienced in the 1980s (net out-migration of 75,200 persons), but substantially less than the 1970s (net out-migration of 149,400 persons). It should be noted that the net in-migration indicated for Racine County is largely the result of the opening of two State correctional facilities in the County during the 1990s; these facilities had a total inmate population of about 1,800 persons in 2000.

The net migration of the population by age group during the 1990s is presented for the Region and each county in Figure 12. At the regional level, the 1990s saw a net in-migration of persons in the younger middle-age groups, particularly those in their 30s; the net in-migration of young persons over the age of 5, evident on Figure 12, is

Figure 10
TOTAL FERTILITY RATES IN THE REGION BY COUNTY, WISCONSIN, AND THE UNITED STATES: 1960-2000


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. National Center for Health Statistics, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.
consistent with that trend. The 1990s also saw a net out-migration of persons 50 to 74 years of age, and a slight net in-migration of persons 80 years of age and over. Net in-migration in the oldest age groups is most apparent in Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties.

## Migration from Abroad

Information regarding the foreign-born population from the Federal census provides insight into the extent of migration from abroad. As defined in the census, the foreign-born population includes persons born outside the United States, Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Island Areas such as Guam-excluding, however, persons born abroad of a U.S. citizen parent. Particularly relevant to migration analyses are census data regarding the period of entry into the United States of the foreign-born population.

Table 23 indicates the number of foreign-born persons in the Region as reported in the 1970, 1980, 1990, and 2000 censuses, classified by period of entry into the country. This table indicates an increase in the movement of foreign-born persons into the Region during the 1990s. Thus, about 45,400 foreign-born persons in the Region in 2000 were reported to have entered the country between 1990 and 2000; this is significantly greater than the

Table 21
AGE- AND GENDER-SPECIFIC MORTALITY RATES FOR THE REGION BY COUNTY, WISCONSIN, AND THE UNITED STATES: 1960-2000

| Geographic Area | 1960 - Deaths per 1,000 Persons Per Year by Age and Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-44 |  |  | 45-64 |  |  | 65-74 |  |  | 75 and Older |  |  | All Ages |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Kenosha County.... | 2.49 | 1.56 | 2.03 | 12.25 | 6.87 | 9.53 | 50.02 | 28.07 | 39.04 | 114.24 | 93.79 | 103.01 | 10.12 | 7.15 | 8.65 |
| Milwaukee County .. | 2.28 | 1.58 | 1.93 | 15.07 | 7.71 | 11.30 | 51.92 | 29.86 | 40.07 | 124.94 | 99.62 | 109.95 | 10.87 | 7.98 | 9.39 |
| Ozaukee County . | 1.75 | 1.12 | 1.43 | 12.10 | 6.44 | 9.32 | 42.89 | 31.06 | 36.94 | 111.66 | 86.08 | 96.94 | 8.01 | 5.98 | 7.00 |
| Racine County .. | 2.15 | 1.62 | 1.89 | 13.34 | 7.18 | 10.23 | 45.77 | 27.42 | 36.15 | 114.96 | 98.95 | 105.88 | 9.81 | 7.57 | 8.68 |
| Walworth County.. | 2.30 | 1.20 | 1.75 | 13.72 | 6.46 | 10.06 | 43.35 | 25.43 | 34.22 | 126.17 | 100.81 | 111.87 | 12.34 | 8.91 | 10.62 |
| Washington County... | 2.59 | 1.33 | 1.97 | 12.31 | 6.89 | 9.68 | 37.34 | 25.97 | 31.61 | 124.42 | 95.35 | 108.24 | 9.82 | 7.20 | 8.52 |
| Waukesha County....... | 2.13 | 1.34 | 1.74 | 11.04 | 6.54 | 8.85 | 46.26 | 29.98 | 37.73 | 105.37 | 99.55 | 101.93 | 7.57 | 6.35 | 6.96 |
| Region. | 2.26 | 1.52 | 1.89 | 14.22 | 7.43 | 10.78 | 49.76 | 29.27 | 38.91 | 121.49 | 98.89 | 108.30 | 10.34 | 7.68 | 8.99 |
| Wisconsin.. | 2.30 | 1.44 | 1.87 | 13.30 | 6.94 | 10.11 | 44.64 | 27.59 | 35.82 | 119.64 | 97.86 | 107.57 | 10.96 | 8.05 | 9.50 |
| United States .............. | 2.64 | 1.72 | 2.18 | 15.56 | 8.19 | 11.79 | 49.16 | 28.73 | 38.24 | 118.57 | 96.66 | 106.06 | 11.05 | 8.09 | 9.55 |


| Geographic Area | 1970 - Deaths per 1,000 Persons Per Year by Age and Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-44 |  |  | 45-64 |  |  | 65-74 |  |  | 75 and Older |  |  | All Ages |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Kenosha County. | 1.88 | 1.17 | 1.53 | 13.35 | 6.70 | 9.92 | 46.00 | 23.84 | 33.90 | 104.64 | 80.90 | 90.77 | 9.79 | 7.13 | 8.43 |
| Milwaukee County. | 1.82 | 1.18 | 1.50 | 14.78 | 7.13 | 10.75 | 49.30 | 24.38 | 35.00 | 114.74 | 84.99 | 96.25 | 10.88 | 8.12 | 9.45 |
| Ozaukee County . | 1.52 | 0.79 | 1.16 | 10.10 | 6.15 | 8.16 | 39.04 | 23.85 | 30.78 | 95.85 | 84.67 | 89.33 | 6.85 | 5.58 | 6.21 |
| Racine County . | 1.83 | 1.31 | 1.57 | 12.74 | 6.13 | 9.35 | 46.16 | 23.37 | 33.45 | 116.58 | 83.89 | 96.89 | 9.44 | 7.07 | 8.22 |
| Walworth County..... | 1.93 | 1.02 | 1.48 | 14.68 | 6.49 | 10.43 | 45.07 | 27.30 | 35.48 | 112.52 | 88.09 | 98.14 | 11.49 | 8.93 | 10.20 |
| Washington County.. | 1.41 | 1.19 | 1.30 | 12.79 | 6.40 | 9.59 | 40.97 | 20.51 | 30.34 | 116.28 | 89.09 | 100.51 | 8.46 | 6.48 | 7.47 |
| Waukesha County... | 1.50 | 0.87 | 1.19 | 10.96 | 5.91 | 8.46 | 48.79 | 23.93 | 35.35 | 114.80 | 88.52 | 98.70 | 7.14 | 5.42 | 6.28 |
| Region.. | 1.76 | 1.13 | 1.44 | 13.83 | 6.80 | 10.18 | 48.06 | 24.23 | 34.62 | 113.62 | 85.14 | 96.18 | 9.96 | 7.50 | 8.70 |
| Wisconsin. | 1.75 | 1.06 | 1.41 | 13.34 | 6.56 | 9.86 | 44.99 | 23.85 | 33.49 | 113.24 | 85.15 | 96.62 | 10.59 | 7.91 | 9.22 |
| United States .... | 2.32 | 1.34 | 1.83 | 15.34 | 7.73 | 11.37 | 48.75 | 25.70 | 35.78 | 115.34 | 86.18 | 97.56 | 10.91 | 8.10 | 9.47 |


| Geographic Area | 1980 - Deaths per 1,000 Persons Per Year by Age and Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-44 |  |  | 45-64 |  |  | 65-74 |  |  | 75 and Older |  |  | All Ages |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Kenosha County.... | 1.57 | 0.89 | 1.23 | 11.29 | 5.83 | 8.51 | 39.76 | 21.09 | 29.21 | 119.53 | 78.70 | 93.82 | 9.50 | 7.45 | 8.45 |
| Milwaukee County .... | 1.50 | 0.90 | 1.19 | 12.78 | 6.68 | 9.55 | 41.38 | 20.86 | 29.32 | 109.02 | 73.88 | 85.67 | 10.28 | 8.55 | 9.37 |
| Ozaukee County .. | 1.25 | 0.63 | 0.94 | 7.68 | 4.29 | 5.99 | 34.81 | 17.96 | 25.63 | 103.86 | 86.70 | 93.19 | 6.71 | 5.81 | 6.26 |
| Racine County . | 1.56 | 0.85 | 1.21 | 11.09 | 5.74 | 8.35 | 40.47 | 20.45 | 29.11 | 111.54 | 78.20 | 89.85 | 8.76 | 7.28 | 8.01 |
| Walworth County.. | 1.37 | 0.70 | 1.04 | 10.02 | 5.67 | 7.76 | 39.36 | 18.77 | 27.84 | 103.47 | 74.43 | 84.89 | 9.49 | 8.00 | 8.73 |
| Washington County..... | 1.31 | 0.61 | 0.96 | 8.71 | 4.81 | 6.77 | 35.41 | 19.63 | 26.79 | 115.32 | 80.19 | 93.41 | 7.12 | 5.82 | 6.47 |
| Waukesha County........... | 1.12 | 0.60 | 0.87 | 8.43 | 4.96 | 6.71 | 34.47 | 21.19 | 27.22 | 114.22 | 81.27 | 92.65 | 6.28 | 5.60 | 5.94 |
| Region ..... | 1.42 | 0.81 | 1.12 | 11.32 | 6.08 | 8.61 | 39.80 | 20.64 | 28.77 | 110.46 | 75.95 | 87.82 | 9.10 | 7.64 | 8.35 |
| Wisconsin..................... | 1.44 | 0.76 | 1.10 | 10.75 | 5.75 | 8.17 | 37.77 | 19.30 | 27.54 | 106.94 | 74.52 | 86.50 | 9.45 | 7.66 | 8.54 |
| United States ................... | 1.85 | 0.95 | 1.40 | 12.62 | 6.65 | 9.49 | 40.57 | 21.10 | 29.54 | 105.50 | 75.41 | 86.12 | 9.64 | 7.72 | 8.65 |


| Geographic Area | 1990 - Deaths per 1,000 Persons Per Year by Age and Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-44 |  |  | 45-64 |  |  | 65-74 |  |  | 75 and Older |  |  | All Ages |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Kenosha County.. | 1.34 | 0.70 | 1.02 | 8.65 | 5.19 | 6.90 | 36.67 | 21.33 | 28.09 | 99.30 | 73.74 | 82.64 | 8.74 | 8.19 | 8.46 |
| Milwaukee County .. | 1.82 | 0.88 | 1.35 | 11.61 | 6.46 | 8.87 | 36.12 | 20.41 | 27.09 | 104.72 | 76.14 | 85.39 | 10.07 | 9.37 | 9.70 |
| Ozaukee County . | 0.92 | 0.53 | 0.73 | 5.66 | 3.99 | 4.82 | 25.88 | 17.53 | 21.38 | 86.53 | 77.33 | 80.75 | 6.36 | 6.77 | 6.57 |
| Racine County .. | 1.52 | 0.87 | 1.20 | 8.19 | 5.72 | 6.93 | 34.14 | 18.15 | 25.18 | 87.62 | 73.34 | 78.30 | 8.00 | 7.82 | 7.91 |
| Walworth County......... | 1.39 | 0.44 | 0.92 | 8.54 | 6.23 | 7.36 | 34.12 | 19.68 | 26.14 | 96.77 | 72.54 | 81.14 | 9.50 | 9.14 | 9.32 |
| Washington County......... | 0.96 | 0.51 | 0.74 | 7.42 | 4.48 | 5.97 | 28.26 | 17.19 | 22.16 | 96.95 | 75.24 | 83.28 | 6.93 | 6.65 | 6.79 |
| Waukesha County............ | 0.90 | 0.49 | 0.69 | 5.73 | 3.78 | 4.76 | 27.59 | 17.10 | 21.92 | 100.83 | 80.58 | 87.51 | 6.15 | 6.42 | 6.29 |
| Region .......................... | 1.50 | 0.75 | 1.12 | 9.22 | 5.57 | 7.33 | 33.85 | 19.54 | 25.77 | 100.76 | 76.10 | 84.36 | 8.74 | 8.40 | 8.57 |
| Wisconsin........................ | 1.31 | 0.68 | 1.00 | 8.70 | 5.05 | 6.84 | 32.66 | 18.12 | 24.62 | 100.07 | 73.88 | 83.25 | 9.08 | 8.37 | 8.71 |
| United States ................... | 1.85 | 0.87 | 1.37 | 10.35 | 5.92 | 8.05 | 34.88 | 19.92 | 26.48 | 96.96 | 72.84 | 81.33 | 9.17 | 8.13 | 8.64 |


| Geographic Area | 2000 - Deaths per 1,000 Persons Per Year by Age and Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-44 |  |  | 45-64 |  |  | 65-74 |  |  | 75 and Older |  |  | All Ages |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Kenosha County.. | 1.22 | 0.72 | 0.98 | 8.21 | 4.61 | 6.41 | 30.82 | 20.05 | 24.83 | 90.55 | 76.10 | 81.25 | 7.85 | 8.18 | 8.02 |
| Milwaukee County | 1.67 | 0.90 | 1.28 | 9.50 | 5.49 | 7.40 | 34.56 | 21.42 | 27.08 | 94.40 | 77.88 | 83.59 | 9.51 | 9.62 | 9.56 |
| Ozaukee County .. | 0.63 | 0.56 | 0.59 | 5.12 | 2.85 | 3.97 | 26.73 | 16.38 | 21.25 | 88.78 | 66.90 | 75.35 | 7.49 | 6.91 | 7.19 |
| Racine County .. | 1.33 | 0.80 | 1.07 | 7.56 | 4.32 | 5.93 | 29.88 | 19.42 | 24.21 | 91.20 | 77.60 | 82.57 | 8.38 | 8.62 | 8.50 |
| Walworth County....... | 0.98 | 0.62 | 0.80 | 6.62 | 4.19 | 5.42 | 25.32 | 17.81 | 21.34 | 91.74 | 87.86 | 89.29 | 8.06 | 9.66 | 8.86 |
| Washington County......... | 1.04 | 0.43 | 0.74 | 5.44 | 2.88 | 4.16 | 27.60 | 14.10 | 20.40 | 90.75 | 70.47 | 77.97 | 7.14 | 6.61 | 6.87 |
| Waukesha County............ | 0.67 | 0.44 | 0.56 | 4.78 | 2.84 | 3.80 | 25.21 | 15.95 | 20.30 | 93.88 | 82.06 | 86.32 | 7.05 | 7.83 | 7.45 |
| Region ............................ | 1.31 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 7.53 | 4.38 | 5.92 | 30.67 | 19.33 | 24.40 | 93.11 | 78.13 | 83.45 | 8.49 | 8.79 | 8.65 |
| Wisconsin........................ | 1.17 | 0.65 | 0.92 | 6.71 | 4.15 | 5.43 | 28.63 | 17.77 | 22.79 | 93.19 | 76.18 | 82.42 | 8.56 | 8.77 | 8.66 |
| United States ................... | 1.41 | 0.78 | 1.10 | 8.02 | 4.92 | 6.43 | 29.89 | 19.23 | 24.05 | 90.16 | 76.07 | 81.26 | 8.51 | 8.52 | 8.52 |

NOTE: These mortality rates are based upon the three-year average number of deaths for each point in time, in order to minimize the effects of random variation. For example, in the calculation of mortality rates for 2000, the three-year average number of deaths for 1999, 2000, and 2001 for each age-gender group was divided by the year 2000 population for that age-group. Population data used in calculating the 1990 and 2000 mortality rates are from July 1, 1990 and July 1, 2000 Census Bureau estimates, respectively. Population data used in calculating the 1960, 1970, and 1980 mortality rates are from the April 1, 1960, April 1, 1970, and April 1, 1980 censuses, respectively.

Source: U.S. National Center for Health Statistics, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.

Figure 11
AGE-SPECIFIC MORTALITY RATES FOR THE REGION: 1960-2000


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. National Center for Health Statistics, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.

Table 22
NET MIGRATION OF POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1920-2000

| Geographic Area | Net Population Migration by Decade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920-1930 | 1930-1940 | 1940-1950 | 1950-1960 | 1960-1970 | 1970-1980 | 1980-1990 | 1990-2000 |
| Kenosha County .................. | 4,422 | -4,163 | 3,643 | 11,446 | 2,177 | -2,526 | -3,133 | 12,031 |
| Milwaukee County............... | 124,078 | -7,687 | 19,472 | 14,853 | -103,984 | -149,366 | -75,242 | -83,256 |
| Ozaukee County ................... | -376 | 1,361 | 1,809 | 9,154 | 9,930 | 7,722 | 709 | 5,570 |
| Racine County ..................... | 2,389 | -1,895 | 4,187 | 10,723 | 8,616 | -10,548 | -11,818 | 2,670 |
| Walworth County................. | 726 | 2,043 | 6,132 | 5,051 | 6,391 | 5,612 | 554 | 14,421 |
| Washington County.............. | -1,310 | 123 | 1,816 | 4,716 | 9,598 | 13,846 | 2,724 | 15,009 |
| Waukesha County................. | 7,095 | 8,241 | 14,733 | 52,602 | 47,387 | 30,857 | 4,444 | 37,470 |
| Region | 137,024 | -1,977 | 51,792 | 108,545 | -19,885 | -104,403 | -81,762 | 3,915 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.
corresponding figures ranging from 12,300 to 18,300 reported in the 1970,1980 , and 1990 censuses. ${ }^{3}$ Of the total of 45,400 foreign-born persons in the Region in 2000 reported as having entered the country during the 1990s, about two thirds resided in Milwaukee County.
${ }^{3}$ Foreign-born persons in the Region reported in the 2000 census as having entered the country during the 1990s may have settled in another part of the country prior to moving to the Region. This applies, as well, to the corresponding census data for 1970, 1980, and 1990.

Figure 12
NET MIGRATION BY AGE GROUP INTHE REGION BY COUNTY: 1990-2000


Table 23
FOREIGN-BORN POPULATION IN THE REGION AND WISCONSIN BY YEAR OF ENTRY INTO THE UNITED STATES: 1970-2000 CENSUSES

| Geographic Area | 1970 Census |  | 1980 Census |  | 1990 Census |  | 2000 Census |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entered U.S. <br> Prior to 1960 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Entered U.S. } \\ 1960-1970 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Entered U.S. <br> Prior to 1970 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Entered U.S. } \\ 1970-1980 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Entered U.S. <br> Prior to 1980 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Entered U.S. } \\ \text { 1980-1990 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Entered U.S. <br> Prior to 1990 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Entered U.S. } \\ 1990-2000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Kenosha County .................. | 5,750 | 761 | 4,727 | 1,135 | 4,369 | 1,174 | 4,573 | 2,571 |
| Milwaukee County ............... | 45,992 | 8,836 | 35,066 | 11,205 | 29,398 | 13,377 | 32,708 | 30,940 |
| Ozaukee County................... | 1,068 | 223 | 1,462 | 143 | 1,240 | 275 | 1,706 | 1,013 |
| Racine County ..................... | 6,361 | 893 | 5,304 | 1,555 | 4,320 | 1,135 | 4,319 | 3,391 |
| Walworth County................. | 1,731 | 347 | 1,930 | 484 | 1,832 | 776 | 2,658 | 2,378 |
| Washington County.............. | 894 | 112 | 1,511 | 239 | 1,354 | 93 | 1,328 | 862 |
| Waukesha County................ | 4,736 | 1,122 | 7,063 | 1,733 | 6,816 | 1,490 | 8,788 | 4,229 |
| Region | 66,532 | 12,294 | 57,063 | 16,494 | 49,329 | 18,320 | 56,080 | 45,384 |
| Wisconsin | 109,268 | 21,401 | 94,243 | 31,054 | 80,595 | 40,952 | 103,023 | 90,728 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 24
PLACE OF BIRTH OF THE FOREIGN-BORN POPULATION IN THE REGION ENTERING THE UNITED STATES BETWEEN 1990 AND 2000

| Place of Birth | Persons | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Europe..................... | 7,894 | 17.4 |
| Asia .............................. | 12,130 | 26.7 |
| Africa ............................. | 1,335 | 3.0 |
| Oceania ......................... | 214 | 0.5 |
| Northern America ............ | 873 | 1.9 |
| Latin America: |  |  |
| Caribbean .................... | 646 | 1.4 |
| Central America............ | 21,299 | 46.9 |
| South America.............. | 993 | 2.2 |
| Subtotal Latin America | 22,938 | 50.5 |
| Total | 45,384 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 24 indicates the place of birth of foreignborn persons in the Region in 2000 reported to have entered the country between 1990 and 2000. Of the total of 45,400 such foreign-born persons, about 51 percent were born in Latin America, 27 percent were born in Asia, 17 percent were born in Europe, and a combined total of 5 percent were born in Africa, North America outside the United States, and Oceania.

While information regarding the number of individuals who migrate from the Region to other countries is not available from the census, such migration is believed to be quite limited. Assuming that to be the case, the number of foreign-born persons in the Region reported as having entered the country during the 1990s, just over 45,000 persons, may be considered a reasonable approximation of net immigrationthat is, the net movement of people from other countries. Net domestic migration-that is, the net movement of people between the Region and other areas of the United States-may, in turn, be estimated as the balance obtained by subtracting the net immigration of about 45,000 from the total net migration between 1990 and 2000 (about 3,900 persons into the Region). This would indicate net domestic out-migration of just over 41,000 persons for the Region during the 1990s.

## County-to-County Migration Flows

County-to-county migration flow data, developed as a joint effort by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Census Bureau, provide an indication of population movement from county to county within the nation. These data are developed annually based upon year-to-year changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual taxpayers.

Like all migration-related data, the county-to-county migration flow data set has certain limitations. Perhaps most importantly, this data set does not reflect the in-migration of foreign-born individuals directly from abroad inasmuch as there would be no prior tax return. Because of this, the data set primarily reflects domestic migration flows.

In addition, because the county-to-county migration flow data set is developed on the basis of year-to-year changes in addresses on individual income tax returns, it does not capture the movement of individuals who do not file an income tax return and cannot be claimed as an exemption on another person's income tax return. Likewise the data set does not capture the movement of individuals with certain tax filing status changes. Finally, information regarding county-to-county movements is suppressed when county-to-county movement involves fewer than ten tax filers in a year. These limitations notwithstanding, it is believed that the data set provides a good indication of county-to-county population migration patterns.

Table 25 presents a ten-year summary of the county-to-county migration flow data for the Region's counties relative to each other, the remainder of Wisconsin, and the remainder of the Nation outside Wisconsin. The tenyear summary is the sum of county-to-county moves identified each year between 1990 and 2000. The data reflect multiple moves of persons who moved more than once between counties in the Region, or to or from the Region, during the decade.

Within the Region itself, the most notable county-to-county migration pattern evident on Table 25 is the net movement of people from Milwaukee to adjacent counties of Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha. Over the course of the 1990s, while there was significant movement of people from Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha Counties to Milwaukee County, these movements to Milwaukee County were exceeded by movements in the opposite direction, particularly to Waukesha County. Thus, the migration flow data indicate the net movement of 10,100 persons from Milwaukee County to Ozaukee County, 5,100 persons from Milwaukee County to Racine County, 14,200 persons from Milwaukee County to Washington County, and 49,700 persons from Milwaukee County to Waukesha County.

As further indicated on Table 25, reported movements from the Region to the balance of the State during the 1990s exceeded reported movements from the balance of the State to the Region by about 49,700. Reported movements from the Region to the Nation (excluding Wisconsin) were similar in magnitude to reported movements from the Nation to the Region.

Table 26 presents similar data regarding migration movements between the Region's counties and Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties (Illinois) combined. Particularly noteworthy is the reported net movement of people from those Illinois counties into Kenosha and Walworth Counties. The migration flow data indicate the net movement of 16,900 persons from those three Illinois Counties to Kenosha County and the net movement of 6,100 persons from those Counties to Walworth County during the 1990s.

## SUMMARY

This chapter has presented information regarding the levels and rates of natural increase and migration which underlie the changes in the Region's population. A summary of key information presented in this chapter follows.

## Natural Increase and Net Migration-Overview

- Of the total population increase of 120,800 persons in the Region between 1990 and 2000, 116,900 can be attributed to natural increase-the difference between births and deaths during this time. The relatively small balance can be attributed to a net migration of about 3,900 persons into the Region.
- Looking further back in time, the level of natural increase in the Region has been relatively stable over the past three decades, averaging about 119,000 per decade. This is significantly lower than the levels experienced during the 1950s and 1960s-which include much of the post-World War II babyboom era-when natural increase in the Region occurred at very high levels, 224,500 and 202,400, respectively. In contrast to the relative stability in natural increase over the past three decades, net migration has varied considerably, ranging from an in-migration of 3,900 persons during the 1990s, as noted above, to a net out-migration of 104,400 persons during the 1970s. Although modest in size, the net migration into the Region during the 1990s is significant insofar as it represents the first time the Region as a whole experienced a net in-migration since the 1950s.

Table 25

## COUNTY-TO-COUNTY MIGRATION FLOW DATA FOR 1990 TO 2000: REGION COUNTIES RELATIVE TO EACH OTHER, WISCONSIN, AND THE NATION

| Geographic Area | In-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Kenosha County | To Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County |  | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Kenosha County . | -- | 3,240 | $20^{\text {a }}$ | 10,180 | 2,580 | $150^{\text {a }}$ | 900 | -- |
| From Milwaukee County ........................... | 3,120 | -- | 20,110 | 17,380 | 4,130 | 23,190 | 102,190 | -- |
| From Ozaukee County .............................. | $70^{\text {a }}$ | 9,970 | -- | $260{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $70^{\text {a }}$ | 5,870 | 2,760 | -- |
| From Racine County ................................. | 8,200 | 12,290 | $400^{\text {a }}$ | -- | 3,940 | 520 | 4,360 | -- |
| From Walworth County............................ | 1,660 | 2,700 | $60^{\text {a }}$ | 2,870 | - - | $260{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,350 | -- |
| From Washington County......................... | $160{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8,990 | 4,080 | 430 | $240{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -- | 8,430 | -- |
| From Waukesha County........................... | 810 | 52,530 | 2,610 | 4,910 | 4,540 | 11,800 | -- | -- |
| From Remainder of Wisconsin .................. | 4,800 | 38,680 | 6,610 | 6,240 | 9,520 | 12,170 | 23,030 | 101,050 |
| From Remainder of United States.............. | 49,530 | 113,840 | 13,210 | 26,380 | 21,780 | 11,280 | 52,220 | 288,240 |
| From Abroad (Military/Government).......... | 930 | 5,410 | 400 | 820 | 250 | 230 | 1,360 | 9,400 |
| Total In-Migration | 69,280 | 247,650 | 47,500 | 69,470 | 47,050 | 65,470 | 198,600 | 398,690 |


| Geographic Area | Out-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | From Kenosha County | From Milwaukee County | From Ozaukee County | From <br> Racine <br> County | From Walworth County | From Washington County | From Waukesha County | From Region |
| To Kenosha County ................................. | -- | 3,120 | $70^{\text {a }}$ | 8,200 | 1,660 | $160^{\text {a }}$ | 810 | - - |
| To Milwaukee County .............................. | 3,240 | -- | 9,970 | 12,290 | 2,700 | 8,990 | 52,530 | -- |
| To Ozaukee County ................................. | $20^{\text {a }}$ | 20,110 | -- | $400^{\text {a }}$ | $60^{\text {a }}$ | 4,080 | 2,610 | -- |
| To Racine County .................................... | 10,180 | 17,380 | $260{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -- | 2,870 | 430 | 4,910 | -- |
| To Walworth County . | 2,580 | 4,130 | $70^{\text {a }}$ | 3,940 | -- | $240{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4,540 | -- |
| To Washington County ............................. | $150{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 23,190 | 5,870 | 520 | $260{ }^{\text {a }}$ | - - | 11,800 | -- |
| To Waukesha County ............................... | 900 | 102,190 | 2,760 | 4,360 | 3,350 | 8,430 | -- | -- |
| To Remainder of Wisconsin....................... | 8,470 | 56,450 | 9,860 | 12,480 | 11,910 | 18,390 | 33,160 | 150,720 |
| To Remainder of United States ................. | 34,860 | 128,990 | 13,560 | 28,450 | 15,480 | 11,210 | 53,150 | 285,700 |
| To Abroad (Military/Government) .............. | 520 | 3,430 | 210 | 650 | 210 | 210 | 1,200 | 6,430 |
| Total Out-Migration | 60,920 | 358,990 | 42,630 | 71,290 | 38,500 | 52,140 | 164,710 | 442,850 |


| Geographic Area | Net Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Kenosha County | To Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Kenosha County... | -- | 120 | $-50^{\text {a }}$ | 1,980 | 920 | $-10^{\text {a }}$ | 90 | -- |
| From Milwaukee County ........................... | -120 | -- | 10,140 | 5,090 | 1,430 | 14,200 | 49,660 | -- |
| From Ozaukee County .............................. | $50^{\text {a }}$ | -10,140 | -- | $-140^{\text {a }}$ | $10^{\text {a }}$ | 1,790 | 150 | -- |
| From Racine County................................. | -1,980 | -5,090 | $140^{\text {a }}$ | - - | 1,070 | 90 | -550 | -- |
| From Walworth County............................ | -920 | -1,430 | $-10^{\text {a }}$ | -1,070 | -- | $20^{\text {a }}$ | -1,190 | -- |
| From Washington County......................... | $10^{\text {a }}$ | -14,200 | -1,790 | -90 | $-20^{\text {a }}$ | -- | -3,370 | -- |
| From Waukesha County........................... | -90 | -49,660 | -150 | 550 | 1,190 | 3,370 | -- | -- |
| From Remainder of Wisconsin .................. | -3,670 | -17,770 | -3,250 | -6,240 | -2,390 | -6,220 | -10,130 | -49,670 |
| From Remainder of United States.............. | 14,670 | -15,150 | -350 | -2,070 | 6,300 | 70 | -930 | 2,540 |
| From Abroad (Military/Government).......... | 410 | 1,980 | 190 | 170 | 40 | 20 | 160 | 2,970 |
| Total Net-Migration | 8,360 | -111,340 | 4,870 | -1,820 | 8,550 | 13,330 | 33,890 | -44,160 |

NOTES: The information presented in this table is drawn from "County-to-County Migration Flow Data," the result of a joint effort between the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Census Bureau. In this data set, migratory moves between counties are identified based upon year-toyear changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual taxpayers.

Because the person moves presented above are based upon Federal income tax returns, the data set generally does not reflect the inmigration of foreign-born individuals directly from abroad.
${ }^{a}$ Data are unavailable for years with relatively small amounts of data due to suppression of data to protect the privacy of individuals. In the County-toCounty Migration Flow Data, these data are allocated to the remainder of Wisconsin.

Table 26
COUNTY-TO-COUNTY MIGRATION FLOW DATA FOR 1990 TO 2000 BETWEEN REGION COUNTIES AND SELECTED ILLINOIS COUNTIES

| Geographic Area | In-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Kenosha County | To Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties Combined | 28,360 | 16,330 | 1,210 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5,190 | 9,510 | $740^{\text {a }}$ | 5,240 | 66,580 |


|  | Out-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographic Area | From Kenosha County | From Milwaukee County | From Ozaukee County | From <br> Racine County | From Walworth County | From Washington County | From Waukesha County | From Region |
| To Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties Combined | 11,500 | 13,390 | $760{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,240 | 3,440 | $440{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,670 | 36,440 |


|  | Net Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographic Area | To Kenosha County | To Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties Combined | 16,860 | 2,940 | $450{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,950 | 6,070 | $300{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,570 | 30,140 |

NOTES: The information presented in this table is drawn from "County-to-County Migration Flow Data," the result of a joint effort between the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Census Bureau. In this data set, migratory moves between counties are identified based upon year-toyear changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual taxpayers. Additional documentation of this data set is presented in the accompanying text.
a Data are unavailable for years with relatively small amounts of data due to suppression of data to protect the privacy of individuals.
Source: "County-to-County Migration Flow Data" from U.S. Internal Revenue Service and U.S. Census Bureau; and SEWRPC.

## Fertility and Mortality Rates

- Examination of fertility rates and mortality rates provides insight into the overall trend in natural increase in the population. The total fertility rate in the Region was 2.04 in 2000-slightly below the replacement level of 2.1. The total fertility rate for the Region has increased somewhat since 1980, following dramatic decreases during the 1960s and 1970s. The total fertility rate for the Region increased by 11 percent between 1980 and 1990 and by 3 percent between 1990 and 2000. The increase in the total fertility rate since 1980 largely reflects increases in fertility in the older childbearing age groups-particularly, the 30 - to 34 -year old and the 35 - to 39 -year old age groups.
- The long-term trend in mortality rates in the Region has been one of gradual decline. With few exceptions, the mortality rates calculated for selected broad age groups ( 0 to 44,45 to 64,65 to 74 , and 75 and over) for males and females combined have decreased each decade, going back to at least 1960. Between 1960 and 2000, the largest decreases in mortality rates occurred in the older age groups-the 65- to 75 -year and the 75 -year-and-over age groups.


## Migration Trends

- The measurement of the population migration is indirect and generally relies on a number of data sources. The most basic measurement is the calculation of net migration by subtracting natural increase (as determined by records of births and deaths) from the total population change. This vital statistics-based calculation reveals a modest net migration into the Region during the 1990s, 3,900 persons, as noted above. This stands in marked contrast to the significant net out-migration from the Region observed during the 1970s and 1980s.
- The year 2000 census indicated a significant increase in the movement of foreign-born persons into the Region during the 1990s. Thus, about 45,400 foreign-born persons in the Region in 2000 were reported to have entered the country between 1990 and 2000; this is significantly greater than the corresponding figures of 12,300 to 18,300 reported in the 1970,1980 , and 1990 censuses. Of the total of 45,400 such foreign-born persons, about 51 percent were born in Latin America, 27 percent were born in Asia, 17 percent were born in Europe, and a combined total of 5 percent were born in Africa, North America outside the United States, and Oceania. While information regarding the number of individuals who migrate from the Region to other countries is not available from the census, such migration is believed to be quite limited. Assuming that to be the case, the number of foreign-born persons in the Region reported as having entered the country during the 1990s, just over 45,000 persons, may be considered a reasonable approximation of net immigration-that is, the net movement of people from other countries. Net domestic migration-that is, the net movement of people between the Region and other areas of the United States-may, in turn, be estimated as the balance obtained by subtracting the net immigration of about 45,000 from the total net migration between 1990 and 2000 (about 3,900 persons into the Region). This would indicate net domestic outmigration of just over 41,000 persons for the Region during the 1990s.
- County-to-county migration flow data, developed as a joint effort by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Census Bureau, provide an indication of population movement from county to county within the Nation. These data are developed annually based upon year-to-year changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual taxpayers. Like all migrationrelated data, the county-to-county migration flow data set has certain limitations. Perhaps most importantly, this data set does not reflect the in-migration of foreign-born individuals directly from abroad inasmuch as there would be no prior tax return. Because of this, the data set primarily reflects domestic migration flows. A ten-year summary of county-to-county migration flow data indicates a net movement of people from Milwaukee to the adjacent counties of Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha during the 1990s. While over the course of the 1990s, there was significant movement of people from Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha Counties to Milwaukee County, these movements to Milwaukee County were exceeded by movements in the opposite direction, particularly to Waukesha County. In this respect, the migration flow data indicate the net movement of 79,100 persons from Milwaukee County to the adjacent Counties during the 1990s, including a net movement of 49,700 persons to Waukesha County. The migration flow data further indicate a net movement of people from northeastern Illinois to Kenosha and Walworth Counties. Specifically, the migration data indicate the net movement of 16,900 persons from Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties, Illinois, to Kenosha County and the net movement of 6,100 persons from those counties to Walworth County during the 1990s. Finally, migration flow data indicate that movements from the Region to the balance of the State during the 1990s exceeded movements from the balance of the State to the Region by about 49,700 . Movements from the Region to the Nation, excluding Wisconsin, were similar in magnitude to movements from the Nation to the Region.
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## Chapter IV

## POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS

## INTRODUCTION

The primary purpose of this study is to prepare new projections of population and households in the Region as a basis for updating and extending the regional land use and transportation plans and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the physical development of the Region. This chapter describes the methodology used in the preparation of population and household projections to the year 2035 and presents the resulting projections. This chapter also compares the new population and household projections with similar projections prepared by other agencies and projections previously prepared by the Commission.

## NATURE OF THE PROJECTIONS

Under the current population study, as in prior studies, the Commission has projected a range of future population and household levels-high, intermediate, and low-for the Region. This approach recognizes the uncertainty that surrounds any effort to predict future socioeconomic conditions. The intermediate projection prepared under this study is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall, and, in this sense, constitutes the Commission's "forecast," to be used as a basis for the preparation of the regional land use and transportation plans. ${ }^{1}$ The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of population and household levels which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

## PROJECTION TARGET DATE

The target year for the Commission's projections is determined largely by the requirements of the planning work which utilizes those projections-the regional land use and transportation plans and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the Region. The land use pattern, the supporting transportation system, and other infrastructure must be planned in consideration of anticipated demand at some future time-with estimates of demand dependent on anticipated future population, household, and employment levels.

[^7]In planning for physical facility systems, this future time, or "design year," is usually established by the expected life of the facilities to be constructed in implementation of the plan. Depending upon the particular facilities involved, this design year may be from 20 to more than 50 years in the future. It can be argued that the design year for land use development should be extended farther into the future than that for physical facilities because of the basic irreversibility of many land development decisions. However, practical considerations-including reliability concerns-dictate that the land use plan design year be scaled to the facility design year requirement.

Based upon the foregoing considerations, it was determined that new population and household projections for the Region should be prepared for the period 2000 to 2035 . Such projections would support the preparation of a design year 2035 regional land use plan, which-with appropriate staging over the course of the planning period-would be consistent with State of Wisconsin comprehensive planning guidelines. Such projections would also support the preparation of a new regional transportation system plan which would be consistent with the plan timeframe requirements established in Federal transportation planning programs.

## POPULATION PROJECTIONS

## Methodology and Assumptions-Intermediate Population Projections

For the purposes of developing the intermediate population projections, the Commission employed a widely used technique known as the cohort-component method. This name reflects the fact that the method involves disaggregating the population into cohorts, or subgroups, based upon characteristics such as age and gender, and explicitly considering the three components of population change-births, deaths, and migration-with respect to each cohort.

The basic form of the cohort-component method involves the following: 1) establishing a base population as of the date from which the projection is to be carried forward, with the population disaggregated into age/sex cohorts; 2) establishing an estimating cycle-for Commission purposes, five years-and developing an estimated schedule of age- and sex-specific fertility, survival, and migration rates that are assumed to apply during the period following the base date; and 3) applying those rates to the base population, resulting in estimates of the population at the end of the period. This process is repeated for each cycle over the projection period, resulting in projections of the population in each cohort at the end of each cycle.

Whether population projections that are based upon the cohort-component method prove to be accurate generally depends upon the accuracy of the assumptions made regarding future fertility, survival, and migration rates. In the new Commission population projections, the assumptions regarding such future rates were based upon a consideration of past and current trends and available indicators of future trends at the county, regional, State, and national levels and-with respect to migration rates-the strength of the national and regional economy and changes in the civilian labor force of the Region. These assumptions are described below.

## Future Fertility

As indicated in Chapter III, the Region's total fertility rate increased slightly during the last decade, from 1.99 in 1990 to 2.04 in 2000. Commission projections assume a long-term gradual increase in the total fertility rate, to a level of 2.12, by the last five-year projection cycle (2030-2035). This continues the trend of modest increase in fertility in the Region observed during the 1990s. The total fertility rate would be slightly higher than the replacement level of 2.10, at the end of the projection period (see Figure 13). The fertility assumptions used in the Commission intermediate population projection are further explained in the balance of this section.

Available data indicate that there has been a small decline in the number of births in the Region between 2001 and 2002. Over the first five-year projection cycle (2000-2005), it was assumed that the fertility rate would recover from this early decline, and, accordingly, the total fertility rate for the first projection cycle was assumed to be the same as the observed 2000 rate.

It was further assumed that, following the first five-year cycle, the Region's total fertility rate would increase slightly over the balance of the projection period. This assumption is based upon a consideration of past fertility

Figure 13
HISTORIC AND PROJECTED TOTAL FERTILITY RATE FOR THE REGION


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.
rate trends in the Region; U.S. Census Bureau fertility rate projections for the Nation; and Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) fertility rate projections for the State. The Census Bureau middle series population projection indicates that the Nation's fertility will tend upward in the coming years-this projection being based upon analyses of past childbearing patterns and national survey data on future birth expectations of child-bearing age women. The Region's fertility rate was assumed to show a similar upward trend. It was also assumed that the Region's fertility would tend toward the fertility rate projected by the Department of Administration for Wisconsin overall-under the assumption that fertility differentials among different geographic areas and population segments of the State will become narrower over time. As indicated in Chapter III, the total fertility rate for the Region exceeded the rate for the State overall in 2000.

Specifically the regional total fertility rate for the end of the projection period (the 2030-2035 cycle) was calculated as the average of the following: 1) the rate of 2.18 , determined by applying the rate of change in the Nation's fertility rate indicated by the Census Bureau's middle series population projection to the Region fertility rate in 2000; and 2) the rate of 2.06 , which is the DOA-projected fertility rate for Wisconsin, extrapolated to 2030-2035. ${ }^{2}$ This was considered to yield a reasonable projection of the regional total fertility rate through the early 2030s.

The above technique results in a total fertility rate for the Region of 2.12 for 2030-2035, compared to 2.04 in 2000. For purposes of the Commission projections, it was assumed that the total fertility rates for each of the
${ }^{2}$ The new Wisconsin Department of Administration population projections, prepared in 2004, cover six five-year cycles, from 2000-2005 to 2025-2030.
counties in the Region would converge toward this rate over a 50 -year period. This long-term convergence is anticipated as a result of the influence of the populations living in adjacent geographic areas on each other. Indeed, six of the seven counties in the Region exhibited this trend in the last decade.

Total fertility rates for the Region and its counties for 2030-2035 (the last five-year projection period) were established in accord with the assumptions described above. Total fertility rates for the intervening five-year periods were derived for the Region and its counties by means of straight-line interpolation between the rates for 2000-2005 and 2030-2035. Age-specific fertility rates were then derived under the assumption that they would change at the same rate as the total fertility rate for the Region and each of the seven counties, respectively.

In the application of the cohort-component model, the number of births projected to occur in each five-year projection cycle was determined by applying the assumed age-specific fertility rates to the average female population in each five-year age group within the reproductive 15-44 age span. This was done for the Region and the seven counties independently. For each five-year projection cycle, county-level births, calculated in this manner, were adjusted proportionately so that the sum of the births by county matched the independently calculated Region total.

## Future Life Expectancy/Survival Rates

The Commission population projections assume a modest improvement in survival rates over the course of the projection period. With the assumed improvement in survival rates, the male life expectancy in the Region would increase by 2.9 years, from an estimated 74.7 years in 2000 to a projected 77.6 years in the 2025-2030 period. Female life expectancy would increase by 2.6 years, from 80.4 to 83.0 , over that time span (see Figure 14). Under the Commission projections, survival rates and life expectancy in the $2030-2035$ period would be the same as in the 2025-2030 period. The derivation of the survival rates assumed in the new Commission population projections is described below.

For purposes of the new Commission projections, survival rates and life expectancies were developed at the regional level using procedures similar to those used by the Wisconsin Department of Administration in its population projections. Using vital statistics data for the years 1990-2000, a regional life table was prepared as basis for determining base period survival rates for the Region. ${ }^{3}$ It was assumed that future survival probabilities for the Region overall would improve at the same rate as that projected by the DOA for Wisconsin through 20252030, and further assumed that survival rates in 2030-2035 would be the same as the 2025-2030 rates. It should be noted that the survival rates projected by the DOA for Wisconsin reflect the rates of change in survival rates for the Nation envisioned under the Census Bureau's low series population projection. The Census Bureau's low series survival rate projection was selected by the DOA as a basis for its projections in view of the fact that Wisconsin's life expectancy is higher than that of the Nation; the modest increase in life expectancy indicated by the low series projection was considered to best represent the future change in life expectancy in Wisconsin.

At the county level, the Commission projections directly incorporated the county-specific age/sex survival rates projected by the DOA for the periods 2000-2005 through 2025-2030. It should be noted that the procedures and assumptions utilized by the DOA for projecting county-level survival rates are similar to those which it used in the derivation of the projected State-level survival rates. In the Commission projections, county-specific survival rates for the last five-year projection period, 2030-2035, were assumed to be the same as for 2025-2030.

In the application of the cohort-component model, the surviving population in the absence of any migration was derived for each five-year projection cycle by applying the assumed age/sex-specific survival rates to the population of the corresponding age/sex cohort at the beginning of the cycle. This was done for the Region and the seven counties independently. For each five year projection cycle, the figures calculated in this manner by county were adjusted proportionately so that their sum matched the independently calculated figure for the Region-for each age/sex group.

[^8]Figure 14
HISTORIC AND PROJECTED LIFE EXPECTANCY FOR THE REGION


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.

## Future Migration

As indicated in Chapter III, net population migration for the Region has fluctuated widely over the past five decades, with a particularly large net in-migration occurring during the 1950s and particularly large net outmigrations occurring during the 1970s and 1980s. A very modest net in-migration occurred during the 1990s, the first positive migration to have occurred for the Region overall since the 1950s. During the 1990s, each county in the Region except Milwaukee County experienced a net in-migration; the net in-migration for these six counties combined totaled 87,200 persons. Conversely, Milwaukee County experienced a net out-migration of about 83,300 persons during the last decade. As also noted in Chapter III, migration from abroad was a major contributing factor in the overall positive net migration for the Region during the 1990s. An analysis of population estimates and vital statistics data available through the year 2003 indicate that, in general, migration patterns evident in the 1990s have continued into the early 2000 s, although at somewhat reduced rates.

While a number of factors affect net migration trends for an area, perhaps the most important is the strength of its economy and the attendant labor force needs relative to other areas. In general, strong growth in a region's economy relative to other areas and attendant increased demand for labor may be expected to induce in-migration. Conversely, a significant weakening of a region's economy relative to other areas may be expected to result in out-migration to the areas experiencing robust economic growth.

The migration assumptions underlying the Commission intermediate population projection were developed within the context of what is considered to be the most likely economic growth scenario for the Region. Under the concurrent Commission study of the regional economy, it was concluded that, overall, the economy of Southeastern Wisconsin is not likely to significantly increase or decrease in strength relative to other areas of the

State or Nation. Given that general economic outlook, major changes in migration patterns for the Region from the recent past would not be expected.

Migration levels for the Region assumed for purposes of the Commission intermediate population projection are shown on Figure 15. Overall, the Commission intermediate projection envisions a relatively stable migration pattern for the Region throughout the projection period, with very modest net out-migration envisioned between 2000 and 2010; essentially no net migration between 2010 and 2020; and very modest net in-migration between 2020 and 2035.

Imbedded in this migration scenario are certain assumptions regarding the makeup of the anticipated migration. As noted above, in-migration from abroad was an important factor contributing to the overall positive net migration for the Region during the 1990s. For purposes of the intermediate population projection, it was assumed that net migration from abroad would continue at significant levels throughout the projection period, although not as high as occurred during the 1990s. Specifically, it was assumed that the foreign migration component for the Region and each county would continue at the rates estimated to have occurred in the early 2000 s. A continued significant migration from abroad appears reasonable in light of anticipated labor force needs in the Region in the coming decades. Each county in the Region is envisioned to experience at least some net in-migration of population from abroad throughout the projection period.

It was further assumed that, in general, domestic migration-that is, migration to and from other parts of the State and Nation-would remain at levels observed during the early 2000s over the course of the first and second fiveyear cycles, and then gradually decrease somewhat in subsequent decades. Under this assumption, domestic net in-migration in Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, Washington, and Waukesha Counties would decrease gradually from levels observed during the early 2000s, while domestic net out-migration in Milwaukee and Racine Counties would decrease gradually from the rates of the early $2000 \mathrm{~s} .{ }^{4}$

Total migration was determined for each county for each five-year cycle of the projection period in accordance with the assumptions described above. In the application of the cohort-component model, total net migration in each county was allocated to age/sex groups based, to a large extent, upon age/sex-specific migration rates estimated to have occurred in each county during the 1990s.

## Methodology and Assumptions-High and Low Population Projections

The foregoing sections describe the methodology and assumptions used in developing the intermediate population projection for the Region through application of a cohort-component population projection model. Once the intermediate-or most likely-population projection was established for the Region and its seven counties, high and low population projections bracketing the intermediate projection were developed. The high and low projections were developed in recognition of the considerable uncertainty that is inherent in all efforts to project future population levels. These projections are intended to indicate population levels which could conceivably be attained under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

The development of the high and low population projections under this study involved less mathematical formulation than the intermediate projections, and relied heavily upon the professional judgment and experience of the Advisory Committee and Commission staff. The projections are the result of careful consideration by the Committee and the staff of factors having the potential to augment or dampen future population growth in the Region and its counties. The resulting projections represent the Committee and staff consensus regarding conceivable high and low population levels for the seven counties and the Region overall.

[^9]Figure 15
HISTORIC AND PROJECTED NET MIGRATION FOR THE REGION


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, and SEWRPC.

In deliberating on the possible range of future population levels, the Advisory Committee and the Commission staff identified the relative strength of the regional economy as the primary factor which could result in future population levels significantly greater or less than the intermediate projection. As noted above, the migration levels envisioned under the intermediate growth scenario are based upon a broad assumption that the regional economy would not significantly increase or decrease in strength relative to other areas of the State or Nation in the decades ahead. Implicit in the high population projection is an assumption that the regional economy would become significantly more competitive, creating an increased demand for workers and inducing a substantial net in-migration of people to meet that demand. Implicit in the low population projection is a significantly less competitive regional economy, resulting in an out-migration of population, as workers move to areas experiencing stronger economic growth. In developing the high and low population projections, an effort was made to ensure consistency between those projections and the corresponding high and low employment level projections developed under the concurrent Commission study of the regional economy.

## Projected Population

Commission population projections for the Region are presented in Table 27 and Figure 16. The Commission's intermediate projection indicates that the regional population would increase by 344,800 persons, or 18 percent, from 1,931,200 persons in 2000 to $2,276,000$ persons in 2035 . Under the intermediate projection, population growth would range between 50,000 and 55,000 during each five-year projection cycle from 2000 to 2025, with slightly smaller increases projected for 2025 to 2035.

As further indicated in Table 27 and Figure 16, the high projection indicates that the population of the Region could be as high as $2,501,100$ persons in 2035 , an increase of about 569,900 persons, or 30 percent, over the 2000

Table 27
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE REGION: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2000 | 1,931,200 | -- | -- | 1,931,200 | -- | -- | 1,931,200 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2005$ | 2,029,500 | 98,300 | 5.1 | 1,982,000 | 50,800 | 2.6 | 1,945,500 | 14,300 | 0.7 |
| 2010 | 2,107,700 | 78,200 | 3.9 | 2,032,500 | 50,500 | 2.5 | 1,965,900 | 20,400 | 1.0 |
| 2015 | 2,189,600 | 81,900 | 3.9 | 2,086,600 | 54,100 | 2.7 | 1,995,100 | 29,200 | 1.5 |
| 2020 | 2,272,900 | 83,300 | 3.8 | 2,140,800 | 54,200 | 2.6 | 2,025,300 | 30,200 | 1.5 |
| 2025 | 2,355,900 | 83,000 | 3.7 | 2,193,300 | 52,500 | 2.5 | 2,052,500 | 27,200 | 1.3 |
| 2030 | 2,433,000 | 77,100 | 3.3 | 2,239,200 | 45,900 | 2.1 | 2,075,400 | 22,900 | 1.1 |
| 2035 | 2,501,100 | 68,100 | 2.8 | 2,276,000 | 36,800 | 1.6 | 2,090,500 | 15,100 | 0.7 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 569,900 | 29.5 | -- | 344,800 | 17.9 | -- | 159,300 | 8.2 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 16
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE REGION: 1950-2035


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
level. The high population projection for the year 2035 exceeds the intermediate projection by about 10 percent. Conversely, the low projection indicates that the regional population could be as low as $2,090,500$ persons in 2035, an increase of 159,300 persons, or 8 percent, over the 2000 level. The low population projection for the year 2035 is about 8 percent less than the intermediate projection.

County-level population projections are presented in Tables 28 to 34 and in Figure 17. A summary of these projections follows:

Kenosha County: Under the intermediate projection, the County population would increase by 60,500 persons, or 40 percent, from 149,600 persons in 2000 to 210,100 persons in 2035. Under the high projection, the County population would increase by 85,700 persons, or 57 percent, to 235,300 persons in 2035. Under the low projection, the County population would increase by 41,600 persons, or 28 percent, to 191,200 persons in 2035 . The relatively strong population growth projected in Kenosha County is partly a reflection of the County's location adjacent to the rapidly urbanizing Northeastern Illinois Region.

Milwaukee County: Under the intermediate projection, the population of Milwaukee County would increase by 66,900 persons, or 7 percent, from 940,200 persons in 2000 to $1,007,100$ persons in 2035. Under the high projection, the County population would increase by 137,400 persons, or 15 percent, to $1,077,600$ persons in 2035 . Under the low projection, the County population would decline slightly, by 13,600 persons, or 1 percent, to 926,600 persons in 2035. Milwaukee County's share of the total regional population would decrease under each projection-from 49 percent in 2000 to 44 percent under the low and intermediate projections, and to 43 percent under the high projection.

Ozaukee County: The intermediate projection envisions that the Ozaukee County population would increase by 18,800 persons, or 23 percent, from 82,300 persons in 2000 to 101,100 persons in 2035. Under the high projection, the County population would reach 115,300 persons in 2035, an increase of 33,000 persons, or 40 percent, over the 2000 level. The low projection envisions an increase of 10,700 persons, or 13 percent, to 93,000 persons in 2035.

Racine County: Under the intermediate projection, the population of Racine County would increase by 24,800 persons, or 13 percent, from 188,800 persons in 2000 to 213,600 persons in 2035. The high projection envisions considerably more rapid growth, with the County population increasing by 54,700 persons, or 29 percent, to a level of 243,500 persons in 2035 . Under the low projection, the County population would increase by 12,000 persons, or 6 percent, to a level of 200,800 persons in 2035.

Walworth County: Under the intermediate projection, the Walworth County population would increase by 48,000 persons, or 52 percent, from 92,000 persons in 2000 to 140,000 persons in 2035. The high projection indicates that the County population could reach 148,400 persons by 2035, an increase of 56,400 persons, or 61 percent, over the 2000 level. Under the low projection, the County population would increase by 31,200 persons, or 34 percent, to 123,200 persons in 2035. As in Kenosha County, the relatively strong population growth projected in Walworth County is also partly a reflection of the County's proximity to the Northeastern Illinois Region.

Washington County: The intermediate projection envisions an increase of 39,800 persons, or 34 percent, in the Washington County population, from 117,500 persons in 2000 to 157,300 persons in 2035. Under the high projection, the County population would increase by 58,600 persons, or 50 percent, to 176,100 persons in 2035 . Under the low projection, the population would increase by 27,200 persons, or 23 percent, to 144,700 persons in 2035.

Waukesha County: Under the intermediate projection, the Waukesha County population would increase by 86,000 persons, or 24 percent, from 360,800 persons in 2000 to 446,800 persons in 2035. The high projection indicates that the County population could be as high as 504,900 persons in 2035, representing an increase of 144,100 persons, or 40 percent over the 2000 level. Under the low projection, the County population would experience an increase of 50,200 persons, or 14 percent, reaching a level of 411,000 persons by 2035 .

Table 28
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN KENOSHA COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2000 | 149,600 | -- | -- | 149,600 | -- | -- | 149,600 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 162,300 | 12,700 | 8.5 | 157,600 | 8,000 | 5.3 | 154,000 | 4,400 | 2.9 |
| 2010 | 173,600 | 11,300 | 7.0 | 166,100 | 8,500 | 5.4 | 160,500 | 6,500 | 4.2 |
| 2015 | 185,600 | 12,000 | 6.9 | 175,000 | 8,900 | 5.4 | 167,200 | 6,700 | 4.2 |
| 2020 | 198,100 | 12,500 | 6.7 | 184,300 | 9,300 | 5.3 | 174,000 | 6,800 | 4.1 |
| 2025 | 210,600 | 12,500 | 6.3 | 193,300 | 9,000 | 4.9 | 180,200 | 6,200 | 3.6 |
| 2030 | 223,100 | 12,500 | 5.9 | 201,900 | 8,600 | 4.4 | 186,000 | 5,800 | 3.2 |
| 2035 | 235,300 | 12,200 | 5.5 | 210,100 | 8,200 | 4.1 | 191,200 | 5,200 | 2.8 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 85,700 | 57.3 | -- | 60,500 | 40.4 | -- | 41,600 | 27.8 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 29
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN MILWAUKEE COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2000 | 940,200 | -- | -- | 940,200 | -- | -- | 940,200 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 962,500 | 22,300 | 2.4 | 946,000 | 5,800 | 0.6 | 930,600 | -9,600 | -1.0 |
| 2010 | 978,900 | 16,400 | 1.7 | 953,900 | 7,900 | 0.8 | 921,000 | -9,600 | -1.0 |
| 2015 | 1,000,400 | 21,500 | 2.2 | 966,600 | 12,700 | 1.3 | 921,600 | 600 | 0.1 |
| 2020 | 1,020,600 | 20,200 | 2.0 | 977,800 | 11,200 | 1.2 | 922,600 | 1,000 | 0.1 |
| 2025 | 1,041,900 | 21,300 | 2.1 | 989,900 | 12,100 | 1.2 | 923,800 | 1,200 | 0.1 |
| 2030 | 1,060,300 | 18,400 | 1.8 | 999,100 | 9,200 | 0.9 | 925,100 | 1,300 | 0.1 |
| 2035 | 1,077,600 | 17,300 | 1.6 | 1,007,100 | 8,000 | 0.8 | 926,600 | 1,500 | 0.2 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 137,400 | 14.6 | -- | 66,900 | 7.1 | -- | -13,600 | -1.4 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 30
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN OZAUKEE COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2000 | 82,300 | -- | -- | 82,300 | -- | -- | 82,300 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 88,800 | 6,500 | 7.9 | 85,700 | 3,400 | 4.1 | 84,100 | 1,800 | 2.2 |
| 2010 | 93,400 | 4,600 | 5.2 | 88,700 | 3,000 | 3.5 | 86,100 | 2,000 | 2.4 |
| 2015 | 97,900 | 4,500 | 4.8 | 91,500 | 2,800 | 3.2 | 87,900 | 1,800 | 2.1 |
| 2020 | 102,900 | 5,000 | 5.1 | 94,600 | 3,100 | 3.4 | 89,900 | 2,000 | 2.3 |
| 2025 | 107,700 | 4,800 | 4.7 | 97,500 | 2,900 | 3.1 | 91,600 | 1,700 | 1.9 |
| 2030 | 112,000 | 4,300 | 4.0 | 99,800 | 2,300 | 2.4 | 92,800 | 1,200 | 1.3 |
| 2035 | 115,300 | 3,300 | 2.9 | 101,100 | 1,300 | 1.3 | 93,000 | 200 | 0.2 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 33,000 | 40.1 | -- | 18,800 | 22.8 | -- | 10,700 | 13.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 31
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN RACINE COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2000 | 188,800 | -- | -- | 188,800 | -- | -- | 188,800 | -- | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2005$ | 197,100 | 8,300 | 4.4 | 191,900 | 3,100 | 1.6 | 189,800 | 1,000 | 0.5 |
| 2010 | 205,400 | 8,300 | 4.2 | 195,200 | 3,300 | 1.7 | 190,800 | 1,000 | 0.5 |
| 2015 | 213,100 | 7,700 | 3.7 | 199,200 | 4,000 | 2.0 | 193,200 | 2,400 | 1.3 |
| 2020 | 220,900 | 7,800 | 3.7 | 203,200 | 4,000 | 2.0 | 195,500 | 2,300 | 1.2 |
| 2025 | 229,000 | 8,100 | 3.7 | 207,200 | 4,000 | 2.0 | 197,900 | 2,400 | 1.2 |
| 2030 | 236,400 | 7,400 | 3.2 | 210,600 | 3,400 | 1.6 | 199,500 | 1,600 | 0.8 |
| 2035 | 243,500 | 7,100 | 3.0 | 213,600 | 3,000 | 1.4 | 200,800 | 1,300 | 0.7 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 54,700 | 29.0 | -- | 24,800 | 13.1 | -- | 12,000 | 6.4 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 32
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN WALWORTH COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2000 | 92,000 | -- | -- | 92,000 | -- | -- | 92,000 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 100,400 | 8,400 | 9.1 | 98,400 | 6,400 | 7.0 | 95,500 | 3,500 | 3.8 |
| 2010 | 108,100 | 7,700 | 7.7 | 105,300 | 6,900 | 7.0 | 100,600 | 5,100 | 5.3 |
| 2015 | 116,000 | 7,900 | 7.3 | 112,200 | 6,900 | 6.6 | 105,500 | 4,900 | 4.9 |
| 2020 | 124,200 | 8,200 | 7.1 | 119,400 | 7,200 | 6.4 | 110,400 | 4,900 | 4.6 |
| 2025 | 132,200 | 8,000 | 6.4 | 126,300 | 6,900 | 5.8 | 114,900 | 4,500 | 4.1 |
| 2030 | 140,400 | 8,200 | 6.2 | 133,300 | 7,000 | 5.5 | 119,300 | 4,400 | 3.8 |
| 2035 | 148,400 | 8,000 | 5.7 | 140,000 | 6,700 | 5.0 | 123,200 | 3,900 | 3.3 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 56,400 | 61.3 | -- | 48,000 | 52.2 | -- | 31,200 | 33.9 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 33
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN WASHINGTON COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2000 | 117,500 | -- | -- | 117,500 | -- | -- | 117,500 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 128,800 | 11,300 | 9.6 | 125,000 | 7,500 | 6.4 | 121,700 | 4,200 | 3.6 |
| 2010 | 137,700 | 8,900 | 6.9 | 131,800 | 6,800 | 5.4 | 127,100 | 5,400 | 4.4 |
| 2015 | 146,300 | 8,600 | 6.2 | 138,000 | 6,200 | 4.7 | 131,800 | 4,700 | 3.7 |
| 2020 | 154,900 | 8,600 | 5.9 | 144,100 | 6,100 | 4.4 | 136,400 | 4,600 | 3.5 |
| 2025 | 163,000 | 8,100 | 5.2 | 149,500 | 5,400 | 3.7 | 140,200 | 3,800 | 2.8 |
| 2030 | 170,400 | 7,400 | 4.5 | 154,200 | 4,700 | 3.1 | 143,200 | 3,000 | 2.1 |
| 2035 | 176,100 | 5,700 | 3.3 | 157,300 | 3,100 | 2.0 | 144,700 | 1,500 | 1.0 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 58,600 | 49.9 | -- | 39,800 | 33.9 | -- | 27,200 | 23.1 |

[^10]Table 34
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN WAUKESHA COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2000 | 360,800 | -- | -- | 360,800 | -- | -- | 360,800 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 389,600 | 28,800 | 8.0 | 377,400 | 16,600 | 4.6 | 369,800 | 9,000 | 2.5 |
| 2010 | 410,600 | 21,000 | 5.4 | 391,500 | 14,100 | 3.7 | 379,800 | 10,000 | 2.7 |
| 2015 | 430,300 | 19,700 | 4.8 | 404,100 | 12,600 | 3.2 | 387,900 | 8,100 | 2.1 |
| 2020 | 451,300 | 21,000 | 4.9 | 417,400 | 13,300 | 3.3 | 396,500 | 8,600 | 2.2 |
| 2025 | 471,500 | 20,200 | 4.5 | 429,600 | 12,200 | 2.9 | 403,900 | 7,400 | 1.9 |
| 2030 | 490,400 | 18,900 | 4.0 | 440,300 | 10,700 | 2.5 | 409,500 | 5,600 | 1.4 |
| 2035 | 504,900 | 14,500 | 3.0 | 446,800 | 6,500 | 1.5 | 411,000 | 1,500 | 0.4 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 144,100 | 39.9 | -- | 86,000 | 23.8 | -- | 50,200 | 13.9 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

As indicated above, the Commission projections envision the continuation of an "Illinois influence" on future population growth in Kenosha and Walworth Counties. This is characterized by persons from Northeastern Illinois seeking residences in Wisconsin. The information regarding county-to-county migratory moves presented in Chapter III indicates a significant net movement of people from Northeastern Illinois to Kenosha and Walworth County during the 1990s (see Table 26 in Chapter III). Available census data suggest that the number of individuals who lived in Kenosha and Walworth Counties and worked in Northeastern Illinois also increased during the 1990s. While the Illinois influence has been more apparent in Kenosha County, it also appears to have taken hold in Walworth County.

## Projected Age Composition

The Commission population projections anticipate change in the age structure of the population over the course of the projection period. The age composition of the Region attendant to the Commission intermediate projection is presented in Table 35 and Figures 18 and 19. County-level intermediate population projections by five-year age group and sex are presented in Appendix C. A summary of the projected age composition follows:

Population Under 20 Years of Age: Under the intermediate projection, the number of persons under 20 years of age is expected to be relatively stable between 2000 and 2015, followed by a steady increase from 2015 through 2035. This age group would comprise about 27 percent of the regional population in 2035, compared to 29 percent in 2000.

Population 20 to 44 Years of Age: Under the intermediate projection, the number of persons in the 20 -to- 44 -year age group would decrease slightly between 2000 and 2010, as the youngest of the baby-boomers (those born from 1946 through 1964) move out of this age group. After 2010, this age group is projected to increase gradually through 2030 and then to hold constant through 2035. This age group would comprise about 31 percent of the regional population in 2035, compared to 36 percent in 2000.

Population 45 to 64 Years of Age: Under the intermediate projection, the number of persons in the 45 -to-64-year age group would increase rapidly between 2000 and 2010, when virtually all of the baby-boomers would have moved into this group. After a further increase to 2015, this age-group would decline gradually until 2030. In 2035, this age group would comprise 22 percent of the regional population, about the same as in 2000.

Figure 17
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION INTHE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2035


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 35

## ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE REGION BY AGE: 2000-2035 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2000{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 |
| Under 5. | 132,390 | 135,529 | 137,131 | 141,568 | 145,308 | 146,954 | 147,679 | 149,732 |
| 5 to 9................................ | 144,219 | 134,395 | 137,410 | 139,199 | 144,026 | 148,511 | 150,367 | 151,176 |
| 10 to 14........................... | 147,229 | 146,988 | 138,338 | 141,317 | 142,845 | 147,989 | 152,844 | 155,110 |
| 15 to 19............................ | 140,401 | 148,480 | 148,745 | 140,425 | 143,516 | 145,000 | 149,839 | 154,539 |
| Subtotal 0 to 19 | 564,239 | 565,392 | 561,624 | 562,509 | 575,695 | 588,454 | 600,729 | 610,557 |
| 20 to 24... | 123,611 | 135,783 | 143,283 | 143,208 | 134,069 | 137,840 | 139,715 | 144,183 |
| 25 to 29........................... | 125,567 | 123,247 | 135,366 | 142,973 | 142,571 | 132,777 | 136,725 | 138,802 |
| 30 to 34........................... | 138,238 | 128,399 | 124,970 | 138,520 | 146,546 | 146,509 | 136,626 | 139,973 |
| 35 to 39 . | 157,847 | 139,859 | 129,593 | 125,832 | 140,136 | 148,929 | 149,102 | 139,613 |
| 40 to 44............................ | 159,702 | 157,642 | 140,013 | 129,275 | 125,294 | 140,055 | 149,044 | 149,340 |
| Subtotal 20 to 44 | 704,965 | 684,930 | 673,225 | 679,808 | 688,616 | 706,110 | 711,212 | 711,911 |
| 45 to 49........................... | 142,428 | 157,132 | 155,869 | 138,837 | 128,110 | 123,938 | 138,384 | 147,191 |
| 50 to 54. | 120,345 | 137,667 | 152,573 | 151,984 | 135,442 | 124,861 | 120,653 | 134,541 |
| 55 to 59........................... | 88,417 | 113,016 | 129,904 | 144,577 | 144,186 | 128,435 | 118,178 | 114,325 |
| 60 to 64............................ | 69,747 | 81,064 | 104,207 | 120,353 | 134,171 | 133,845 | 119,073 | 109,423 |
| Subtotal 45 to 64 | 420,937 | 488,879 | 542,553 | 555,751 | 541,909 | 511,079 | 496,288 | 505,480 |
| 65 to 69................... | 62,281 | 62,288 | 72,850 | 94,100 | 108,935 | 121,578 | 121,257 | 107,708 |
| 70 to 74........................... | 60,479 | 54,978 | 55,441 | 65,319 | 84,656 | 98,203 | 109,659 | 109,272 |
| 75 to 79....................... | 51,372 | 50,771 | 46,684 | 47,587 | 56,485 | 73,476 | 85,381 | 95,199 |
| 80 to $84 .$. | 35,349 | 38,580 | 38,702 | 36,186 | 37,346 | 44,772 | 58,491 | 67,786 |
| 85 and Older..................... | 31,543 | 36,197 | 41,413 | 45,384 | 47,115 | 49,597 | 56,141 | 68,067 |
| Subtotal 65 and Older | 241,024 | 242,814 | 255,090 | 288,576 | 334,537 | 387,626 | 430,929 | 448,032 |
| Total | 1,931,165 | 1,982,015 | 2,032,492 | 2,086,644 | 2,140,757 | 2,193,269 | 2,239,158 | 2,275,980 |

NOTE: Age groups which approximate the "baby boom" generation (persons born from 1946 through 1964) are shaded gray.
${ }^{a}$ Minor adjustments have been made to some age groups to account for Census Bureau-approved corrections to initially released 2000 census total population counts.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Population 65 Years of Age and Older: The intermediate projection envisions that the population 65 and older would increase slightly between 2000 and 2010. After 2010, a dramatic increase may be expected, as the baby-boomers begin to move into this age group. By 2030, all of the baby-boom generation would be over the age of 65. In 2035, persons 65 years of age and older would comprise 20 percent of the total population, compared to about 13 percent in 2000.

Cleary, the aging of the large baby-boom generation may be expected to result in change in the overall age structure of the population of the Region. Changes in the age composition may be expected to have a range of impacts, including, importantly, impacts on the available labor force, as baby-boomers move into their retirement years.

## Comparison to Previous Commission Population Projections

As indicated in Chapter I, the Commission has conducted a number of major demographic studies over the past four decades-generally timed with the release of data from the respective decennial censuses-with each such study leading to the preparation of a new set of population projections, and with each succeeding set of projections extended further into the future. Each set of projections was prepared in consideration of the most current information on population trends available at the time and then-available indicators of future population change. These projections provided the basis for the selection of a forecast that was utilized in the subsequent preparation of the regional land use and transportation plans extended to the new forecast year. Previous Commission population forecasts are re-presented along with the Commission high, intermediate, and low projections for 2035 in Figure 20.

Figure 18


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
The population forecast for the year 1990 that was adopted as the basis for the initial design year 1990 regional land use and transportation plans anticipated very rapid population growth in the Region. That forecast was prepared prior to, and did not anticipate, the dramatic decrease in birth rates that was to occur during the 1960s and 1970s, or the substantial net out-migration of population from the Region that was to occur in the 1970s and 1980s. Subsequent population forecasts adopted as the basis for Commission land use and transportation plans for the years 2000, 2010, and 2020 are all considerably lower than the initial forecast for 1990. Even the population level indicated by the high projection for the year 2035 remains below the initial forecast level for 1990.

As noted earlier, the intermediate population projection for the year 2035-which is expected to serve as the basis for the year 2035 regional land use and transportation plans-envisions an 18 percent increase in the regional population over the 35 -year period from 2000 to 2035 . This compares to an increase of 15 percent over the 30 year period from 1990 to 2020 indicated in the previous Commission forecast. The intermediate population projection of $2,276,000$ persons for the year 2035 is 10 percent greater than the figure of 2,077,900 persons for the year 2020 indicated in the previous forecast.

## Comparison to Other-Agency Population Projections

The Commission population projections for the Region are compared with projections of the Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) and We Energies in Figure $21 . .^{5}$ As indicated, the DOA population

[^11]Figure 19
AGE COMPOSITION OFTHE POPULATION IN THE REGION: ACTUAL 2000 AND PROJECTED 2035 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 20


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
projection for the Region nearly matches the Commission intermediate projection over the course of the projection period. The year 2030 DOA population projection for the Region- $2,246,800$ persons-is just 0.3 percent greater than the Commission intermediate projection of $2,239,200$ for that year.

The We Energies population projection is similar to the Commission intermediate projection for the Region for the period 2000 to 2010. After 2010, however, the We Energies projection tends toward the Commission low projection. The We Energies projection of 2,053,400 persons for the year 2030 is about 1 percent less than the Commission low projection of $2,075,400$.

## HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS

Accompanying the changes in the size of the resident population of the Region will be changes in the number and average size of households. As reported in Chapter II, the relative increase in the number of households in the Region has exceeded the relative increase in population for each of the past five decades, with the average

Figure 21
COMPARISON OF COMMISSION AND OTHER AGENCY POPULATION PROJECTIONS


* Prepared for We Energies by Economy.com, Inc.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census; Wisconsin Department of Administration; We Energies and Economy.com, Inc.;and SEWRPC.
household size for the Region overall decreasing each decade. These trends are considered likely to continue during the projection period, although the rates of change may be expected to moderate somewhat.

## Methodology and Assumptions-Intermediate Household Projection

For purposes of the intermediate projections, the methodology involved the projection of the population in households (as opposed to the group-quarters population); the projection of the average household size; and the application of the projected household size to the projected household population, resulting in the projected number of households. This methodology was applied by county for each five-year cycle of the projection period between 2000 and 2035.

For purposes of projecting the future household population, it was assumed that the relative shares of the population residing in households and group quarters by age group would remain essentially unchanged over the projection period. Under this assumption, the projected household population by age group was derived by applying the ratio of household population to total population for each age group observed in 2000, to the projected total population for the age group. ${ }^{6}$ This was done by county for each five-year cycle over the projection period.

[^12]A preliminary household size was calculated by dividing the projected household population by the number of households which would exist assuming that the household formation rates observed for each age group in 2000 would continue over the projection period. This was done for each county for each five-year projection cycle. These preliminary household sizes were subsequently adjusted in light of long-term historic trends of change in household size and in light of changes in household sizes estimated to have occurred since 2000, yielding final household size projections by county.

Finally, the projected number of households was obtained by dividing the projected total household population by the projected household size. This was done for each county for each five-year cycle over the projection period.

## Methodology and Assumptions-High and Low Household Projections

In developing the high and low household projections, it was assumed that the relative strength of the regional economy in the years ahead would not have a significant effect on the size of households in the Region, and, accordingly, that the trend in household size might be expected to be similar under high-, intermediate-, and low-growth conditions in the Region in the years ahead. It was also assumed that the relative shares of the population residing in households and in group quarters would be similar under high-, intermediate-, and low-growth conditions.

For purposes of the high projection, then, the household population was derived for each county and each fiveyear projection cycle by applying the household-population/total-population ratio from the intermediate projection to the total population attendant to the high projection. The projected number of households was obtained by dividing the projected household population by the projected household size from the intermediate projection. A similar approach was used in deriving projected households under a low-growth scenario.

## Projected Number and Size of Households

Based upon the methodology and assumptions described above, the proportion of the total population living in households may be expected to decrease slightly over the course of the projection period (see Table 36). This may be attributed, to a large extent, to the aging of the Region's population, and the somewhat greater tendency of older persons to reside in group-quarter living arrangements rather than in residences of their own.

It may also be expected that the average household size in the Region will continue its historic decline, with the rate of decline being somewhat moderated in the coming decades however. The average household size in the Region decreased by 4.7 percent during the 1980s and 3.8 percent during the 1990s. Commission projections indicate that the average household size would decrease by just over 5 percent during the 35 -year projection period, from 2.52 persons in 2000 to 2.39 persons in 2035 (see Table 36 and Figure 22). This decrease may be anticipated as a result of a combination of factors, including a continued change in household types, as well as the projected increase in the older population age groups for which average household sizes tend to be smaller than for the total population.

Projections of the number of households under high-, intermediate-, and low-growth scenarios for the Region are presented in Figure 23 and Table 37. The intermediate projection envisions that the number of households in the Region would increase by 176,700 , or 24 percent, from 749,000 households in 2000 to 925,700 households in 2035. The projected relative increase in households under the intermediate scenario, 24 percent, exceeds the projected relative increase in population, 18 percent.

As further indicated in Table 37, the high projection indicates that the number of households in the Region could be as high as $1,016,400$ in 2035, an increase of 267,400 households, or 36 percent, over the 2000 level. The low projection indicates that the number of households could be as low as 850,300 in 2035 , an increase of 101,300 households, or 14 percent, over 2000.

High, intermediate, and low household projections are presented for each of the seven counties in the Region in Tables 38 to 44 and in Figure 24. For each county under each growth scenario, the projected relative increase

Table 36
HOUSEHOLD POPULATION AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: ACTUAL 2000 AND PROJECTED 2035

| County | Household Population as a Percent of Total Population |  | Average <br> Household Size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2000 | Projected 2035 | Actual 2000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2035 \end{aligned}$ |
| Kenosha................... | 97.31 | 97.11 | 2.60 | 2.46 |
| Milwaukee ................ | 97.44 | 97.21 | 2.43 | 2.29 |
| Ozaukee ................... | 97.86 | 96.97 | 2.61 | 2.45 |
| Racine ...................... | 97.10 | 96.71 | 2.59 | 2.46 |
| Walworth ................. | 96.25 | 95.93 | 2.57 | 2.47 |
| Washington.............. | 98.90 | 97.89 | 2.65 | 2.45 |
| Waukesha ................ | 98.41 | 97.41 | 2.63 | 2.50 |
| Region Total | 97.63 | 97.15 | 2.52 | 2.39 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
in households between 2000 and 2035 is somewhat greater than the projected relative increase in population, indicated earlier in this chapter. ${ }^{7}$

## Comparison to Previous

## Commission Household Projections

Figure 25 presents a comparison of the new household projections for the Region for 2035 with previous household forecasts used by the Commission as a basis for previous land use and transportation plans. As noted above, the intermediate projection for the year 2035which is expected to serve as the basis for the year 2035 regional land use and transportation plans-envisions a 24 percent increase in the number of households in the Region over the 35 -year period from 2000 to 2035. This compares to an increase of 22 percent over the 30 -year period from 1990 to 2020 indicated in the previous Commission forecast. The intermediate projection of 925,700 households for the year 2035 is 12 percent greater than the figure of 827,100 households for the year 2020 indicated in the previous forecast.

A comparison of Figures 20 and 25 indicates that early Commission household forecasts conformed more closely to actual experience than the early Commission population forecasts. This may be explained by the fact that the early Commission population and household size forecasts were considerably higher than actual population levels and household sizes due to higher projected fertility rates, and resultant projected births per female, and children per family household.

## Comparison to Other-Agency Household Projections

The Commission household projections for the Region are compared with projections of the Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) and We Energies in Figure 26. As indicated, the DOA household projection is very similar to the Commission intermediate projection. Thus, the year 2030 DOA household projection for the Region- 926,900 households-is within 2 percent of the Commission intermediate projection of 909,800 households for that year.

The We Energies household projection is generally about midway between the Commission low and intermediate household projection through the year 2020. After 2020, the We Energies projection tends toward the Commission low projection. The We Energies projection of 841,700 households for the year 2030 nearly matches the Commission low projection of 843,300 households for that year.

## SUMMARY

This chapter has presented new demographic projections for the Southeastern Wisconsin Region for the period from 2000 to 2035. The previous Commission projections, prepared in 1995, pertained to the period from 1990 to 2020. Under the current population study, as in prior studies, the Commission has projected a range of future population and household levels-high, intermediate, and low-for the Region. This approach recognizes the uncertainty that surrounds any effort to predict future socioeconomic conditions. The intermediate projection prepared under this study is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall, and, in this sense, constitutes the Commission's forecast, to be used as a basis for the preparation of the new regional land use and
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Figure 22
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2035


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
transportation plans and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the Region. The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of population and household levels which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

The intermediate population projection was developed using the cohort-component population projection model, with specific assumptions made regarding future fertility, survival, and migration rates. In general, the intermediate population projection envisions a modest increase in fertility rates, a modest improvement in survival rates, and a relatively stable migration pattern for the Region overall in the coming decades. The migration assumptions underlying the Commission intermediate population projections were developed within the context of what is considered to be the most likely future economic growth scenario for the Region. Under the concurrent Commission study of the regional economy, it was concluded that, overall, the economy of Southeastern Wisconsin is not likely to significantly increase or decrease in strength relative to other areas of the State or Nation. Under this assumption, major changes in migration patterns for the Region from the recent past would not be expected. Accordingly, the intermediate population projection envisions a relatively stable migration pattern for the Region, with minimal net migration anticipated for the Region overall throughout the projection period.

Figure 23
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN THE REGION: 1950-2035


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 37
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN THE REGION: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Households: $2000$ | 749,000 | -- | -- | 749,000 | -- | -- | 749,000 | -- | -- |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Projected Households: } \\ 2005 \\ 2010 \\ 2015 \\ 2020 \\ 2025 \\ 2030 \\ 2035 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 799,200 \\ 838,600 \\ 878,600 \\ 917,800 \\ 954,900 \\ 987,800 \\ 1,016,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50,200 \\ & 39,400 \\ & 40,000 \\ & 39,200 \\ & 37,100 \\ & 32,900 \\ & 28,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.7 \\ & 4.9 \\ & 4.8 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 4.0 \\ & 3.4 \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 780,600 \\ & 809,000 \\ & 837,700 \\ & 865,000 \\ & 889,500 \\ & 909,800 \\ & 925,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,600 \\ & 28,400 \\ & 28,700 \\ & 27,300 \\ & 24,500 \\ & 20,300 \\ & 15,900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & 3.6 \\ & 3.5 \\ & 3.3 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | 766,300 782,400 800,800 818,200 832,400 843,300 850,300 | 17,300 16,100 <br> 18,400 <br> 17,400 <br> 14,200 <br> 10,900 <br> 7,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 2.1 \\ & 2.4 \\ & 2.2 \\ & 1.7 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 0.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 267,400 | 35.7 | -- | 176,700 | 23.6 | -- | 101,300 | 13.5 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 38
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN KENOSHA COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2000 | 56,100 | -- | -- | 56,100 | -- | -- | 56,100 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 61,600 | 5,500 | 9.8 | 59,800 | 3,700 | 6.6 | 58,500 | 2,400 | 4.3 |
| 2010 | 66,400 | 4,800 | 7.8 | 63,600 | 3,800 | 6.4 | 61,400 | 2,900 | 5.0 |
| 2015 | 71,700 | 5,300 | 8.0 | 67,600 | 4,000 | 6.3 | 64,600 | 3,200 | 5.2 |
| 2020 | 77,200 | 5,500 | 7.7 | 71,800 | 4,200 | 6.2 | 67,800 | 3,200 | 5.0 |
| 2025 | 82,700 | 5,500 | 7.1 | 75,800 | 4,000 | 5.6 | 70,700 | 2,900 | 4.3 |
| 2030 | 87,800 | 5,100 | 6.2 | 79,500 | 3,700 | 4.9 | 73,200 | 2,500 | 3.5 |
| 2035 | 92,900 | 5,100 | 5.8 | 82,900 | 3,400 | 4.3 | 75,500 | 2,300 | 3.1 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 36,800 | 65.6 | - - | 26,800 | 47.8 | -- | 19,400 | 34.6 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 39
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN MILWAUKEE COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Households: $2000$ | 377,700 | -- | -- | 377,700 | -- | -- | 377,700 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households: 2005 2010 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 | 392,300 404,200 417,000 429,100 439,400 448,500 457,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,600 \\ 11,900 \\ 12,800 \\ 12,100 \\ 10,300 \\ 9,100 \\ 8,900 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 2.9 \\ & 2.4 \\ & 2.1 \\ & 2.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 385,600 393,900 403,000 411,200 417,500 422,700 427,500 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,900 \\ & 8,300 \\ & 9,100 \\ & 8,200 \\ & 6,300 \\ & 5,200 \\ & 4,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 2.2 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 379,300 \\ & 380,400 \\ & 384,200 \\ & 387,900 \\ & 389,600 \\ & 391,400 \\ & 393,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,600 \\ & 1,100 \\ & 3,800 \\ & 3,700 \\ & 1,700 \\ & 1,800 \\ & 1,900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.3 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 0.4 \\ & 0.5 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 79,700 | 21.1 | -- | 49,800 | 13.2 | -- | 15,600 | 4.1 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 40
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN OZAUKEE COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2000 | 30,900 | -- | -- | 30,900 | -- | -- | 30,900 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households: 2005 2010 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 | 33,800 36,100 38,300 40,600 42,800 44,500 45,600 | 2,900 2,300 2,200 2,300 2,200 1,700 1,100 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \\ & 6.8 \\ & 6.1 \\ & 6.0 \\ & 5.4 \\ & 4.0 \\ & 2.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32,700 \\ & 34,300 \\ & 35,800 \\ & 37,300 \\ & 38,800 \\ & 39,600 \\ & 40,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,800 \\ 1,600 \\ 1,500 \\ 1,500 \\ 1,500 \\ 800 \\ 400 \end{array}$ | 5.8 4.9 4.4 4.2 4.0 2.1 1.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 32,000 \\ & 33,300 \\ & 34,400 \\ & 35,500 \\ & 36,400 \\ & 36,800 \\ & 36,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,100 \\ 1,300 \\ 1,100 \\ 1,100 \\ 900 \\ 400 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & 4.1 \\ & 3.3 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 14,700 | 47.6 | - - | 9,100 | 29.4 | -- | 5,900 | 19.1 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 41
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN RACINE COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2000 | 70,800 | -- | -- | 70,800 | -- | -- | 70,800 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households: 2005 2010 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 | 75,100 <br> 78,900 <br> 82,500 <br> 85,800 <br> 89,600 <br> 92,700 <br> 95,700 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,300 \\ & 3,800 \\ & 3,600 \\ & 3,300 \\ & 3,800 \\ & 3,100 \\ & 3,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.1 \\ & 5.1 \\ & 4.6 \\ & 4.0 \\ & 4.4 \\ & 3.5 \\ & 3.2 \end{aligned}$ | 73,100 <br> 74,900 <br> 77,100 <br> 78,900 <br> 81,000 <br> 82,600 <br> 84,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,300 \\ 1,800 \\ 2,200 \\ 1,800 \\ 2,100 \\ 1,600 \\ 1,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 2.9 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 2.7 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72,300 \\ & 73,200 \\ & 74,800 \\ & 75,900 \\ & 77,400 \\ & 78,200 \\ & 78,900 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,500 \\ 900 \\ 1,600 \\ 1,100 \\ 1,500 \\ 800 \\ 700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 2.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 0.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 24,900 | 35.2 | -- | 13,200 | 18.6 | -- | 8,100 | 11.4 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 42
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN WALWORTH COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | Households |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2000 | 34,500 | -- | -- | 34,500 | -- | -- | 34,500 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 38,400 | 3,900 | 11.3 | 37,600 | 3,100 | 9.0 | 36,500 | 2,000 | 5.8 |
| 2010 | 41,700 | 3,300 | 8.6 | 40,600 | 3,000 | 8.0 | 38,800 | 2,300 | 6.3 |
| 2015 | 44,900 | 3,200 | 7.7 | 43,500 | 2,900 | 7.1 | 40,800 | 2,000 | 5.2 |
| 2020 | 48,300 | 3,400 | 7.6 | 46,400 | 2,900 | 6.7 | 42,900 | 2,100 | 5.1 |
| 2025 | 51,500 | 3,200 | 6.6 | 49,200 | 2,800 | 6.0 | 44,800 | 1,900 | 4.4 |
| 2030 | 54,600 | 3,100 | 6.0 | 51,800 | 2,600 | 5.3 | 46,400 | 1,600 | 3.6 |
| 2035 | 57,700 | 3,100 | 5.7 | 54,400 | 2,600 | 5.0 | 47,900 | 1,500 | 3.2 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 23,200 | 67.2 | -- | 19,900 | 57.7 | -- | 13,400 | 38.8 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 43
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2000 | 43,800 | -- | -- | 43,800 | -- | -- | 43,800 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households: <br> 2005 <br> 2010 <br> 2015 <br> 2020 <br> 2025 <br> 2030 <br> 2035 | $\begin{aligned} & 49,000 \\ & 53,200 \\ & 57,300 \\ & 61,300 \\ & 65,100 \\ & 68,200 \\ & 70,400 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,200 \\ & 4,200 \\ & 4,100 \\ & 4,000 \\ & 3,800 \\ & 3,100 \\ & 2,200 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.9 \\ 8.6 \\ 7.7 \\ 7.0 \\ 6.2 \\ 4.8 \\ 3.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 47,500 50,900 54,000 57,100 59,800 61,700 62,800 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,700 \\ & 3,400 \\ & 3,100 \\ & 3,100 \\ & 2,700 \\ & 1,900 \\ & 1,100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4 \\ & 7.2 \\ & 6.1 \\ & 5.7 \\ & 4.7 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 1.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,500 \\ 2,800 \\ 2,500 \\ 2,400 \\ 2,100 \\ 1,300 \\ 400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \\ & 6.0 \\ & 5.1 \\ & 4.7 \\ & 3.9 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 0.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 26,600 | 60.7 | -- | 19,000 | 43.4 | -- | 14,000 | 32.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 44
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN WAUKESHA COUNTY: 2000-2035

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| Actual Households: $2000$ | 135,200 | -- | -- | 135,200 | -- | -- | 135,200 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 149,000 | 13,800 | 10.2 | 144,300 | 9,100 | 6.7 | 141,400 | 6,200 | 4.6 |
| 2010 | 158,100 | 9,100 | 6.1 | 150,800 | 6,500 | 4.5 | 146,200 | 4,800 | 3.4 |
| 2015 | 166,900 | 8,800 | 5.6 | 156,700 | 5,900 | 3.9 | 150,400 | 4,200 | 2.9 |
| 2020 | 175,500 | 8,600 | 5.2 | 162,300 | 5,600 | 3.6 | 154,200 | 3,800 | 2.5 |
| 2025 | 183,800 | 8,300 | 4.7 | 167,400 | 5,100 | 3.1 | 157,400 | 3,200 | 2.1 |
| 2030 | 191,500 | 7,700 | 4.2 | 171,900 | 4,500 | 2.7 | 159,900 | 2,500 | 1.6 |
| 2035 | 196,700 | 5,200 | 2.7 | 174,100 | 2,200 | 1.3 | 160,100 | 200 | 0.1 |
| Change: 2000-2035 | -- | 61,500 | 45.5 | -- | 38,900 | 28.8 | -- | 24,900 | 18.4 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

The development of the high and low population projections under this study involved less mathematical formulation than the intermediate projection, and relied heavily upon the professional judgment and experience of the Advisory Committee and Commission staff. The projections are the result of careful consideration by the Committee and the staff of factors having the potential to augment or dampen future population growth in the Region. The resulting projections represent the Committee and staff consensus regarding conceivable high and low population levels for the Region. In deliberating on the possible range of future population levels, the Advisory Committee and the Commission staff identified the relative strength of the regional economy as the primary factor which could result in future population levels significantly greater or less than the intermediate projection. Implicit in the high population projection is an assumption that the regional economy would become significantly more competitive, creating an increased demand for workers and inducing a substantial net in-migration of people to meet that demand. Implicit in the low population projection is a significantly less competitive regional economy, resulting in an out-migration of population, as workers move to areas experiencing stronger economic growth. In developing the high and low population projections, an effort was made to ensure consistency between those projections and the corresponding high and low employment level projections developed under the concurrent Commission study of the regional economy.

A summary of the demographic projections for the Region as presented in this chapter follows:

- The Commission intermediate projection envisions that the regional population would increase by 344,800 persons, or 18 percent, from 1,931,200 persons in 2000 to $2,276,000$ person in 2035. Under the intermediate projection, population growth would range between 50,000 and 55,000 during each five-year projection cycle from 2000 to 2025, with slightly smaller increases projected for 2025 to 2035. The high projection indicates that the population of the Region could be as high as $2,501,100$ persons in 2035 , an increase of about 569,900 persons, or 30 percent, over the 2000 level; the high population projection for the year 2035 exceeds the intermediate projection by about 10 percent. Conversely, the low projection indicates that the regional population could be as low as $2,090,500$ persons in 2035, an increase of 159,300 persons, or 8 percent, over 2000; the low population projection for the year 2035 is about 8 percent less than the intermediate projection.

ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS INTHE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2035
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Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 25
COMPARISON OF YEAR 2035 HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS WITH PRIOR COMMISSION FORECASTS


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

- As noted above, the intermediate population projection for the year 2035-which is expected to serve as the basis for the year 2035 regional land use and transportation plans-envisions an 18 percent increase in the regional population over the 35 -year period from 2000 to 2035 . This compares to the intermediate-scenario projected increase of 15 percent over the 30 -year period from 1990 to 2020 indicated in the previous Commission projections prepared in 1995. The intermediate population projection of $2,276,000$ persons for the year 2035 is 10 percent greater than the intermediate projection of $2,077,900$ persons for the year 2020 indicated in the previous Commission projections.
- Commission projections envision change in the age composition of the regional population in the coming decades. Particular noteworthy is the expected influence of the large baby-boom generation (those born from 1946 through 1964) on the future age structure. By 2030, all baby-boomers will be over the age of 65 . The intermediate population projection envisions that persons 65 years of age and older would comprise 20 percent of total population in the year 2035, compared to about 13 percent in 2000. Changes in the age composition of the population may be expected to have a range of impacts, including, importantly, impacts on the available labor force in the Region, as baby-boomers move into their retirement years.

Figure 26
COMPARISON OF COMMISSION AND OTHER AGENCY HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS

*Prepared for WE Energies by Economy.com, Inc.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census; Wisconsin Department of Administration; We Energies and Economy.com, Inc.; and SEWRPC.

- Commission projections envision the average household size in the Region will continue its historic decline, with the rate of decline being somewhat moderated in the coming decades however. The average household size in the Region is projected to decrease by just over 5 percent during the projection period, from 2.52 persons in 2000 to 2.39 persons in 2035. The intermediate projection envisions that the number of households in the Region would increase by 176,700, or 24 percent, from 749,000 households in 2000 to 925,700 households in 2035. The projected relative increase in households under the intermediate scenario, 24 percent, exceeds the projected relative increase in population, 18 percent. The high projection indicates that the number of households in the Region could be as high as $1,016,400$ in 2035, an increase of 267,400 households, or 36 percent, over the 2000 level. The low projection indicates that the number of households could be as low as 850,300 in 2035, an increase of 101,300 households, or 14 percent, over 2000.
- As noted above, the intermediate projection for the year 2035 envisions a 24 percent increase in the number of households in the Region over the 35 -year period from 2000 to 2035. This compares to the intermediate-scenario projected increase of 22 percent over the 30 -year period from 1990 to 2020 indicated in the previous Commission projections prepared in 1995. The intermediate projection of 925,700 households for the year 2035 is 12 percent greater than the intermediate projection of 827,100 households for the year 2020 indicated in the previous Commission projections.


## Chapter V

## SUMMARY

This report constitutes the fourth edition of SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11, The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin. It documents the findings of the demographic analyses conducted by the Commission following the 2000 census and sets forth new demographic projections for the Region to the year 2035. This report is a companion to the fourth edition of SEWRPC Technical Report No. 10, The Economy of Southeastern Wisconsin, which documents a concurrent analysis of the regional economy and sets forth new employment projections to the year 2035. The aforereferenced reports were prepared in tandem to ensure consistency between the Commission's long-range population projections and employment projections. Together, the new population and employment projections presented in these reports provide an important part of the basis for updating and extending the currently adopted regional land use and transportation plans, along with other elements of the comprehensive plan for Southeastern Wisconsin, to the year 2035.

This report consists of five chapters. The introductory chapter, Chapter I, provides a brief overview of previous Commission demographic analyses and projections. Chapter II presents information on existing population and household levels in the Region and information on the characteristics of the Region's population and households, along with related historic trend information. Chapter III describes historical trends in the components of population change-namely, natural increase and migration. Chapter IV presents a new set of population and household projections for the Region covering the period 2000 to 2035. This, the final chapter, provides an overall summary of the demographic inventory and analysis findings and of the new population and household projections for the Region as set forth in this report.

## POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD TRENDS

The year 2000 Federal census and prior Federal censuses provide an extensive database for analyzing the existing population and historic population trends in the Region over time. A description of trends in the size and characteristics of the population and the number and characteristics of households in the Region, based primarily on Federal census data, is presented in Chapter II. A summary of the key findings follows:

- The resident population of the Region was $1,931,200$ persons in 2000, compared to $1,810,400$ in 1990. The increase of 120,800 persons, or 6.7 percent, in the regional population during the 1990s is substantially greater than the increases experienced during the 1970 ( 8,700 persons) and 1980 s ( 45,600 persons)-but less than the increases of 333,000 persons and 182,500 persons experienced during the 1950s and 1960s, respectively.
- In relative terms, the Region's population grew at a somewhat slower rate than that of both the State and the Nation during the 1990s. As a result, the Region's share of Wisconsin's population decreased slightly, from 37 percent to 36 percent, with the Region's share of the national population also declining. The Region's share of the State and national population has been gradually decreasing since 1960 .
- The past decade saw further change in the relative distribution of the population among the counties within the Region, continuing long-term trends in this respect. Milwaukee County's share of the regional population decreased by about 4 percentage points during the 1990s, while the share of each of the other six counties increased at least slightly. Over the past fifty years, the most notable change in the distribution has been the increase in Waukesha County's share, from 7 percent to 19 percent of the regional population, and the decrease in Milwaukee County's share, from 70 percent to 49 percent.
- Growth in the regional population over the past decade was accompanied by a change in the age composition. Among the five-year age groups, growth over the past ten years in the Region is most evident in the 40 - to 44 -year, the 45 - to 49 -year, and the 50 - to 54 -year age groups, largely reflecting the aging of "baby-boomers" (those born from 1946 through 1964). Conversely, the largest decreases in population between 1990 and 2000 occurred in the 25 - to 29 -year and 30 - to 34 -year age groups, a reflection of baby-boomers moving out of those age groups.
- As part of the 2000 census, respondents were given the opportunity for the first time to specify more than one race when reporting their racial identity. The vast majority of the population in the Region ( 98.3 percent) reported only one race. This includes 79.4 percent reporting White; 13.6 percent reporting Black or African American; 0.5 percent reporting American Indian or Alaska Native; 1.8 percent reporting Asian; less than 0.1 percent reporting Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander; and 3.0 percent reporting some other race. Among the Region's counties, Milwaukee County remained the most racially diverse, followed by Racine and Kenosha.
- As part of the census, respondents are asked about Hispanic origin independent of questions on race. In the 2000 census, about 126,400 persons in the Region, or about 7 percent of the Region's population, were reported to be Hispanic. According to 1990 and 2000 censuses, the Hispanic population in the Region increased by 86 percent during the 1990s. Combined with a reported 46 percent increase during the 1980s, the Hispanic population nearly tripled between 1980 and 2000.
- The foreign-born population in the Region stood at about 101,500 persons in 2000, representing about 5 percent of the total regional population. The foreign-born population of the Region increased substantially-by 33,800 persons, or 50 percent-between 1990 and 2000-following decreases over the prior two decades. The increase in the foreign-born population, including a significant Hispanic component, is a key aspect of the population migration pattern for the Region during the 1990s.
- In addition to total population, the number of households, or occupied housing units, is of importance in land use planning and public facility planning insofar as it greatly influences the demand for urban land as well as the demand for transportation and other public facilities and services. There were 749,000 households in the Region in 2000, an increase of 72,900 over 1990. In relative terms, the rate of growth in households in the Region during the 1990s, 10.8 percent, exceeded the rate of growth in the total population, 6.7 percent, as well as the rate of growth in the household population, 6.6 percent - patterns observed over each of the four previous decades. Over the past 50 years, the number of households in the Region increased by 111 percent, while the total population increased by 56 percent and the household population increased by 58 percent.
- The differential growth rates between households and population noted earlier are reflected in a declining average household size in the Region. For the Region overall, the average household sizecalculated as the household population divided by the number of households-was 2.52 persons in 2000. During the 1990s, the average household size in the Region decreased by about 0.10 person per household, or about 4 percent, from the 1990 figure of 2.62 persons. The decrease in household size during the 1990s represents a continuation of a long-term trend in declining average household size for the Region over the past 50 years.
- Of the 749,000 households in the Region in 2000, 66 percent were identified in the census as "family" households and the remaining 34 percent as "nonfamily" households (one-person households as well as households comprised of unrelated persons living in the same housing unit). The number of family households in the Region increased by 5 percent between 1900 and 2000, about one-half the rate of increase in total households. The number of nonfamily households in the Region increased by 24 percent between 1990 and 2000-more than double the rate of increase in total households.


## COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE

The population of an area such as the Southeastern Wisconsin Region is constantly changing with the occurrence of vital events such as births and deaths, and through the inflow and outflow of persons migrating from one area to another. Population increases result from births and in-migration of persons; population decreases result from deaths and out-migration of persons. The balance between births and deaths is termed "natural increase" and the balance between in-migration and out-migration is termed "net migration." Information on past trends in natural increase and migration provides insight into the causal factors underlying historic population changes. Information on the levels and rates of natural increase and migration in the Region is presented in Chapter III of this report. A summary of the key findings follows:

- Of the total population increase of 120,800 persons in the Region between 1990 and 2000, 116,900 can be attributed to natural increase-the difference between births and deaths during this time. The relatively small balance can be attributed to a net migration of about 3,900 persons into the Region.
- Looking further back in time, the level of natural increase in the Region has been relatively stable over the past three decades, averaging about 119,000 per decade. This is significantly lower than the levels experienced during the 1950s and 1960s-which include much of the post-World War II baby-boom era-when natural increase in the Region occurred at very high levels, 224,500 and 202,400 , respectively. In contrast to the relative stability in natural increase over the past three decades, net migration has varied considerably, ranging from an in-migration of 3,900 persons during the 1990s, as noted above, to a net out-migration of 104,400 persons during the 1970 s. Although modest in size, the net migration into the Region during the 1990s is significant insofar as it represents the first time the Region as a whole experienced a net in-migration since the 1950s.
- Examination of birth rates and mortality rates provides insight into the overall trend in natural increase in the population. The total fertility rate in the Region was 2.04 in 2000-slightly below the replacement level of 2.1. The total fertility rate for the Region has increased somewhat since 1980, following dramatic decreases during the 1960s and 1970s. The total fertility rate for the Region increased by 11 percent between 1980 and 1990 and by 3 percent between 1990 and 2000. The increase in the total fertility rate since 1980 largely reflects increases in fertility in the older childbearing age groups - particularly, the 30 - to 34 -year and the 35 - to 39 -year age groups.
- The long-term trend in mortality rates in the Region has been one of gradual decline. With few exceptions, the mortality rates calculated for selected broad age groups ( 0 to 44,45 to 64,65 to 74 , and 75 and over) for males and females combined have decreased each decade, going back to at least

1960. Between 1960 and 2000, the largest decreases in mortality rates occurred in the older age groups-the 65- to 75-year and the 75-year-and-over age groups.

- The measurement of population migration is indirect and generally relies on a number of data sources. The most basic measurement is the calculation of net migration by subtracting natural increase (as determined by records of births and deaths) from the total population change. This vital statistics-based calculation reveals a modest net migration into the Region during the 1990s, 3,900 persons, as noted above. This stands in marked contrast to the significant net out-migration from the Region observed during the 1970s and 1980s.
- The year 2000 census indicated a significant increase in the movement of foreign-born persons into the Region during the 1990 s. Thus, about 45,400 foreign-born persons in the Region in 2000 were reported to have entered the country between 1990 and 2000; this is significantly greater than the corresponding figures of 12,300 to 18,300 reported in the 1970,1980 , and 1990 censuses. Of the total of 45,400 such foreign-born persons, 51 percent were born in Latin America, 27 percent were born in Asia, 17 percent were born in Europe, and a combined total of 5 percent were born in Africa, North America outside the United States, and Oceania.
- County-to-county migration flow data, developed as a joint effort by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Census Bureau, provide an indication of population movement from county to county within the Nation. These data are developed annually based upon year-to-year changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual taxpayers. This data set does not reflect the in-migration of foreign-born individuals directly from abroad inasmuch as there would be no prior tax return, and, accordingly, it primarily reflects domestic migration flows. A 10-year summary of county-to-county migration flow data indicates a net movement of people from Milwaukee to the adjacent counties of Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha during the 1990s. While over the course of the 1990s, there was significant movement of people from Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha Counties to Milwaukee County, these movements to Milwaukee County were exceeded by movements in the opposite direction, particularly to Waukesha County. In this respect, the migration flow data indicate the net movement of 79,100 persons from Milwaukee County to adjacent Counties during the 1990 s, including a net movement of 49,700 persons to Waukesha County. The migration flow data further indicate the net movement of 16,900 persons from Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties, Illinois, to Kenosha County, and the net movement of 6,100 persons from those three Illinois Counties to Walworth County during the 1990s. Finally, the 10-year migration flow data indicate that movements from the Region to the balance of the State during the 1990s exceeded movements from the balance of the State to the Region by about 49,700. Reported movements from the Region to the Nation (excluding Wisconsin) were similar in magnitude to reported movements from the Nation to the Region.


## YEAR 2035 POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS

Under the current population study, as in prior studies, the Commission projected a range of future population and household levels-high, intermediate, and low-for the Region. This approach recognizes the uncertainty that surrounds any effort to predict future socioeconomic conditions. The intermediate projection prepared under this study is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall, and, in this sense, constitutes the Commission's forecast, to be used as a basis for the preparation of the new regional land use and transportation plans and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the Region. The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of population and household levels which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

The intermediate population projection was developed using the cohort-component population projection model, with specific assumptions made regarding future fertility, survival, and migration rates. In general, the
intermediate population projection envisions a modest increase in fertility rates, a modest improvement in survival rates, and a relatively stable migration pattern for the Region overall in the coming decades. The migration assumptions underlying the Commission intermediate population projections were developed within the context of what is considered to be the most likely future economic growth scenario for the Region. Under the concurrent Commission study of the regional economy, it was concluded that, overall, the economy of Southeastern Wisconsin is not likely to significantly increase or decrease in strength relative to other areas of the State or Nation. Under this assumption, major changes in migration patterns for the Region from the recent past would not be expected. Accordingly, the intermediate population projection envisions a relatively stable migration pattern for the Region, with minimal net migration anticipated for the Region overall throughout the projection period.

The development of the high and low population projections under this study involved less mathematical formulation than the intermediate projection, and relied heavily upon the professional judgment and experience of the Advisory Committee and Commission staff. The projections are the result of careful consideration by the Committee and the staff of factors having the potential to augment or dampen future population growth in the Region. The resulting projections represent the Committee and staff consensus regarding conceivable high and low population levels for the Region. In deliberating on the possible range of future population levels, the Advisory Committee and the Commission staff identified the relative strength of the regional economy as the primary factor which could result in future population levels significantly greater or less than the intermediate projection. Implicit in the high population projection is an assumption that the regional economy would become significantly more competitive, creating an increased demand for workers and inducing a substantial net in-migration of people to meet that demand. Implicit in the low population projection is a significantly less competitive regional economy, resulting in an out-migration of population, as workers move to areas experiencing stronger economic growth. In developing the high and low population projections, an effort was made to ensure consistency between those projections and the corresponding high and low employment level projections developed under the concurrent Commission study of the regional economy.

The methodology and assumptions underlying the new demographic projections are further explained in Chapter IV of this report. A summary of the resulting projections, as presented in Chapter IV, follows:

- The Commission intermediate projection envisions that the regional population would increase by 344,800 persons, or 18 percent, from 1,931,200 persons in 2000 to $2,276,000$ person in 2035. Under the intermediate projection, population growth would range between 50,000 and 55,000 during each five-year projection cycle from 2000 to 2025 , with slightly smaller increases projected for 2025 to 2035. The high projection indicates that the population of the Region could be as high as $2,501,100$ persons in 2035, an increase of about 569,900 persons, or 30 percent, over 2000; the high population projection for the year 2035 exceeds the intermediate projection by about 10 percent. Conversely, the low projection indicates that the regional population could be as low as $2,090,500$ persons in 2035, an increase of 159,300 persons, or 8 percent, over 2000; the low population projection for the year 2035 is about 8 percent less than the intermediate projection.
- As noted above, the intermediate population projection for the year 2035-which is expected to serve as the basis for the year 2035 regional land use and transportation plans-envisions an 18 percent increase in the regional population over the 35 -year period from 2000 to 2035. This compares to the intermediate-scenario projected increase of 15 percent over the 30 -year period from 1990 to 2020 indicated in the previous Commission projections prepared in 1995. The intermediate population projection of $2,276,000$ persons for the year 2035 is 10 percent greater than the intermediate projection of $2,077,900$ persons for the year 2020 indicated in the previous Commission projections.
- Commission projections envision change in the age composition of the regional population in the coming decades. Particularly noteworthy is the expected influence of the large baby-boom generation (those born from 1946 through 1964) on the future age structure. By 2030, essentially all baby-
boomers will be over age 65 . The intermediate population projection envisions that persons 65 years of age and older would comprise 20 percent of total population in the year 2035, compared to about 13 percent in 2000. Changes in the age composition of the population may be expected to have a range of impacts, including, importantly, impacts on the available labor force in the Region, as babyboomers move into their retirement years.
- Commission projections envision the average household size in the Region will continue its historic decline, with the rate of decline being somewhat moderated in the coming decades however. The average household size in the Region is projected to decrease by just over 5 percent during the projection period, from 2.52 persons in 2000 to 2.39 persons in 2035 . The intermediate projection envisions that the number of households in the Region would increase by 176,700 , or 24 percent, from 749,000 households in 2000 to 925,700 households in 2035. The relative increase in households under the intermediate projection, 24 percent, exceeds the relative increase in population, 18 percent, envisioned under the intermediate projection. The high projection indicates that the number of households in the Region could be as high as $1,016,400$ in 2035, an increase of 267,400 households, or 36 percent, over 2000. The low projection indicates that the number of households could be as low as 850,300 in 2035, an increase of 101,300 households, or 14 percent, over 2000.
- As noted above, the intermediate projection for the year 2035 envisions a 24 percent increase in the number of households in the Region over the 35 -year period from 2000 to 2035. This compares to the intermediate scenario projected increase of 22 percent over the 30 -year period from 1990 to 2020 indicated in the previous Commission projections prepared in 1995. The intermediate projection of 925,700 households for the year 2035 is 12 percent greater than the intermediate projection of 827,100 households for the year 2020 indicated in the previous Commission projections.
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## Appendix A

U.S. CENSUS BUREAU-APPROVED CORRECTIONS TO THE 2000 CENSUS COUNTS FOR CITIES, VILLAGES, TOWNS, AND COUNTIES IN THE REGION

| Area | Original Counts |  |  |  |  |  | Corrected Counts |  |  |  |  |  | Change |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Housing Units | Vacant Housing Units | Occupied Housing Units | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Population } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Group } \\ \text { Quarters } \\ \text { Population } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Household Population | Total Housing Units | Vacant Housing Units | Occupied Housing Units | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Population } \end{array}$ | Group Quarters Population | Household Population | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Housing } \\ \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ | Vacant Housing Units | Occupied Housing Units | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Group } \\ \text { Quarters } \\ \text { Population } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Household Population |
| Minor Civil Divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of Cedarburg, Ozaukee County | 4,593 | 161 | 4,432 | 10,908 | 54 | 10,854 | 4,593 | 161 | 4,432 | 11,102 | 248 | 10,854 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 194 | 194 | $\cdots$ |
| Town of Cedarburg, Ozaukee County | 1,931 | 35 | 1,896 | 5,744 | 194 | 5,550 | 1,931 | 35 | 1,896 | 5,550 | $\cdots$ | 5,550 | -- | -- | -- | -194 | -194 | -- |
| Town of Fredonia, Ozaukee County | 751 | 24 | 727 | 2,903 | 843 | 2,060 | 751 | 24 | 727 | 2,083 | 23 | 2,060 | $\cdots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | -820 | -820 | -- |
| Town of Grafton, Ozaukee County | 1,608 | 39 | 1,569 | 4,132 |  | 4,132 | 1,528 | 36 | 1,492 | 3,980 |  | 3,980 | $-80$ | -3 | -77 | -152 |  | -152 |
| Village of Grafton, Ozaukee County | 4,165 | 117 | 4,048 | 10,312 | 28 | 10,284 | 4,245 | 120 | 4,125 | 10,464 | 28 | 10,436 | 80 | 3 | 77 | 152 | -- | 152 |
| Village of Kewaskum, Washington County | 1,264 | 52 | 1,212 | 3,274 | 72 | 3,202 | 1,265 | 52 | 1,213 | 3,277 | 72 | 3,205 | 1 | - | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ |  | 3 |
| City of Mequon, Ozaukee County | 8,162 | 301 | 7,861 | 21,823 | 235 | 21,588 | 8,162 | 301 | 7,861 | 22,643 | 1,055 | 21,588 | -- |  |  | 820 | 820 |  |
| City of Whitewater, Walworth County | 3,910 | 128 | 3,782 | 12,572 | 3,595 | 8,977 | 3,893 | 128 | 3,765 | 10,826 | 1,896 | 8,930 | -17 | -- | -17 | -1,746 | -1,699 | -47 |
| Counties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ozaukee | 32,034 | 1,177 | 30,857 | 82,317 | 1,759 | 80,558 | 32,034 | 1,177 | 30,857 | 82,317 | 1,759 | 80,558 | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- |
| Walworth | 43,783 | 9,261 | 34,522 | 93,759 | 5,149 | 88,610 | 43,766 | 9,261 | 34,505 | 92,013 | 3,450 | 88,563 | $-17$ | -- | $-17$ | -1,746 | -1,699 | 47 |
| Washington | 45,808 | 1,966 | 43,842 | 117,493 | 1,298 | 116,195 | 45,809 | 1,966 | 43,843 | 117,496 | 1,298 | 116,198 | 1 | -- | 1 | 3 | -- | 3 |
| Region | 796,734 | 47,679 | 749,055 | 1,932,908 | 47,564 | 1,885,344 | 796,718 | 47,679 | 749,039 | 1,931,165 | 45,865 | 1,885,300 | -16 | -- | -16 | -1,743 | -1,699 | -44 |

 Department of Administration has identified an error pertaining to 2000 census population counts for the Towns of LaFayette and Geneva in Walworth County which was not submitted in time for consideration by the Census Bureau housing unit and household population counts would not change in these areas.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Administration, and SEWRPC.
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## Appendix B

## POPULATION LEVELS FOR MINOR CIVIL DIVISIONS IN THE REGION

Data presented in the chapters of this report pertain primarily to the geographic areas of the seven counties comprising the Southeastern Wisconsin Region. This appendix is provided for use by citizens, local government officials, and others who desire historical population information for units of government smaller than a county. It presents the resident population levels of the cities, villages, and towns within the Region from the census of 1850 through the census of 2000. Figures for 1960, 1970, 1980, 1990, and 2000 include any official revisions made subsequent to the conduct of the censuses for those years.

The population of geographic areas can increase not only as a result of natural increase or net in-migration, or both, but also through the addition of territory. Conversely, population decreases can result from the loss of territory as well as from the effects of natural decrease or net out-migration. Accordingly, changes in population levels over time for individual civil divisions should be evaluated in light of changes, if any, in the boundaries of the civil division involved. Maps B-1 through B-11 show the boundaries of the Region's cities, villages, and towns as they existed in selected census years beginning with 1850. Place names appearing on the maps are the official civil division names in use at the time of the appropriate census. Notes have been provided with the appropriate tables to assist in identifying place-name changes where they have occurred.

Table B-1
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN KENOSHA COUNTY: 1850-2000

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities <br> Kenosha ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,455 | 3,990 | 4,309 | 5,039 | 6,532 | 11,606 | 21,371 | 40,472 | 50,262 | 48,765 | 54,368 | 67,899 | 78,805 | 77,685 | 80,426 | 90,352 |
| Villages <br> Paddock Lake ${ }^{\text {b }}$ $\qquad$ <br> Pleasant Prairie ${ }^{C}$ $\qquad$ <br> Silver Lake ${ }^{\text {d }}$ $\qquad$ <br> Twin Lakes ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ $\qquad$ | -- -- -- | -- -- -- | - - -- -- | -- -- -- -- | -- -- -- -- | -- -- -- -- | -- -- -- -- | -- -- - -- | 356 | -- 365 409 | -- 603 637 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,077 \\ & 1,497 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,470 \\ -- \\ 1,210 \\ 2,276 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,207 \\ -- \\ 1,598 \\ 3,474 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,662 \\ 12,037 \\ 1,801 \\ 3,989 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,012 \\ 16,136 \\ 2,341 \\ 5,124 \end{array}$ |
| Towns <br> Brighton $\qquad$ <br> Bristol $\qquad$ <br> Paris. $\qquad$ <br> Pike ${ }^{\text {f }}$ $\qquad$ <br> Pleasant Prairie ${ }^{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{g}}$ $\qquad$ <br> Randall ${ }^{\text {h }}$ $\qquad$ <br> Salem $\qquad$ <br> Somers ${ }^{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}}$ $\qquad$ <br> Southport ${ }^{9}$ $\qquad$ <br> Wheatland ${ }^{h}$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 880 \\ 1,125 \\ 956 \\ 680 \\ 959 \\ -- \\ 1,123 \\ -- \\ 363 \\ 1,193 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,238 \\ 1,392 \\ 1,374 \\ -- \\ 1,400 \\ 662 \\ 1,472 \\ 1,277 \\ -- \\ 1,095 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,185 \\ 1,140 \\ 1,015 \\ -- \\ 1,377 \\ 533 \\ 1,386 \\ 1,359 \\ -- \\ 843 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,024 \\ 1,069 \\ 1,002 \\ -- \\ 1,386 \\ 451 \\ 1,286 \\ 1,458 \\ -- \\ 835 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 926 \\ 1,071 \\ 871 \\ -- \\ 1,646 \\ 658 \\ 1,493 \\ 1,632 \\ -- \\ 752 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 850 \\ 1,151 \\ 818 \\ -- \\ 1,776 \\ 784 \\ 1,846 \\ 2,044 \\ -- \\ 832 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 838 \\ 1,215 \\ 869 \\ -- \\ 3,217 \\ 950 \\ 1,820 \\ 1,788 \\ -- \\ 861 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 843 \\ 1,198 \\ 898 \\ -- \\ 2,030 \\ 1,163 \\ 1,796 \\ 2,084 \\ -- \\ 800 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 765 \\ 1,299 \\ 842 \\ -- \\ 3,457 \\ 916 \\ 1,555 \\ 3,046 \\ -- \\ 799 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 827 \\ 1,397 \\ 1,006 \\ -- \\ 3,892 \\ 554 \\ 1,772 \\ 3,641 \\ -- \\ 877 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 814 \\ 1,564 \\ 1,073 \\ -- \\ 6,207 \\ 584 \\ 2,867 \\ 5,530 \\ -- \\ 991 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,081 \\ 2,155 \\ 1,423 \\ -- \\ 10,287 \\ 1,013 \\ 5,541 \\ 7,139 \\ -- \\ 1,503 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,199 \\ 2,740 \\ 1,744 \\ -- \\ 12,019 \\ 1,582 \\ 5,555 \\ 7,270 \\ -- \\ 2,047 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,180 3,599 1,612 -- 12,703 2,155 6,292 7,724 -- 2,908 | 1,264 3,968 1,482 -- -- 2,395 7,146 7,748 -- 3,263 | $\begin{gathered} 1,450 \\ 4,538 \\ 1,473 \\ -- \\ -- \\ 2,929 \\ 9,871 \\ 9,059 \\ -- \\ 3,292 \end{gathered}$ |
| County | 10,734 | 13,900 | 13,147 | 13,550 | 15,581 | 21,707 | 32,929 | 51,284 | 63,297 | 63,505 | 75,238 | 100,615 | 117,917 | 123,137 | 128,181 | 149,577 |

[^14]Table B-2

POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN MILWAUKEE COUNTY: 1850-2000

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cudahy ${ }^{\text {a .................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3,691 | 6,725 | 10,631 | 10,561 | 12,182 | 17,975 | 22,078 | 19,547 | 18,659 | 18,429 |
| Franklin ${ }^{\text {b.................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | - - | -- | 10,006 | 12,247 | 16,871 | 21,855 | 29,494 |
| Glendale ${ }^{\text {c................ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 9,537 | 13,426 | 13,882 | 14,088 | 13,367 |
| Greenfield ${ }^{\text {d .............. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17,636 | 24,424 | 31,353 | 33,403 | 35,476 |
| Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {e,f,m........ }}$ | 20,061 | 45,246 | 71,440 | 115,587 | 204,468 | 285,315 | 373,857 | 457,157 | 578,249 | 587,472 | 637,392 | 741,324 | 717,372 | 636,295 | 628,088 | 596,974 |
| North Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {e,f }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | 3,047 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | - - |
| Oak Creekg............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 9,372 | 13,928 | 16,932 | 19,513 | 28,456 |
| South Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {h.... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3,392 | 6,092 | 7,598 | 10,706 | 11,134 | 12,855 | 20,307 | 23,297 | 21,069 | 20,958 | 21,256 |
| St. Francis ${ }^{\text {i................ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | 10,065 | 10,489 | 10,095 | 9,245 | 8,662 |
| Wauwatosaj ............. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,842 | 3,346 | 5,818 | 21,194 | 27,769 | 33,324 | 56,923 | 58,676 | 51,308 | 49,366 | 47,271 |
| West Allisk. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6,645 | 13,745 | 34,671 | 36,364 | 42,959 | 68,157 | 71,649 | 63,982 | 63,221 | 61,254 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bayside ${ }^{\text {l }}$.................. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3,078 | 4,338 | 4,612 | 4,681 | 4,415 |
| Bay View ${ }^{\text {e,m }}$............ | -- | -- | -- | 2,852 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| Brown Deer ${ }^{\text {n }}$............ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 11,280 | 12,582 | 12,921 | 12,236 | 12,170 |
| Cudahy ${ }^{\text {a .................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,366 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| East Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {O}}$....... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 707 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Fox Point ${ }^{p}$........... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 474 | 1,180 | 2,585 | 7,315 | 7,939 | 7,649 | 7,238 | 7,012 |
| Greendale ${ }^{\text {q .............. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,527 | 2,752 | 6,843 | 15,089 | 16,928 | 15,128 | 14,405 |
| Hales Corners ${ }^{\text {r }}$..... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | 5,549 | 7,771 | 7,110 | 7,623 | 7,765 |
| North Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {f }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,049 | 1,860 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- |
| River Hills ${ }^{\text {s }}$............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 541 | 567 | 1,257 | 1,561 | 1,642 | 1,612 | 1,631 |
| Shorewood ${ }^{\text {O ...... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,650 | 13,479 | 15,184 | 16,199 | 15,990 | 15,576 | 14,327 | 14,116 | 13,763 |
| West Milwaukee ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,458 | 2,101 | 4,168 | 5,010 | 5,429 | 5,043 | 4,405 | 3,535 | 3,973 | 4,201 |
| Whitefish Bay ${ }^{\text {u ......... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 512 | 542 | 882 | 5,362 | 9,651 | 14,665 | 18,390 | 17,402 | 14,930 | 14,272 | 14,163 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franklin ${ }^{\text {V }}$. | 1,176 | 1,773 | 2,090 | 1,819 | 1,868 | 1,738 | 1,770 | 1,712 | 2,012 | 2,304 | 3,886 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Granville ${ }^{\mathrm{V}}$.. | 1,713 | 2,663 | 2,401 | 2,370 | 2,272 | 2,267 | 2,382 | 2,875 | 8,020 | 11,280 | 11,784 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Greenfield ${ }^{\text { }}$... | 1,995 | 2,491 | 2,281 | 2,674 | 3,190 | 5,814 | 3,797 | 6,293 | 7,435 | 12,060 | 20,907 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Lake ${ }^{\text {V }}$... | 1,474 | 2,133 | 2,974 | 2,578 | 4,899 | 5,302 | 8,737 | 8,876 | 10,548 | 11,923 | 18,956 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Milwaukee ${ }^{\mathrm{V}}$. | 1,351 | 2,575 | 3,096 | 3,472 | 6,403 | 4,610 | 4,715 | 2,606 | 3,868 | 4,202 | 5,857 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Oak Creek ${ }^{\text {v }}$. | 1,259 | 2,222 | 1,959 | 2,097 | 2,087 | 1,950 | 2,052 | 2,292 | 2,923 | 3,112 | 4,807 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Wauwatosav ............ | 2,048 | 3,415 | 3,689 | 5,088 | 10,914 | 13,860 | 11,536 | 15,082 | 11,523 | 14,611 | 23,941 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| County | 31,077 | 62,518 | 89,930 | 138,537 | 236,101 | 330,017 | 433,187 | 539,459 | 725,263 | 766,885 | 871,047 | 1,036,047 | 1,054,249 | 964,988 | 959,275 | 940,164 |

[^15]Table B-3
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN OZAUKEE COUNTY: 1850-2000

| Civil Division | $1850{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedarburg ${ }^{\text {b.............. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,361 | 1,626 | 1,777 | 1,738 | 2,055 | 2,245 | 2,810 | 5,191 | 7,697 | 9,005 | 10,086 | 11,102 |
| Mequon ${ }^{\text {c................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8,543 | 12,150 | 16,193 | 18,885 | 22,643 |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {d }}$.... | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,659 | 3,010 | 3,792 | 3,340 | 3,693 | 4,046 | 4,755 | 5,984 | 8,752 | 8,612 | 9,338 | 10,467 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bayside ${ }^{\text {e }}$.................. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 103 | 123 | 112 | 108 | 103 |
| Belgium ${ }^{\text {f.................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 268 | 356 | 460 | 643 | 809 | 892 | 928 | 1,678 |
| Cedarburg ${ }^{\text {b }}$.............. | -- | -- | -- | 945 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| Fredonia ${ }^{\text {g ................ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | 312 | 356 | 471 | 710 | 1,045 | 1,437 | 1,558 | 1,934 |
| Grafton ${ }^{\text {h }}$.................. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 478 | 818 | 898 | 1,065 | 1,150 | 1,489 | 3,748 | 5,998 | 8,381 | 9,340 | 10,464 |
| Newburg ${ }^{\text {i }}$................ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | -- | -- | 95 | 105 | 92 |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {d }}$.... | -- | -- | -- | 1,386 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Saukville ${ }^{\text {j }}$................ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 330 | 399 | 431 | 699 | 1,038 | 1,389 | 3,494 | 3,695 | 4,068 |
| Thiensville ${ }^{\text {k }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 334 | 500 | 645 | 897 | 2,507 | 3,182 | 3,341 | 3,301 | 3,254 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium............... | -- | 2,223 | 1,979 | 1,948 | 1,690 | 1,547 | 1,643 | 1,516 | 1,300 | 1,284 | 1,467 | 1,646 | 1,625 | 1,424 | 1,405 | 1,513 |
| Cedarburg ............... | -- | 2,235 | 2,557 | 1,591 | 1,507 | 1,450 | 1,449 | 1,283 | 1,346 | 1,324 | 1,568 | 2,248 | 3,774 | 5,244 | 5,143 | 5,550 |
| Fredonia. | -- | 1,785 | 1,688 | 1,839 | 1,666 | 1,652 | 1,421 | 1,444 | 1,149 | 1,164 | 1,191 | 1,475 | 1,746 | 2,144 | 2,043 | 2,083 |
| Grafton. | -- | 1,782 | 1,864 | 1,570 | 1,444 | 1,060 | 960 | 916 | 867 | 955 | 1,225 | 1,996 | 3,127 | 3,588 | 3,745 | 3,980 |
| Mequon.................. | -- | 3,368 | 3,156 | 3,023 | 2,902 | 2,792 | 2,610 | 2,408 | 2,681 | 3,068 | 4,065 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {d }}$.... | -- | 2,565 | 2,390 | 1,218 | 1,067 | 1,081 | 1,103 | 1,066 | 773 | 891 | 1,079 | 1,303 | 1,528 | 1,436 | 1,480 | 1,631 |
| Saukville................ | -- | 1,724 | 1,930 | 1,941 | 1,647 | 1,667 | 1,550 | 1,062 | 986 | 1,070 | 1,185 | 1,306 | 1,516 | 1,583 | 1,671 | 1,755 |
| County | -- | 15,682 | 15,564 | 15,461 | 14,943 | 16,363 | 17,123 | 16,335 | 17,394 | 18,985 | 23,361 | 38,441 | 54,461 | 66,981 | 72,831 | 82,317 |

aln 1853, seven towns (Belgium, Cedarburg, Fredonia, Grafton, Mequon, Port Washington, and Saukville) and the Village of Port Washington, then in Washington County and which contained a resident population of 8,281 persons in 1850, were detached from the remainder of Washington County to form Ozaukee County.
$b_{\text {The City of Cedarburg was originally incorporated as the Village of Cedarburg in 1874. In 1885, the Village was incorporated as a city. }}^{\text {inch }}$.
$c_{\text {In 1957, the remaining territory of the Town of Mequon was incorporated as the City of Mequon and the Town of Mequon ceased to exist. }}^{\text {195 }}$.
${ }^{d}$ The City of Port Washington was originally incorporated as the Village of Port Washington in 1848. Its population was not separately reported in the censuses of 1850, 1860, or 1870, however, but was included in the reported population for the town of Port Washington in those years. In 1882, the village was incorporated as a city.
${ }^{e}$ The Village of Bayside in Milwaukee County annexed territory in Ozaukee County between 1953 and 1960. The populations presented for the Village since 1960 are for the Ozaukee County portion only. Total population for the Village (both county portions) was 3,181 in 1960; 4,461 in 1970; 4,724 in 1980; 4,789 in 1990; and 4,518 in 2000.
$f_{\text {The Village of Belgium was incorporated in } 1922 .}$
$g_{\text {The Village of Fredonia was incorporated in } 1922 .}$
$h_{\text {The Village of Grafton was incorporated in } 1896 .}$
${ }^{i}$ The Village of Newburg was incorporated in 1973 from territory located in both Ozaukee and Washington Counties. The population presented for the Village since 1980 is for the Ozaukee County portion only. Total population for the Village (both county portions) was 783 in 1980; 958 in 1990; and 1,119 in 2000.
$j_{\text {The Village of Saukville was incorporated in } 1915 .}$
$k_{\text {The Village of Thiensville was incorporated in } 1910 .}$
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table B-4

POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN RACINE COUNTY: 1850-2000

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burlington ${ }^{\text {a .............. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,526 | 3,212 | 3,626 | 4,114 | 4,414 | 4,780 | 5,856 | 7,479 | 8,385 | 8,851 | 9,936 |
| Racine ${ }^{\text {b }}$.................... | 5,107 | 7,822 | 9,880 | 16,031 | 21,014 | 29,102 | 38,002 | 58,593 | 67,542 | 67,195 | 71,193 | 89,144 | 95,162 | 85,725 | 84,298 | 81,855 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burlington ${ }^{\text {a }}$.............. | -- | 993 | 1,589 | 1,611 | 2,043 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Corliss ${ }^{\text {c }}$.................... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 525 | 564 | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Elmwood Park ${ }^{\text {d }}$........ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  | - | - | -- | -- | 456 | 483 | 534 | 474 |
| North Bay ${ }^{\text {e }}$............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 264 | 263 | 219 | 246 | 260 |
| Rochester ${ }^{\text {f }}$............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | 220 | 246 | 288 | 333 | 413 | 436 | 746 | 978 | 1,149 |
| Sturtevant ${ }^{\text {c }}$............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | 746 | 803 | 1,176 | 1,488 | 3,376 | 4,130 | 3,803 | 5,287 |
| Union Grove ${ }^{\text {g }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 520 | 616 | 729 | 755 | 973 | 1,358 | 1,970 | 2,703 | 3,517 | 3,669 | 4,322 |
| Waterford ${ }^{\text {h }}$...... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | 581 | 668 | 739 | 786 | 1,100 | 1,500 | 1,922 | 2,051 | 2,431 | 4,048 |
| Wind Point ${ }^{\text {i }}$.............. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | 463 | 1,251 | 1,695 | 1,941 | 1,853 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burlington ${ }^{j}$.. | 1,629 | 1,270 | 1,173 | 1,127 | 1,097 | 1,052 | 1,129 | 1,133 | 999 | 1,257 | 2,270 | 3,765 | 4,963 | 5,629 | 5,833 | 6,384 |
| Caledonia ${ }^{\text {k }}$..... | 1,090 | 2,438 | 2,800 | 2,654 | 2,732 | 2,805 | 3,073 | 3,479 | 3,031 | 4,019 | 5,713 | 9,696 | 16,748 | 20,940 | 20,999 | 23,614 |
| Dover........ | 839 | 1,108 | 1,047 | 927 | 924 | 853 | 820 | 1,100 | 1,473 | 1,782 | 2,450 | 3,503 | 3,780 | 3,419 | 3,631 | 3,908 |
| Mt. Pleasant ${ }^{\mathbf{k}}$............ | 1,086 | 1,818 | 3,560 | 2,166 | 2,192 | 2,911 | 4,219 | 4,070 | 5,379 | 6,760 | 11,339 | 12,358 | 16,368 | 19,340 | 20,084 | 23,142 |
| Norway.................... | 751 | 971 | 1,040 | 981 | 841 | 913 | 888 | 888 | 1,044 | 1,354 | 2,272 | 3,341 | 4,620 | 4,619 | 5,493 | 7,600 |
| Racine ${ }^{\mathrm{k}} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 780 | - - | -- | - - | - - | - - | -- | - - | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Raymond................. | 1,021 | 1,274 | 1,608 | 1,667 | 1,784 | 1,601 | 1,512 | 1,458 | 1,598 | 1,549 | 1,734 | 2,344 | 3,735 | 3,610 | 3,243 | 3,516 |
| Rochester ${ }^{\text {j }}$............... | 1,672 | 933 | 876 | 775 | 699 | 750 | 766 | 439 | 450 | 460 | 530 | 919 | 1,019 | 1,478 | 1,844 | 2,254 |
| Waterford ${ }^{j}$.... | - - | 1,450 | 1,580 | 1,451 | 1,551 | 1,564 | 935 | 861 | 919 | 1,153 | 1,863 | 2,681 | 3,483 | 3,984 | 4,255 | 5,938 |
| Yorkville ................... | 998 | 1,283 | 1,587 | 1,532 | 1,391 | 1,047 | 1,146 | 1,133 | 1,182 | 1,254 | 1,474 | 2,076 | 3,074 | 3,162 | 2,901 | 3,291 |
| County | 14,973 | 21,360 | 26,740 | 30,922 | 36,268 | 45,644 | 57,424 | 78,961 | 90,217 | 94,047 | 109,585 | 141,781 | 170,838 | 173,132 | 175,034 | 188,831 |

a The City of Burlington was originally incorporated as the Village of Burlington in 1855. In 1900, the Village was incorporated as a city. In 1974, the City annexed territory in Walworth County. The population presented for the City since 1980 is for the Racine County portion only; however, the resident population of that portion of the City in Walworth County was enumerated at zero persons in 1980 and 2000, and 4 persons in 1990.
$b_{\text {The City of Racine was originally incorporated as the Village of Racine in 1841. In 1848, the Village was incorporated as a city. }}^{\text {In }}$.
${ }^{c}$ The Village of Sturtevant was originally incorporated as the Village of Corliss in 1907. In 1923, the village name was changed to Sturtevant.
${ }^{d}$ The Village of Elmwood Park was incorporated in 1960 after the conduct of the 1960 census.
$e_{\text {The Village of North Bay was incorporated in } 1951 .}$
${ }^{f}$ The Village of Rochester was incorporated in 1912.
$g_{\text {The Village of Union Grove was incorporated in } 1893 .}$
${ }^{h}$ The Village of Waterford was incorporated in 1906.
${ }^{i}$ The Village of Wind Point was incorporated in 1954.
jln 1852, the Towns of Burlington and Rochester were reorganized to form the Towns of Burlington, Rochester, and Waterford.
$k_{\text {In 18 }} 1856$, the boundaries of the Towns of Caledonia, Mt. Pleasant, and Racine were reorganized. In 1859, the name of the Town of Racine was changed to the Town of Orwell. In 1860, the Town of Orwell was dissolved and its territory attached to the Towns of Caledonia and Mt. Pleasant.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table B-5
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN WALWORTH COUNTY: 1850-2000

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burlington ${ }^{\text {a .............. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4 | -- |
| Delavan ${ }^{\text {b }}$................ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,244 | 2,450 | 3,016 | 3,301 | 3,444 | 4,007 | 4,846 | 5,526 | 5,684 | 6,073 | 7,956 |
| Elkhorn ${ }^{\text {c .................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,731 | 1,707 | 1,991 | 2,340 | 2,382 | 2,935 | 3,586 | 3,992 | 4,605 | 5,337 | 7,305 |
| Lake Geneva ${ }^{\text {d ........... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,297 | 2,585 | 3,079 | 2,632 | 3,073 | 3,238 | 4,300 | 4,929 | 4,890 | 5,612 | 5,979 | 7,148 |
| Whitewater ${ }^{\text {e............. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4,359 | 3,405 | 3,224 | 3,215 | 3,465 | 3,689 | 5,101 | 6,380 | 10,129 | 9,098 | 10,170 | 10,826 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Darien ${ }^{\text {f..................... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 805 | 839 | 1,152 | 1,158 | 1,572 |
| Delavan ${ }^{\text {b }}$.... | -- | 1,549 | 1,688 | 1,798 | 2,038 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - |
| East Troy ${ }^{\text {g }}$............... | -- | -- | - - | - - | -- | -- | 673 | 773 | 800 | 925 | 1,052 | 1,455 | 1,711 | 2,385 | 2,664 | 3,564 |
| Elkhorn ${ }^{\text {c .................. }}$ | -- | 1,081 | 1,205 | 1,122 | 1,447 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| Fontana on Geneva |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake $^{\text {h }}$.................. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 385 | 461 | 726 | 1,326 | 1,464 | 1,764 | 1,635 | 1,754 |
| Geneva ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | -- | -- | -- | 1,969 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - |
| Genoa City ${ }^{\text {i }}$.............. | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 683 | 715 | 866 | 1,005 | 1,085 | 1,202 | 1,277 | 1,949 |
| Genoa Junction ${ }^{\text {i }}$....... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 709 | 656 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| Sharon ${ }^{\text {j}}$.................... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 945 | 879 | 908 | 733 | 812 | 1,013 | 1,167 | 1,216 | 1,280 | 1,250 | 1,549 |
| Walworth ${ }^{\text {k }}$................ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 755 | 757 | 920 | 875 | 1,137 | 1,494 | 1,637 | 1,607 | 1,614 | 2,304 |
| Whitewater ${ }^{\text {e }}$.. | -- | -- | -- | 3,617 | -- | -- | - - | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| Williams Bay ${ }^{\text {l }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 436 | 630 | 717 | 1,118 | 1,347 | 1,554 | 1,763 | 2,108 | 2,415 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bloomfield................ | 879 | 1,146 | 1,091 | 1,097 | 1,197 | 1,314 | 776 | 725 | 735 | 971 | 1,442 | 2,154 | 2,481 | 3,277 | 3,723 | 5,537 |
| Darien... | 1,013 | 1,590 | 1,583 | 1,394 | 1,218 | 1,371 | 1,249 | 1,146 | 1,220 | 1,358 | 1,569 | 1,119 | 1,413 | 1,495 | 1,490 | 1,747 |
| Delavan ..... | 1,268 | 884 | 821 | 762 | 677 | 993 | 903 | 925 | 1,065 | 1,377 | 2,064 | 3,138 | 3,798 | 4,182 | 4,195 | 4,559 |
| East Troy .................. | 1,318 | 1,717 | 1,431 | 1,407 | 1,406 | 1,513 | 925 | 838 | 765 | 898 | 1,585 | 2,247 | 2,743 | 3,583 | 3,687 | 3,830 |
| Elkhorn ${ }^{\text {c .................. }}$ | 42 | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | - - | - - | - - | -- |
| Geneva ${ }^{\text {d,m }}$. | 1,557 | 2,281 | 1,040 | 930 | 1,073 | 1,191 | 1,142 | 1,153 | 1,103 | 1,444 | 1,778 | 2,253 | 3,490 | 3,933 | 3,472 | 4,642 |
| Hudson ${ }^{\text {n }}$. | 1,189 | 1,338 | 1,312 | - - | - - | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - |
| LaFayette ${ }^{\text {m }}$.............. | 1,048 | 1,122 | 1,032 | 1,028 | 933 | 924 | 894 | 851 | 827 | 814 | 811 | 899 | 979 | 1,024 | 1,276 | 1,708 |
| LaGrange.................. | 1,050 | 1,255 | 1,039 | 921 | 844 | 882 | 779 | 794 | 769 | 757 | 915 | 1,087 | 1,311 | 1,661 | 1,643 | 2,444 |
| Linn......................... | 630 | 1,008 | 895 | 823 | 854 | 1,082 | 1,201 | 1,112 | 1,220 | 1,179 | 1,455 | 1,620 | 1,910 | 2,064 | 2,062 | 2,194 |
| Lyons ${ }^{\text {n }}$.... | -- | -- | -- | 1,312 | 1,328 | 1,298 | 1,261 | 1,229 | 1,170 | 1,150 | 1,251 | 1,878 | 2,143 | 2,659 | 2,579 | 3,440 |
| Richmond................. | 744 | 1,016 | 1,017 | 882 | 799 | 770 | 686 | 624 | 710 | 751 | 761 | 935 | 1,251 | 1,649 | 1,405 | 1,835 |
| Sharon..................... | 1,169 | 1,681 | 1,865 | 1,956 | 2,038 | 1,127 | 1,050 | 894 | 890 | 909 | 924 | 1,030 | 1,058 | 945 | 1,016 | 912 |
| Spring Prairie........... | 1,418 | 1,311 | 1,209 | 1,107 | 1,155 | 1,126 | 1,007 | 946 | 980 | 921 | 1,070 | 1,164 | 1,197 | 1,777 | 1,752 | 2,089 |
| Sugar Creek ............. | 1,227 | 1,139 | 992 | 980 | 1,004 | 931 | 917 | 876 | 867 | 896 | 1,161 | 1,532 | 1,811 | 2,599 | 2,661 | 3,331 |
| Troy ......................... | 1,094 | 1,238 | 1,176 | 964 | 972 | 1,018 | 928 | 888 | 857 | 842 | 962 | 1,060 | 1,265 | 1,794 | 2,051 | 2,328 |
| Walworth................. | 987 | 1,403 | 2,291 | 1,278 | 1,372 | 2,003 | 1,698 | 1,255 | 876 | 917 | 936 | 1,064 | 1,370 | 1,443 | 1,341 | 1,676 |
| Whitewater ${ }^{\text {e }}$............. | 1,229 | 3,737 | 4,285 | 902 | 849 | 806 | 722 | 687 | 674 | 661 | 645 | 848 | 1,181 | 1,270 | 1,378 | 1,399 |
| County | 17,862 | 26,496 | 25,972 | 26,249 | 27,860 | 29,259 | 29,614 | 29,327 | 31,058 | 33,103 | 41,584 | 52,368 | 63,444 | 71,507 | 75,000 | 92,013 |

${ }^{a}$ The City of Burlington in Racine County annexed territory in Walworth County in 1974. The population presented for the City since 1980 is for the Walworth County portion only. Total population for the City (both county portions) was 8,385 in 1980; 8,855 in 1990; and 9,936 in 2000.
$b_{\text {The City of Delavan was originally incorporated as the Village of Delavan in 1856. In 1897, the Village was incorporated as a city. }}^{\text {inc }}$.
cIn 1857, the Town of Elkhorn was incorporated as the Village of Elkhorn and the Town of Elkhorn ceased to exist. In 1897, the Village was incorporated as a city.
${ }^{d}$ The City of Lake Geneva was originally incorporated as the Village of Geneva in 1844. Its population was not separately reported in the censuses of 1850 , 1860 , or 1870 , however, but was included in the reported population for the Town of Geneva for those years. In 1886, the Village was incorporated as a city, changing its name to Lake Geneva at the same time.
$e^{\text {The City of Whitewater was originally incorporated as the Village of Whitewater in 1858. Its population was not separately reported in the censuses of 1860, or 1870, however, but was included }}$ in the reported population for the Town of Whitewater in those years. In 1885, the Village was incorporated as a city. The City began to annex territory in Jefferson County in 1959. The populations presented for the City since 1960 are for the Walworth County portion only. The 2000 population reflects a correction from the initially released census count of 12,572 persons in the Walworth County portion. The total population for the City (both county portions) was 6,380, in 1960; 12,038 in 1970; 11,520 in 1980; 12,636 in 1990; and 13,437 in 2000.
$f_{\text {The Village of Darien was incorporated in } 1951 .}$
$g_{\text {The Village of East Troy was incorporated in } 1900 \text { after the conduct of the } 1900 \text { census. }}^{1}$.
$h_{\text {The Village of Fontana-on-Geneva Lake was incorporated in } 1924 .}$
${ }^{1}$ The Village of Genoa City was originally incorporated as the Village of Genoa Junction in 1901. In 1924, the Village name was changed to Genoa City.
jThe Village of Sharon was incorporated in 1892.
$k_{\text {The Village of Walworth was incorporated in } 1901 .}$
The Village of Williams Bay was incorporated in 1919.
$m_{\text {The year }} 2000$ population levels presented in this table for the Towns of Geneva and LaFayette include a correction for an error in the 2000 census counts identified by the Wisconsin Department of Administration, but not formally approved by the U.S. Census Bureau as part of its 2000 Census Count Question Resolution (CQR) program-it having been submitted too late for consideration under that program. The census error involved an overstatement of the group quartered population (and total population) of the Town of LaFayette by 543, and an understatement of the group-quartered population (and total population) of the Town of Geneva by the same amount
$n_{\text {Between }} 1870$ and 1880, the name of the Town of Hudson was changed to the Town of Lyons.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table B-6
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY: 1850-2000

| Civil Division | $1850^{\text {a }}$ | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hartfordb................. | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,296 | 1,632 | 2,982 | 4,515 | 3,754 | 3,910 | 4,549 | 5,627 | 6,499 | 7,159 | 8,179 | 10,895 |
| Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {c............... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| West Bend ${ }^{\text {d,e............ }}$ | -. | -- | -- | -- | 1,296 | 2,119 | 2,462 | 3,378 | 4,760 | 5,452 | 6,849 | 9,969 | 16,555 | 21,484 | 24,470 | 28,152 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | 811 | 900 | 1,039 | 1,569 |  | -- | -- | -- |
| Germantown ${ }^{\text {f. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 255 | 292 | 357 | 622 | 6,974 | 10,729 | 13,658 | 18,260 |
| Hartford ${ }^{\text {b }}$...... | -- | -- | -- | 1,341 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Jackson9 .................. | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  | 230 | 227 | 302 | 361 | 458 | 561 | 1,817 | 2,486 | 4,938 |
| Kewaskum ${ }^{\text {h }}$..... | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | 679 | 625 | 707 | 799 | 880 | 1,183 | 1,572 | 1,926 | 2,381 | 2,514 | 3,277 |
| Newburg ${ }^{\text {i }}$................. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 688 | 853 | 1,027 |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {a,j}}$... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Schliesingerville ${ }^{\text {k ...... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | 358 | 432 | 549 | 538 | 730 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Slinger ${ }^{\mathbf{k}}$.............. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 760 | 775 | 919 | 1,141 | 1,216 | 1,612 | 2,340 | 3,901 |
| West Bend ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | -- | - | 1,058 | 1,273 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - |  | -- | .- |  | -- |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addison.................. | 1,144 | 2,046 | 1,833 | 1,774 | 1,863 | 1,810 | 1,632 | 1,587 | 1,616 | 1,629 | 1,672 | 2,072 | 2,375 | 2,834 | 3,051 | 3,341 |
| Barton ${ }^{1}$... | -- | 1,242 | 1,376 | 1,275 | 1,169 | 1,260 | 1,272 | 1,336 | 782 | 848 | 1,029 | 1,204 | 1,624 | 2,493 | 2,586 | 2,546 |
| Belgium ${ }^{\text {a }}$.. | 1,134 | -- | -. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Cedarburg ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 1,226 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Erin ............... | 840 | 1,445 | 1,266 | 1,273 | 1,301 | 1,200 | 1,086 | 1,081 | 916 | 955 | 995 | 1,133 | 1,641 | 2,455 | 2,817 | 3,664 |
| Farmington ....... | 504 | 1,718 | 1,885 | 1,770 | 1,501 | 1,461 | 1,263 | 1,193 | 1,247 | 1,251 | 1,320 | 1,433 | 1,734 | 2,386 | 2,523 | 3,239 |
| Fredonia ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 671 | -- | .- | -- | -. | -. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Germantown... | 1,714 | 2,344 | 1,954 | 1,979 | 2,026 | 1,937 | 1,805 | 1,844 | 1,544 | 1,626 | 2,100 | 3,984 | 416 | 267 | 258 | 278 |
| Grafton ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 710 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hartford..... | 1,050 | 2,510 | 2,685 | 1,398 | 1,339 | 1,354 | 1,278 | 1,231 | 1,222 | 1,239 | 1,429 | 1,870 | 2,368 | 3,269 | 3,243 | 4,031 |
| Jackson .................... | 1,038 | 1,891 | 1,978 | 1,844 | 1,680 | 1,760 | 1,660 | 1,231 | 1,126 | 1,244 | 1,299 | 1,576 | 2,844 | 3,180 | 3,172 | 3,516 |
| Kewaskum ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | -- | 1,056 | 1,309 | 1,436 | 1,572 | 851 | 765 | 765 | 730 | 736 | 824 | 897 | 1,166 | 1,243 | 1,139 | 1,119 |
| Mequon ${ }^{\text {a }}$........... | 2,100 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- |
| Newarkl, ${ }^{\text {n }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| North Bend ${ }^{\text {m,n }}$........ | 672 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Poik ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 1,260 | 2,457 | 2,220 | 1,679 | 1,639 | 1,554 | 1,352 | 1,162 | 1,294 | 1,224 | 1,401 | 2,090 | 2,846 | 3,486 | 3,540 | 3,938 |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {a }, ~ . . . ~}$ | 1,600 |  |  | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Richfield .................. | 1,134 | 1,920 | 1,654 | 1,708 | 1,584 | 1,617 | 1,615 | 1,467 | 1,487 | 1,564 | 2,077 | 3,172 | 5,923 | 8,390 | 8,993 | 10,373 |
| Saukville ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 840 | -- | -. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Trenton............. | 504 | 1,744 | 2,035 | 1,890 | 1,760 | 1,572 | 1,432 | 1,348 | 1,304 | 1,499 | 1,776 | 2,657 | 3,178 | 3,914 | 3,967 | 4,440 |
| Wayne ............... | 672 | 1,630 | 1,710 | 1,594 | 1,471 | 1,391 | 1,239 | 1,150 | 1,066 | 1,055 | 1,128 | 1,081 | 1,214 | 1,471 | 1,374 | 1,727 |
| West Bend ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$. | 672 | 1,619 | 956 | 850 | 822 | 843 | 778 | 758 | 851 | 1,049 | 1,595 | 1,992 | 2,779 | 3,588 | 4,165 | 4,834 |
| County | 19,485 | 23,622 | 23,919 | 23,442 | 22,751 | 23,589 | 23,784 | 25,713 | 26,551 | 28,430 | 33,902 | 46,119 | 63,839 | 84,848 | 95,328 | 117,496 |

${ }^{a}$ In 1853, seven towns (Belgium, Cedarburg, Fredonia, Grafton, Mequon, Port Washington, and Saukville) and the Village Port Washington which contained a resident population of 8,281 persons in 1850, were detached from Washington County to form Ozaukee County.
$b_{\text {The City }}$ of Hartford was originally incorporated as the Village of Hartford in 1871. In 1883, the Village was incorporated as a city. In 1987, the City annexed territory in Dodge County. The population presented for the City since 1990 is for the Washington County portion only. Total population for the City (both county portions) was 8,188 in 1990 and 10,905 in 2000 .
${ }^{c}$ The City of Milwaukee in Milwaukee County annexed territory in Washington County in 1963. The populations presented for the City since 1970 are for the Washington County portion only. Total population for the City (both county portions) was 717,372 in 1970; 636,297 in 1980; 628,088 in 1990; and 596,974 in 2000.
${ }^{d}$ The City of West Bend was originally incorporated as the Village of West Bend in 1868. In 1885, the Village was incorporated as a city. In 1961, the City was consolidated with the Village of Barton.
${ }^{e}$ The Village of Barton was incorporated in 1925. In 1961, the Village was consolidated with the City of West Bend.
${ }^{f}$ The Village of Germantown was incorporated in 1927.
$g_{\text {The Village of Jackson was incorporated in } 1912 .}$
$h^{h^{2}}$ The Village of Kewaskum was incorporated in 1895.
${ }^{i}$ The Village of Newburg was incorporated in 1973 from territory located in both Ozaukee and Washington Counties. The population presented for the Village since 1980 is for the Washington County portion only. Total population for the Village-both county portions - was 783 in 1980, 958 in 1990, and 1,119 in 2000.
$j_{\text {The }}$ Village of Port Washington was incorporated in 1848. Its population was not separately reported in the census of 1850, however, but was included in the reported population of the Town of Port Washington in that year.
$k_{\text {The Village of Slinger was originally incorporated as the Village of Schliesingerville in 1869. Its population was not separately reported in the census of } 1870 \text {, however, but was included in the }}$ reported population for the Town of Polk in that year. In 1921, the Village name was changed to Slinger.
$I_{\text {Between }} 1850$ and 1860, the name of the Town of Newark was changed to the Town of Barton.

nIn 1848, the Towns of North Bend and West Bend were reorganized to form the Towns of Newark, North Bend, and West Bend. The 1850 census, however, contains no reference to the Town of Newark, although populations are reported for the Towns of North Bend and West Bend. It is assumed, therefore, that the populations reported for the Towns of North Bend and West Bend are for the boundaries of these towns in 1848 prior to any of the changes in areal definition, and that the sum of the populations reported for the Towns of North Bend and West Bend is in actuality the sum of the populations of the Towns of Newark, North Bend, and West Bend.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table B-7
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN WAUKESHA COUNTY: 1850-2000

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brookfield ${ }^{\text {a............... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 19,812 | 32,140 | 34,035 | 35,184 | 38,649 |
| Delafield ${ }^{\text {b................ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,334 | 3,182 | 4,083 | 5,347 | 6,472 |
| Muskego ${ }^{\text {c................ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 11,573 | 15,277 | 16,813 | 21,397 |
| New Berlin ${ }^{\text {d ............. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 15,788 | 26,910 | 30,529 | 33,592 | 38,220 |
| Oconomowoc ${ }^{\text {e......... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | 2,174 | 2,729 | 2,880 | 3,054 | 3,301 | 4,190 | 4,562 | 5,345 | 6,682 | 8,741 | 9,909 | 10,993 | 12,382 |
| Pewaukee ${ }^{\text {f............... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - |  | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | -- | 11,783 |
| Waukesha ${ }^{\text {g .. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 7,419 | 8,740 | 12,558 | 17,176 | 19,242 | 21,233 | 30,004 | 40,271 | 50,365 | 56,894 | 64,825 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big Bend ${ }^{\text {h................ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 309 | 298 | 480 | 797 | 1,148 | 1,345 | 1,299 | 1,278 |
| Butleri..................... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 703 | 778 | 1,047 | 2,274 | 2,261 | 2,059 | 2,079 | 1,881 |
| Chenequa ${ }^{\text {j }}$............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 339 | 288 | 270 | 445 | 642 | 532 | 601 | 583 |
| Dousmank............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 235 | 256 | 272 | 328 | 410 | 451 | 1,153 | 1,277 | 1,584 |
| Eagle ${ }^{\text {I...................... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 324 | 339 | 394 | 392 | 391 | 460 | 620 | 745 | 1,008 | 1,182 | 1,707 |
| Elm Grove ${ }^{\text {m }}$............. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | - - | -- | - - | - - | 4,994 | 7,201 | 6,735 | 6,261 | 6,249 |
| Hartland ${ }^{\text {................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 629 | 728 | 800 | 945 | 998 | 1,190 | 2,088 | 2,763 | 5,559 | 6,906 | 7,905 |
| Lac La Belle ${ }^{\text {o............ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | 66 | 174 | 276 | 227 | 289 | 258 | 329 |
| Lannonp.................. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 434 | 378 | 438 | 1,084 | 1,056 | 987 | 924 | 1,009 |
| Menomonee Falls $q$... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 687 | 919 | 1,019 | 1,291 | 1,469 | 2,469 | 18,276 | 31,697 | 27,845 | 26,840 | 32,647 |
| Merton ${ }^{\text {r ................... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | - - | 232 | 254 | 343 | 407 | 646 | 1,045 | 1,199 | 1,926 |
| Mukwonagos ........... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 615 | 697 | 846 | 855 | 1,207 | 1,877 | 2,367 | 4,014 | 4,464 | 6,162 |
| Nashotah ${ }^{\text {t................ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 321 | 410 | 513 | 567 | 1,266 |
| New Butleri .............. | -- |  |  |  |  | -- | -- | 564 | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | - - | - - | - - |
| North Prairie ${ }^{\text {u .......... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 263 | 292 | 375 | 424 | 489 | 669 | 938 | 1,322 | 1,571 |
| Oconomowoc ${ }^{\text {e.......... }}$ | -- | -- | 1,408 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| Oconomowoc Lake ${ }^{\text {v }}$. | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 414 | 599 | 524 | 493 | 564 |
| Pewaukee ${ }^{\text {W .............. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | 566 | 680 | 714 | 749 | 800 | 1,067 | 1,352 | 1,792 | 2,484 | 3,271 | 4,637 | 5,287 | 8,170 |
| Sussex ${ }^{\text {x.................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 496 | 548 | 679 | 1,087 | 2,758 | 3,482 | 5,039 | 8,828 |
| Wales ${ }^{\text {y }}$.................... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 132 | 170 | 237 | 356 | 691 | 1,992 | 2,471 | 2,523 |
| Waukesha ${ }^{\text {g .............. }}$ | -- | 1,456 | 2,633 | 2,969 | 6,321 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brookfield ${ }^{\text {...... }}$ | 1,938 | 2,104 | 2,281 | 2,096 | 1,960 | 2,174 | 2,132 | 1,973 | 2,547 | 4,196 | 7,425 | 1,990 | 3,924 | 4,364 | 4,232 | 6,390 |
| Delafield .................. | 1,134 | 1,343 | 1,364 | 1,451 | 1,684 | 1,250 | 1,346 | 1,672 | 1,890 | 2,494 | 3,740 | 2,822 | 3,750 | 4,597 | 5,735 | 7,820 |
| Eagle.......... | 816 | 1,280 | 1,256 | 1,155 | 1,020 | 744 | 734 | 683 | 718 | 742 | 947 | 1,103 | 1,250 | 1,758 | 2,028 | 3,117 |
| Genesee .................. | 1,289 | 1,628 | 1,462 | 1,368 | 1,327 | 1,481 | 1,432 | 1,296 | 1,350 | 1,484 | 1,686 | 2,183 | 3,172 | 5,126 | 5,986 | 7,284 |
| Lisbon.......... | 1,036 | 1,426 | 1,384 | 1,437 | 1,443 | 1,510 | 1,580 | 1,540 | 1,104 | 1,158 | 1,532 | 2,885 | 4,709 | 8,352 | 8,277 | 9,359 |
| Menomoneeq.......... | 1,340 | 2,267 | 2,350 | 2,258 | 2,480 | 2,178 | 2,384 | 2,175 | 1,936 | 2,205 | 3,793 | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| Merton ${ }^{\text {.................... }}$ | 966 | 1,475 | 1,612 | 1,577 | 1,604 | 1,530 | 1,572 | 1,642 | 1,317 | 1,426 | 2,214 | 3,077 | 4,424 | 6,025 | 6,430 | 7,988 |
| Mukwonago ............. | 1,094 | 1,373 | 1,261 | 1,084 | 1,217 | 1,263 | 831 | 808 | 833 | 801 | 1,269 | 1,579 | 1,930 | 4,979 | 5,967 | 6,868 |
| Muskego ${ }^{\text {c................ }}$ | 1,111 | 1,384 | 1,409 | 1,422 | 1,390 | 1,349 | 1,318 | 1,294 | 1,576 | 2,495 | 4,157 | 8,888 | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| New Berlin ${ }^{\text {d ............. }}$ | 1,293 | 1,903 | 1,809 | 1,620 | 1,519 | 1,579 | 1,584 | 1,642 | 2,197 | 3,034 | 5,334 | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Oconomowoc*.......... | 1,216 | 2,198 | 1,523 | 1,336 | 1,373 | 1,330 | 925 | 1,368 | 1,747 | 2,081 | 3,288 | 4,465 | 6,010 | 7,340 | 7,323 | 7,451 |
| Ottawa…................ | 793 | 1,072 | 922 | 841 | 880 | 883 | 934 | 709 | 683 | 675 | 764 | 1,092 | 1,698 | 2,795 | 2,988 | 3,758 |
| Pewaukee ${ }^{\text {f............... }}$ | 1,106 | 1,553 | 1,818 | 1,626 | 2,077 | 1,708 | 1,800 | 1,778 | 1,593 | 3,299 | 5,493 | 5,797 | 7,551 | 8,922 | 9,339 | -- |
| Summit ${ }^{\text {*................ }}$ | 924 | 1,151 | 1,358 | 1,138 | 1,130 | 1,275 | 1,185 | 1,208 | 1,492 | 1,617 | 2,571 | 3,472 | 3,809 | 4,050 | 4,003 | 4,999 |
| Vernon.................... | 889 | 1,145 | 1,180 | 1,195 | 1,277 | 1,307 | 1,231 | 1,235 | 1,113 | 1,201 | 1,464 | 2,037 | 2,857 | 6,372 | 7,549 | 7,227 |
| Waukesha... | 2,313 | 2,073 | 1,244 | 1,644 | 1,159 | 1,015 | 968 | 958 | 1,162 | 1,540 | 2,108 | 3,540 | 3,832 | 6,668 | 7,566 | 8,596 |
| County | 19,258 | 26,831 | 28,274 | 28,957 | 33,270 | 35,229 | 37,100 | 42,612 | 52,358 | 62,744 | 85,901 | 158,249 | 231,335 | 280,203 | 304,715 | 360,767 |

[^16]${ }^{I}$ The Village of Eagle was incorporated in 1899.
$m_{\text {The Village of Elm Grove was incorporated in } 1955 .}$
${ }^{n}$ The Village of Hartland was incorporated in 1892.
o The Village of Lac La Belle was incorporated in 1931.
$p_{\text {The Village of Lannon was incorporated in } 1930 .}$
$q_{\text {The Village of Menomonee Falls was incorporated in 1892. Between } 1950 \text { and 1960, the }}$ remaining territory of the Town of Menomonee was annexed by the Village of Menomonee Falls and the Town of Menomonee ceased to exist.

The Village of Merton was incorporated in 1922.
sthe Village of Mukwonago was incorporated in 1905.
${ }^{t}$ The Village of Nashotah was incorporated in 1957.
uThe Village of North Prairie was incorporated in 1919.
${ }^{\wedge}$ The Village of Oconomowoc Lake was incorporated in 1959.
$w_{\text {The Village of Pewaukee was incorporated in } 1876 .}$
x The Village of Sussex was incorporated in 1924
${ }^{Y}$ The Village of Wales was incorporated in 1922.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
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## Appendix C

# PROJECTED RESIDENT POPULATION BY AGE AND SEX FOR THE SOUTHEASTERN WISCONSIN REGION BY COUNTY: 2000-2035 

Table C-1

## PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE REGION BY AGE AND SEX: 2000-2035 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)



NOTE: Minor adjustments have been made to some age groups in 2000 to account for Census Bureau-approved corrections to initially released 2000 census population totals.

Table C-2
PROJECTED POPULATION IN KENOSHA COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2000-2035
(INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5..................... | 5,341 | 5,026 | 10,367 | 5,598 | 5,408 | 11,006 | 5,925 | 5,724 | 11,649 | 6,350 | 6,134 | 12,484 |
| 5 to 9........................ | 6,113 | 5,527 | 11,640 | 5,544 | 5,220 | 10,764 | 5,822 | 5,627 | 11,449 | 6,156 | 5,950 | 12,106 |
| 10 to 14. | 6,093 | 5,733 | 11,826 | 6,350 | 5,741 | 12,091 | 5,770 | 5,431 | 11,201 | 6,053 | 5,849 | 11,902 |
| 15 to 19..................... | 5,728 | 5,378 | 11,106 | 6,417 | 5,963 | 12,380 | 6,702 | 5,983 | 12,685 | 6,084 | 5,654 | 11,738 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 4,784 | 4,784 | 9,568 | 5,662 | 5,450 | 11,112 | 6,357 | 6,055 | 12,412 | 6,633 | 6,069 | 12,702 |
| 25 to 29.................... | 4,957 | 4,790 | 9,747 | 4,793 | 4,836 | 9,629 | 5,685 | 5,520 | 11,205 | 6,377 | 6,127 | 12,504 |
| 30 to 34. | 5,589 | 5,479 | 11,068 | 5,463 | 5,154 | 10,617 | 5,293 | 5,212 | 10,505 | 6,273 | 5,945 | 12,218 |
| 35 to $39 .$. | 6,748 | 6,617 | 13,365 | 6,076 | 5,777 | 11,853 | 5,951 | 5,446 | 11,397 | 5,763 | 5,504 | 11,267 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 6,358 | 6,338 | 12,696 | 7,031 | 6,731 | 13,762 | 6,346 | 5,889 | 12,235 | 6,212 | 5,548 | 11,760 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 5,416 | 5,180 | 10,596 | 6,380 | 6,340 | 12,720 | 7,073 | 6,746 | 13,819 | 6,382 | 5,898 | 12,280 |
| 50 to 54. | 4,334 | 4,327 | 8,661 | 5,274 | 5,068 | 10,342 | 6,233 | 6,217 | 12,450 | 6,909 | 6,611 | 13,520 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 3,248 | 3,401 | 6,649 | 4,009 | 4,097 | 8,106 | 4,900 | 4,810 | 9,710 | 5,790 | 5,899 | 11,689 |
| 60 to 64................... | 2,564 | 2,555 | 5,119 | 2,895 | 3,156 | 6,051 | 3,595 | 3,814 | 7,409 | 4,399 | 4,479 | 8,878 |
| 65 to 69. | 1,990 | 2,446 | 4,436 | 2,204 | 2,361 | 4,565 | 2,503 | 2,923 | 5,426 | 3,115 | 3,535 | 6,650 |
| 70 to 74..................... | 1,909 | 2,446 | 4,355 | 1,693 | 2,221 | 3,914 | 1,891 | 2,151 | 4,042 | 2,153 | 2,667 | 4,820 |
| 75 to 79.. | 1,466 | 2,166 | 3,632 | 1,417 | 2,055 | 3,472 | 1,270 | 1,876 | 3,146 | 1,428 | 1,823 | 3,251 |
| 80 to $84 .$. | 901 | 1,676 | 2,577 | 994 | 1,709 | 2,703 | 973 | 1,636 | 2,609 | 881 | 1,504 | 2,385 |
| 85 and Older.............. | 610 | 1,559 | 2,169 | 702 | 1,774 | 2,476 | 812 | 1,951 | 2,763 | 874 | 2,043 | 2,917 |
| Total Population | 74,149 | 75,428 | 149,577 | 78,502 | 79,061 | 157,563 | 83,101 | 83,011 | 166,112 | 87,832 | 87,239 | 175,071 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5... | 6,654 | 6,425 | 13,079 | 6,800 | 6,566 | 13,366 | 6,962 | 6,724 | 13,686 | 7,281 | 7,030 | 14,311 |
| 5 to 9........................ | 6,591 | 6,370 | 12,961 | 6,892 | 6,658 | 13,550 | 7,042 | 6,803 | 13,845 | 7,202 | 6,959 | 14,161 |
| 10 to 14..................... | 6,394 | 6,179 | 12,573 | 6,831 | 6,601 | 13,432 | 7,142 | 6,899 | 14,041 | 7,289 | 7,041 | 14,330 |
| 15 to 19..................... | 6,376 | 6,083 | 12,459 | 6,722 | 6,413 | 13,135 | 7,179 | 6,849 | 14,028 | 7,499 | 7,151 | 14,650 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 6,016 | 5,730 | 11,746 | 6,292 | 6,151 | 12,443 | 6,633 | 6,484 | 13,117 | 7,076 | 6,918 | 13,994 |
| 25 to 29..................... | 6,646 | 6,135 | 12,781 | 6,015 | 5,779 | 11,794 | 6,291 | 6,204 | 12,495 | 6,625 | 6,532 | 13,157 |
| 30 to 34.................... | 7,029 | 6,592 | 13,621 | 7,311 | 6,588 | 13,899 | 6,617 | 6,206 | 12,823 | 6,915 | 6,655 | 13,570 |
| 35 to 39. | 6,822 | 6,272 | 13,094 | 7,631 | 6,940 | 14,571 | 7,937 | 6,936 | 14,873 | 7,175 | 6,526 | 13,701 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 6,013 | 5,604 | 11,617 | 7,104 | 6,373 | 13,477 | 7,948 | 7,052 | 15,000 | 8,257 | 7,040 | 15,297 |
| 45 to 49.................... | 6,246 | 5,554 | 11,800 | 6,040 | 5,602 | 11,642 | 7,135 | 6,371 | 13,506 | 7,972 | 7,040 | 15,012 |
| 50 to 54. | 6,233 | 5,777 | 12,010 | 6,093 | 5,431 | 11,524 | 5,900 | 5,482 | 11,382 | 6,959 | 6,226 | 13,185 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 6,418 | 6,268 | 12,686 | 5,785 | 5,471 | 11,256 | 5,665 | 5,148 | 10,813 | 5,485 | 5,194 | 10,679 |
| 60 to 64.................... | 5,202 | 5,493 | 10,695 | 5,764 | 5,829 | 11,593 | 5,206 | 5,096 | 10,302 | 5,093 | 4,791 | 9,884 |
| 65 to 69..................... | 3,820 | 4,156 | 7,976 | 4,518 | 5,095 | 9,613 | 5,020 | 5,414 | 10,434 | 4,531 | 4,729 | 9,260 |
| 70 to 74.................... | 2,691 | 3,233 | 5,924 | 3,307 | 3,805 | 7,112 | 3,926 | 4,678 | 8,604 | 4,356 | 4,964 | 9,320 |
| 75 to 79..................... | 1,636 | 2,270 | 3,906 | 2,055 | 2,759 | 4,814 | 2,542 | 3,263 | 5,805 | 3,014 | 4,008 | 7,022 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 1,000 | 1,473 | 2,473 | 1,154 | 1,846 | 3,000 | 1,465 | 2,262 | 3,727 | 1,810 | 2,671 | 4,481 |
| 85 and Older.............. | 878 | 2,052 | 2,930 | 956 | 2,068 | 3,024 | 1,092 | 2,334 | 3,426 | 1,323 | 2,741 | 4,064 |
| Total Population | 92,665 | 91,666 | 184,331 | 97,270 | 95,975 | 193,245 | 101,702 | 100,205 | 201,907 | 105,862 | 104,216 | 210,078 |

[^17]Table C-3

## PROJECTED POPULATION IN MILWAUKEE COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2000-2035 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5. | 34,433 | 32,708 | 67,141 | 36,137 | 34,891 | 71,028 | 37,018 | 35,740 | 72,758 | 37,461 | 36,171 | 73,632 |
| 5 to 9......................... | 36,211 | 35,042 | 71,253 | 31,745 | 30,169 | 61,914 | 33,318 | 32,182 | 65,500 | 34,332 | 33,160 | 67,492 |
| 10 to 14..................... | 35,135 | 34,058 | 69,193 | 33,446 | 32,363 | 65,809 | 29,320 | 27,860 | 57,180 | 30,956 | 29,895 | 60,851 |
| 15 to 19..................... | 34,699 | 33,975 | 68,674 | 34,974 | 34,294 | 69,268 | 33,296 | 32,586 | 65,882 | 29,349 | 28,202 | 57,551 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 33,911 | 35,962 | 69,873 | 35,943 | 36,933 | 72,876 | 36,228 | 37,280 | 73,508 | 34,674 | 35,604 | 70,278 |
| 25 to 29.................... | 34,745 | 36,256 | 71,001 | 35,946 | 38,919 | 74,865 | 38,104 | 39,972 | 78,076 | 38,602 | 40,551 | 79,153 |
| 30 to 34.................... | 34,747 | 35,382 | 70,129 | 33,203 | 33,969 | 67,172 | 34,360 | 36,464 | 70,824 | 36,628 | 37,670 | 74,298 |
| 35 to 39.................... | 35,114 | 36,602 | 71,716 | 32,125 | 32,539 | 64,664 | 30,709 | 31,244 | 61,953 | 31,976 | 33,749 | 65,725 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 34,930 | 36,771 | 71,701 | 33,005 | 34,338 | 67,343 | 30,213 | 30,533 | 60,746 | 29,058 | 29,495 | 58,553 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 31,506 | 32,987 | 64,493 | 33,316 | 35,160 | 68,476 | 31,504 | 32,836 | 64,340 | 29,020 | 29,373 | 58,393 |
| 50 to 54..................... | 25,941 | 27,823 | 53,764 | 29,989 | 31,535 | 61,524 | 31,748 | 33,622 | 65,370 | 30,214 | 31,587 | 61,801 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 17,737 | 20,300 | 38,037 | 23,923 | 26,120 | 50,043 | 27,715 | 29,620 | 57,335 | 29,536 | 31,777 | 61,313 |
| 60 to 64..................... | 14,373 | 17,131 | 31,504 | 15,924 | 18,689 | 34,613 | 21,558 | 24,077 | 45,635 | 25,172 | 27,490 | 52,662 |
| 65 to 69.................... | 12,977 | 16,664 | 29,641 | 12,213 | 15,474 | 27,687 | 13,576 | 16,891 | 30,467 | 18,551 | 21,917 | 40,468 |
| 70 to 74... | 12,811 | 17,468 | 30,279 | 10,832 | 14,852 | 25,684 | 10,256 | 13,811 | 24,067 | 11,513 | 15,195 | 26,708 |
| 75 to 79.................... | 10,641 | 16,236 | 26,877 | 9,972 | 14,918 | 24,890 | 8,503 | 12,731 | 21,234 | 8,165 | 11,954 | 20,119 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 6,401 | 11,975 | 18,376 | 6,988 | 12,322 | 19,310 | 6,624 | 11,398 | 18,022 | 5,744 | 9,860 | 15,604 |
| 85 and Older............. | 4,262 | 12,250 | 16,512 | 5,073 | 13,727 | 18,800 | 5,919 | 15,044 | 20,963 | 6,342 | 15,636 | 21,978 |
| Total Population | 450,574 | 489,590 | 940,164 | 454,754 | 491,212 | 945,966 | 459,969 | 493,891 | 953,860 | 467,293 | 499,286 | 966,579 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5..................... | 36,801 | 35,529 | 72,330 | 35,928 | 34,684 | 70,612 | 35,510 | 34,277 | 69,787 | 36,000 | 34,747 | 70,747 |
| 5 to 9........................ | 34,804 | 33,619 | 68,423 | 34,354 | 33,179 | 67,533 | 33,501 | 32,353 | 65,854 | 33,154 | 32,016 | 65,170 |
| 10 to 14..................... | 31,954 | 30,859 | 62,813 | 32,550 | 31,435 | 63,985 | 32,091 | 30,987 | 63,078 | 31,336 | 30,255 | 61,591 |
| 15 to 19. | 31,038 | 30,314 | 61,352 | 32,183 | 31,428 | 63,611 | 32,748 | 31,980 | 64,728 | 32,327 | 31,564 | 63,891 |
| 20 to 24. | 30,615 | 30,862 | 61,477 | 32,520 | 33,308 | 65,828 | 33,693 | 34,500 | 68,193 | 34,325 | 35,147 | 69,472 |
| 25 to 29.. | 37,002 | 38,787 | 75,789 | 32,807 | 33,760 | 66,567 | 34,823 | 36,403 | 71,226 | 36,121 | 37,747 | 73,868 |
| 30 to 34. | 37,168 | 38,286 | 75,454 | 35,793 | 36,797 | 72,590 | 31,708 | 31,993 | 63,701 | 33,699 | 34,543 | 68,242 |
| 35 to 39.................. | 34,142 | 34,928 | 69,070 | 34,811 | 35,670 | 70,481 | 33,492 | 34,247 | 67,739 | 29,704 | 29,811 | 59,515 |
| 40 to $44 .$. | 30,326 | 31,929 | 62,255 | 32,535 | 33,204 | 65,739 | 33,143 | 33,877 | 67,020 | 31,924 | 32,565 | 64,489 |
| 45 to 49.. | 27,978 | 28,435 | 56,413 | 29,363 | 30,945 | 60,308 | 31,472 | 32,152 | 63,624 | 32,094 | 32,841 | 64,935 |
| 50 to 54.................... | 27,908 | 28,317 | 56,225 | 27,055 | 27,555 | 54,610 | 28,405 | 29,982 | 58,387 | 30,473 | 31,185 | 61,658 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 28,186 | 29,919 | 58,105 | 26,194 | 26,968 | 53,162 | 25,410 | 26,245 | 51,655 | 26,741 | 28,613 | 55,354 |
| 60 to 64.................... | 26,921 | 29,578 | 56,499 | 25,862 | 28,003 | 53,865 | 24,062 | 25,256 | 49,318 | 23,378 | 24,619 | 47,997 |
| 65 to 69.................... | 21,766 | 25,118 | 46,884 | 23,444 | 27,199 | 50,643 | 22,563 | 25,765 | 48,328 | 21,031 | 23,271 | 44,302 |
| 70 to 74.................... | 15,840 | 19,817 | 35,657 | 18,753 | 22,887 | 41,640 | 20,255 | 24,834 | 45,089 | 19,514 | 23,545 | 43,059 |
| 75 to 79..................... | 9,244 | 13,242 | 22,486 | 12,865 | 17,438 | 30,303 | 15,315 | 20,221 | 35,536 | 16,565 | 21,971 | 38,536 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 5,581 | 9,356 | 14,937 | 6,408 | 10,502 | 16,910 | 9,008 | 13,932 | 22,940 | 10,736 | 16,173 | 26,909 |
| 85 and Older.............. | 6,269 | 15,359 | 21,628 | 6,301 | 15,207 | 21,508 | 6,872 | 16,066 | 22,938 | 8,598 | 18,789 | 27,387 |
| Total Population | 473,543 | 504,254 | 977,797 | 479,726 | 510,169 | 989,895 | 484,071 | 515,070 | 999,141 | 487,720 | 519,402 | 1,007,122 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table C-4
PROJECTED POPULATION IN OZAUKEE COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2000-2035
(INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5..................... | 2,562 | 2,507 | 5,069 | 2,276 | 2,192 | 4,468 | 2,210 | 2,128 | 4,338 | 2,415 | 2,324 | 4,739 |
| 5 to 9........................ | 3,112 | 2,984 | 6,096 | 3,020 | 2,955 | 5,975 | 2,709 | 2,609 | 5,318 | 2,639 | 2,541 | 5,180 |
| 10 to 14..................... | 3,606 | 3,219 | 6,825 | 3,669 | 3,519 | 7,188 | 3,593 | 3,517 | 7,110 | 3,234 | 3,116 | 6,350 |
| 15 to 19..................... | 3,148 | 2,866 | 6,014 | 3,628 | 3,264 | 6,892 | 3,732 | 3,606 | 7,338 | 3,670 | 3,620 | 7,290 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 1,847 | 1,704 | 3,551 | 2,451 | 2,248 | 4,699 | 2,865 | 2,597 | 5,462 | 2,963 | 2,885 | 5,848 |
| 25 to 29..................... | 1,752 | 1,786 | 3,538 | 1,498 | 1,424 | 2,922 | 2,015 | 1,903 | 3,918 | 2,367 | 2,209 | 4,576 |
| 30 to 34................... | 2,360 | 2,537 | 4,897 | 1,916 | 2,064 | 3,980 | 1,654 | 1,662 | 3,316 | 2,234 | 2,228 | 4,462 |
| 35 to 39 . | 3,331 | 3,543 | 6,874 | 2,690 | 2,949 | 5,639 | 2,206 | 2,423 | 4,629 | 1,912 | 1,958 | 3,870 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 3,797 | 3,943 | 7,740 | 3,662 | 3,870 | 7,532 | 2,988 | 3,253 | 6,241 | 2,460 | 2,684 | 5,144 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 3,527 | 3,600 | 7,127 | 3,953 | 4,024 | 7,977 | 3,856 | 3,992 | 7,848 | 3,161 | 3,371 | 6,532 |
| 50 to 54.................... | 3,057 | 3,023 | 6,080 | 3,497 | 3,551 | 7,048 | 3,966 | 4,015 | 7,981 | 3,889 | 4,001 | 7,890 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 2,326 | 2,387 | 4,713 | 2,920 | 2,904 | 5,824 | 3,385 | 3,452 | 6,837 | 3,859 | 3,923 | 7,782 |
| 60 to 64.................... | 1,696 | 1,740 | 3,436 | 2,129 | 2,255 | 4,384 | 2,714 | 2,778 | 5,492 | 3,167 | 3,320 | 6,487 |
| 65 to 69. | 1,391 | 1,506 | 2,897 | 1,514 | 1,611 | 3,125 | 1,929 | 2,113 | 4,042 | 2,478 | 2,618 | 5,096 |
| 70 to 74..................... | 1,265 | 1,486 | 2,751 | 1,204 | 1,412 | 2,616 | 1,332 | 1,530 | 2,862 | 1,712 | 2,018 | 3,730 |
| 75 to 79... | 885 | 1,195 | 2,080 | 1,046 | 1,302 | 2,348 | 1,015 | 1,255 | 2,270 | 1,137 | 1,372 | 2,509 |
| 80 to $84 . . .$. | 576 | 873 | 1,449 | 641 | 1,062 | 1,703 | 774 | 1,177 | 1,951 | 762 | 1,148 | 1,910 |
| 85 and Older.............. | 354 | 826 | 1,180 | 470 | 967 | 1,437 | 584 | 1,186 | 1,770 | 732 | 1,411 | 2,143 |
| Total Population | 40,592 | 41,725 | 82,317 | 42,184 | 43,573 | 85,757 | 43,527 | 45,196 | 88,723 | 44,791 | 46,747 | 91,538 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5. | 2,747 | 2,644 | 5,391 | 2,952 | 2,842 | 5,794 | 2,962 | 2,852 | 5,814 | 2,876 | 2,768 | 5,644 |
| 5 to 9........................ | 2,880 | 2,771 | 5,651 | 3,248 | 3,126 | 6,374 | 3,466 | 3,337 | 6,803 | 3,467 | 3,337 | 6,804 |
| 10 to 14. | 3,147 | 3,030 | 6,177 | 3,405 | 3,277 | 6,682 | 3,813 | 3,671 | 7,484 | 4,057 | 3,906 | 7,963 |
| 15 to 19. | 3,297 | 3,201 | 6,498 | 3,177 | 3,082 | 6,259 | 3,409 | 3,306 | 6,715 | 3,804 | 3,691 | 7,495 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 2,908 | 2,889 | 5,797 | 2,578 | 2,522 | 5,100 | 2,460 | 2,403 | 4,863 | 2,626 | 2,565 | 5,191 |
| 25 to 29.................... | 2,443 | 2,448 | 4,891 | 2,368 | 2,423 | 4,791 | 2,079 | 2,094 | 4,173 | 1,975 | 1,986 | 3,961 |
| 30 to 34 . | 2,621 | 2,583 | 5,204 | 2,679 | 2,838 | 5,517 | 2,578 | 2,789 | 5,367 | 2,257 | 2,402 | 4,659 |
| 35 to 39..................... | 2,578 | 2,621 | 5,199 | 2,997 | 3,013 | 6,010 | 3,043 | 3,288 | 6,331 | 2,919 | 3,221 | 6,140 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 2,131 | 2,166 | 4,297 | 2,847 | 2,874 | 5,721 | 3,286 | 3,280 | 6,566 | 3,325 | 3,566 | 6,891 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 2,600 | 2,777 | 5,377 | 2,232 | 2,221 | 4,453 | 2,960 | 2,924 | 5,884 | 3,403 | 3,325 | 6,728 |
| 50 to 54..................... | 3,186 | 3,374 | 6,560 | 2,596 | 2,754 | 5,350 | 2,214 | 2,186 | 4,400 | 2,924 | 2,867 | 5,791 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 3,782 | 3,903 | 7,685 | 3,070 | 3,260 | 6,330 | 2,486 | 2,642 | 5,128 | 2,114 | 2,091 | 4,205 |
| 60 to 64..................... | 3,610 | 3,770 | 7,380 | 3,508 | 3,716 | 7,224 | 2,830 | 3,082 | 5,912 | 2,283 | 2,489 | 4,772 |
| 65 to 69..................... | 2,895 | 3,130 | 6,025 | 3,274 | 3,523 | 6,797 | 3,163 | 3,450 | 6,613 | 2,543 | 2,851 | 5,394 |
| 70 to 74..................... | 2,207 | 2,501 | 4,708 | 2,563 | 2,966 | 5,529 | 2,886 | 3,319 | 6,205 | 2,776 | 3,236 | 6,012 |
| 75 to 79.................... | 1,469 | 1,815 | 3,284 | 1,888 | 2,239 | 4,127 | 2,189 | 2,647 | 4,836 | 2,455 | 2,952 | 5,407 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 861 | 1,265 | 2,126 | 1,113 | 1,671 | 2,784 | 1,435 | 2,063 | 3,498 | 1,658 | 2,430 | 4,088 |
| 85 and Older.............. | 822 | 1,550 | 2,372 | 933 | 1,716 | 2,649 | 1,144 | 2,080 | 3,224 | 1,437 | 2,539 | 3,976 |
| Total Population | 46,184 | 48,438 | 94,622 | 47,428 | 50,063 | 97,491 | 48,403 | 51,413 | 99,816 | 48,899 | 52,222 | 101,121 |

[^18]Table C-5
PROJECTED POPULATION IN RACINE COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2000-2035
(INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5... | 6,776 | 6,444 | 13,220 | 6,568 | 6,334 | 12,902 | 6,575 | 6,339 | 12,914 | 6,793 | 6,549 | 13,342 |
| 5 to 9......................... | 7,185 | 6,884 | 14,069 | 7,040 | 6,697 | 13,737 | 6,862 | 6,620 | 13,482 | 6,896 | 6,649 | 13,545 |
| 10 to 14..................... | 7,556 | 7,111 | 14,667 | 7,476 | 7,162 | 14,638 | 7,367 | 7,006 | 14,373 | 7,206 | 6,950 | 14,156 |
| 15 to 19..................... | 7,355 | 6,653 | 14,008 | 7,686 | 6,864 | 14,550 | 7,651 | 6,954 | 14,605 | 7,572 | 6,829 | 14,401 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 5,555 | 5,047 | 10,602 | 6,535 | 5,758 | 12,293 | 6,859 | 5,981 | 12,840 | 6,858 | 6,086 | 12,944 |
| 25 to 29..................... | 5,611 | 5,505 | 11,116 | 5,009 | 4,899 | 9,908 | 5,960 | 5,622 | 11,582 | 6,289 | 5,863 | 12,152 |
| 30 to 34..................... | 6,716 | 6,699 | 13,415 | 5,885 | 5,795 | 11,680 | 5,277 | 5,185 | 10,462 | 6,309 | 5,973 | 12,282 |
| 35 to 39.................... | 7,919 | 7,967 | 15,886 | 6,924 | 6,830 | 13,754 | 6,101 | 5,943 | 12,044 | 5,491 | 5,339 | 10,830 |
| 40 to 44 . | 8,044 | 8,074 | 16,118 | 7,929 | 7,893 | 15,822 | 6,967 | 6,807 | 13,774 | 6,155 | 5,947 | 12,102 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 7,120 | 6,943 | 14,063 | 7,901 | 7,977 | 15,878 | 7,836 | 7,845 | 15,681 | 6,905 | 6,794 | 13,699 |
| 50 to 54..................... | 6,051 | 6,153 | 12,204 | 6,915 | 6,721 | 13,636 | 7,731 | 7,770 | 15,501 | 7,702 | 7,673 | 15,375 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 4,485 | 4,620 | 9,105 | 5,627 | 5,808 | 11,435 | 6,485 | 6,385 | 12,870 | 7,288 | 7,415 | 14,703 |
| 60 to 64..................... | 3,488 | 3,637 | 7,125 | 4,017 | 4,256 | 8,273 | 5,092 | 5,389 | 10,481 | 5,906 | 5,955 | 11,861 |
| 65 to 69.................... | 2,840 | 3,307 | 6,147 | 2,929 | 3,349 | 6,278 | 3,405 | 3,945 | 7,350 | 4,350 | 5,022 | 9,372 |
| 70 to 74..................... | 2,665 | 3,194 | 5,859 | 2,341 | 2,932 | 5,273 | 2,444 | 2,990 | 5,434 | 2,865 | 3,544 | 6,409 |
| 75 to 79.................... | 2,022 | 3,030 | 5,052 | 1,974 | 2,823 | 4,797 | 1,759 | 2,616 | 4,375 | 1,858 | 2,690 | 4,548 |
| 80 to 84.................... | 1,238 | 2,091 | 3,329 | 1,479 | 2,296 | 3,775 | 1,469 | 2,166 | 3,635 | 1,329 | 2,032 | 3,361 |
| 85 and Older............. | 831 | 2,015 | 2,846 | 989 | 2,261 | 3,250 | 1,223 | 2,572 | 3,795 | 1,371 | 2,732 | 4,103 |
| Total Population | 93,457 | 95,374 | 188,831 | 95,224 | 96,655 | 191,879 | 97,063 | 98,135 | 195,198 | 99,143 | 100,042 | 199,185 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5. | 6,960 | 6,712 | 13,672 | 6,997 | 6,745 | 13,742 | 6,984 | 6,732 | 13,716 | 7,071 | 6,814 | 13,885 |
| 5 to 9........................ | 7,124 | 6,868 | 13,992 | 7,313 | 7,054 | 14,367 | 7,348 | 7,084 | 14,432 | 7,351 | 7,087 | 14,438 |
| 10 to 14..................... | 7,241 | 6,980 | 14,221 | 7,496 | 7,225 | 14,721 | 7,690 | 7,416 | 15,106 | 7,745 | 7,465 | 15,210 |
| 15 to 19. | 7,411 | 6,774 | 14,185 | 7,462 | 6,818 | 14,280 | 7,711 | 7,053 | 14,764 | 7,923 | 7,258 | 15,181 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 6,789 | 5,977 | 12,766 | 6,667 | 5,942 | 12,609 | 6,708 | 5,978 | 12,686 | 6,941 | 6,201 | 13,142 |
| 25 to 29.................... | 6,287 | 5,965 | 12,252 | 6,236 | 5,871 | 12,107 | 6,118 | 5,834 | 11,952 | 6,172 | 5,884 | 12,056 |
| 30 to 34..................... | 6,660 | 6,229 | 12,889 | 6,670 | 6,350 | 13,020 | 6,613 | 6,247 | 12,860 | 6,502 | 6,222 | 12,724 |
| 35 to 39..................... | 6,565 | 6,149 | 12,714 | 6,945 | 6,426 | 13,371 | 6,953 | 6,548 | 13,501 | 6,908 | 6,457 | 13,365 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 5,535 | 5,345 | 10,880 | 6,645 | 6,170 | 12,815 | 7,031 | 6,445 | 13,476 | 7,055 | 6,583 | 13,638 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 6,093 | 5,937 | 12,030 | 5,486 | 5,351 | 10,837 | 6,603 | 6,175 | 12,778 | 7,007 | 6,465 | 13,472 |
| 50 to 54..................... | 6,789 | 6,648 | 13,437 | 6,005 | 5,826 | 11,831 | 5,408 | 5,252 | 10,660 | 6,531 | 6,075 | 12,606 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 7,267 | 7,325 | 14,592 | 6,427 | 6,366 | 12,793 | 5,689 | 5,582 | 11,271 | 5,140 | 5,049 | 10,189 |
| 60 to 64..................... | 6,650 | 6,923 | 13,573 | 6,658 | 6,861 | 13,519 | 5,898 | 5,969 | 11,867 | 5,235 | 5,250 | 10,485 |
| 65 to 69..................... | 5,062 | 5,561 | 10,623 | 5,726 | 6,491 | 12,217 | 5,746 | 6,440 | 12,186 | 5,105 | 5,617 | 10,722 |
| 70 to 74..................... | 3,679 | 4,527 | 8,206 | 4,309 | 5,040 | 9,349 | 4,892 | 5,898 | 10,790 | 4,920 | 5,863 | 10,783 |
| 75 to 79..................... | 2,193 | 3,204 | 5,397 | 2,842 | 4,122 | 6,964 | 3,348 | 4,609 | 7,957 | 3,812 | 5,408 | 9,220 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 1,418 | 2,107 | 3,525 | 1,693 | 2,537 | 4,230 | 2,217 | 3,289 | 5,506 | 2,617 | 3,687 | 6,304 |
| 85 and Older.............. | 1,407 | 2,793 | 4,200 | 1,505 | 2,927 | 4,432 | 1,733 | 3,315 | 5,048 | 2,146 | 4,021 | 6,167 |
| Total Population | 101,130 | 102,024 | 203,154 | 103,082 | 104,122 | 207,204 | 104,690 | 105,866 | 210,556 | 106,181 | 107,406 | 213,587 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table C-6

## PROJECTED POPULATION IN WALWORTH COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2000-2035 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5... | 2,818 | 2,709 | 5,527 | 3,107 | 3,002 | 6,109 | 3,421 | 3,304 | 6,725 | 3,743 | 3,616 | 7,359 |
| 5 to 9......................... | 3,245 | 3,054 | 6,299 | 3,190 | 3,068 | 6,258 | 3,514 | 3,396 | 6,910 | 3,849 | 3,719 | 7,568 |
| 10 to 14..................... | 3,466 | 3,398 | 6,864 | 3,675 | 3,459 | 7,134 | 3,609 | 3,471 | 7,080 | 3,955 | 3,822 | 7,777 |
| 15 to 19..................... | 3,640 | 3,363 | 7,003 | 3,824 | 3,705 | 7,529 | 4,051 | 3,767 | 7,818 | 3,957 | 3,760 | 7,717 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 4,240 | 3,906 | 8,146 | 4,296 | 4,005 | 8,301 | 4,515 | 4,417 | 8,932 | 4,760 | 4,468 | 9,228 |
| 25 to 29.................... | 2,720 | 2,558 | 5,278 | 3,309 | 2,979 | 6,288 | 3,456 | 3,163 | 6,619 | 3,721 | 3,593 | 7,314 |
| 30 to 34..................... | 3,029 | 2,896 | 5,925 | 2,993 | 2,848 | 5,841 | 3,532 | 3,231 | 6,763 | 3,555 | 3,491 | 7,046 |
| 35 to 39..................... | 3,628 | 3,681 | 7,309 | 3,320 | 3,167 | 6,487 | 3,140 | 2,975 | 6,115 | 4,049 | 3,513 | 7,562 |
| 40 to 44. | 3,760 | 3,569 | 7,329 | 3,884 | 3,845 | 7,729 | 3,552 | 3,305 | 6,857 | 3,023 | 2,777 | 5,800 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 3,423 | 3,222 | 6,645 | 3,879 | 3,668 | 7,547 | 4,005 | 3,947 | 7,952 | 3,645 | 3,374 | 7,019 |
| 50 to 54..................... | 2,840 | 2,804 | 5,644 | 3,476 | 3,283 | 6,759 | 3,940 | 3,735 | 7,675 | 4,047 | 3,998 | 8,045 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 2,236 | 2,220 | 4,456 | 2,853 | 2,793 | 5,646 | 3,495 | 3,268 | 6,763 | 3,944 | 3,699 | 7,643 |
| 60 to 64..................... | 1,785 | 1,869 | 3,654 | 2,172 | 2,176 | 4,348 | 2,780 | 2,739 | 5,519 | 3,393 | 3,190 | 6,583 |
| 65 to 69..................... | 1,452 | 1,637 | 3,089 | 1,632 | 1,760 | 3,392 | 1,990 | 2,048 | 4,038 | 2,541 | 2,566 | 5,107 |
| 70 to $74 .$. | 1,394 | 1,562 | 2,956 | 1,314 | 1,511 | 2,825 | 1,480 | 1,625 | 3,105 | 1,798 | 1,882 | 3,680 |
| 75 to 79..................... | 1,020 | 1,381 | 2,401 | 1,142 | 1,378 | 2,520 | 1,085 | 1,336 | 2,421 | 1,224 | 1,435 | 2,659 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 663 | 1,101 | 1,764 | 767 | 1,142 | 1,909 | 867 | 1,147 | 2,014 | 829 | 1,114 | 1,943 |
| 85 and Older............. | 477 | 1,247 | 1,724 | 510 | 1,318 | 1,828 | 588 | 1,406 | 1,994 | 683 | 1,477 | 2,160 |
| Total Population | 45,836 | 46,177 | 92,013 | 49,343 | 49,107 | 98,450 | 53,020 | 52,280 | 105,300 | 56,716 | 55,494 | 112,210 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5.................... | 3,999 | 3,864 | 7,863 | 4,181 | 4,038 | 8,219 | 4,423 | 4,272 | 8,695 | 4,794 | 4,631 | 9,425 |
| 5 to 9........................ | 4,203 | 4,063 | 8,266 | 4,467 | 4,319 | 8,786 | 4,665 | 4,508 | 9,173 | 4,920 | 4,754 | 9,674 |
| 10 to 14..................... | 4,325 | 4,179 | 8,504 | 4,698 | 4,541 | 9,239 | 4,986 | 4,821 | 9,807 | 5,192 | 5,017 | 10,209 |
| 15 to 19................... | 4,329 | 4,133 | 8,462 | 4,708 | 4,494 | 9,202 | 5,108 | 4,877 | 9,985 | 5,405 | 5,162 | 10,567 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 4,641 | 4,454 | 9,095 | 5,053 | 4,870 | 9,923 | 5,490 | 5,289 | 10,779 | 5,940 | 5,725 | 11,665 |
| 25 to 29.................... | 4,025 | 3,728 | 7,753 | 3,993 | 3,789 | 7,782 | 4,343 | 4,137 | 8,480 | 4,700 | 4,475 | 9,175 |
| 30 to 34.................... | 3,704 | 3,598 | 7,302 | 3,872 | 3,608 | 7,480 | 3,744 | 3,567 | 7,311 | 4,058 | 3,880 | 7,938 |
| 35 to 39..................... | 3,966 | 3,672 | 7,638 | 4,007 | 3,884 | 7,891 | 4,184 | 3,890 | 8,074 | 4,033 | 3,834 | 7,867 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 4,093 | 3,641 | 7,734 | 4,089 | 3,683 | 7,772 | 4,233 | 3,999 | 8,232 | 4,406 | 3,992 | 8,398 |
| 45 to 49.................... | 3,099 | 2,831 | 5,930 | 4,175 | 3,694 | 7,869 | 4,166 | 3,732 | 7,898 | 4,298 | 4,038 | 8,336 |
| 50 to 54..................... | 3,681 | 3,413 | 7,094 | 3,114 | 2,848 | 5,962 | 4,195 | 3,715 | 7,910 | 4,172 | 3,740 | 7,912 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 4,047 | 3,954 | 8,001 | 3,666 | 3,358 | 7,024 | 3,102 | 2,802 | 5,904 | 4,170 | 3,646 | 7,816 |
| 60 to 64..................... | 3,829 | 3,607 | 7,436 | 3,913 | 3,837 | 7,750 | 3,548 | 3,259 | 6,807 | 2,993 | 2,712 | 5,705 |
| 65 to 69..................... | 3,105 | 2,989 | 6,094 | 3,492 | 3,366 | 6,858 | 3,573 | 3,581 | 7,154 | 3,231 | 3,032 | 6,263 |
| 70 to 74.................... | 2,299 | 2,359 | 4,658 | 2,802 | 2,736 | 5,538 | 3,155 | 3,083 | 6,238 | 3,217 | 3,268 | 6,485 |
| 75 to 79.................... | 1,494 | 1,667 | 3,161 | 1,912 | 2,088 | 4,000 | 2,343 | 2,430 | 4,773 | 2,629 | 2,729 | 5,358 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 943 | 1,205 | 2,148 | 1,154 | 1,404 | 2,558 | 1,491 | 1,770 | 3,261 | 1,820 | 2,052 | 3,872 |
| 85 and Older............. | 725 | 1,522 | 2,247 | 812 | 1,619 | 2,431 | 973 | 1,821 | 2,794 | 1,215 | 2,159 | 3,374 |
| Total Population | 60,507 | 58,879 | 119,386 | 64,108 | 62,176 | 126,284 | 67,722 | 65,553 | 133,275 | 71,193 | 68,846 | 140,039 |

NOTE: Minor adjustments have been made to some age groups in 2000 to account for Census Bureau-approved corrections to initially released 2000 census population totals.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table C-7
PROJECTED POPULATION IN WASHINGTON COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2000-2035 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5..................... | 4,019 | 3,951 | 7,970 | 3,922 | 3,786 | 7,708 | 3,804 | 3,674 | 7,478 | 3,952 | 3,815 | 7,767 |
| 5 to 9........................ | 4,518 | 4,169 | 8,687 | 4,512 | 4,438 | 8,950 | 4,421 | 4,269 | 8,690 | 4,284 | 4,139 | 8,423 |
| 10 to 14. | 4,826 | 4,398 | 9,224 | 5,075 | 4,682 | 9,757 | 5,087 | 5,003 | 10,090 | 4,980 | 4,808 | 9,788 |
| 15 to 19..................... | 4,315 | 3,920 | 8,235 | 4,798 | 4,316 | 9,114 | 5,067 | 4,614 | 9,681 | 5,074 | 4,925 | 9,999 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 2,989 | 2,656 | 5,645 | 3,596 | 3,112 | 6,708 | 4,019 | 3,445 | 7,464 | 4,239 | 3,679 | 7,918 |
| 25 to 29..................... | 3,316 | 3,271 | 6,587 | 2,815 | 2,567 | 5,382 | 3,402 | 3,021 | 6,423 | 3,798 | 3,341 | 7,139 |
| 30 to 34.................... | 4,392 | 4,446 | 8,838 | 3,867 | 3,915 | 7,782 | 3,295 | 3,083 | 6,378 | 3,978 | 3,626 | 7,604 |
| 35 to 39..................... | 5,586 | 5,610 | 11,196 | 4,988 | 5,074 | 10,062 | 4,410 | 4,487 | 8,897 | 3,756 | 3,531 | 7,287 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 5,320 | 5,126 | 10,446 | 5,961 | 5,921 | 11,882 | 5,348 | 5,380 | 10,728 | 4,726 | 4,754 | 9,480 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 4,614 | 4,547 | 9,161 | 5,498 | 5,183 | 10,681 | 6,189 | 6,012 | 12,201 | 5,552 | 5,459 | 11,011 |
| 50 to 54..................... | 3,963 | 3,897 | 7,860 | 4,588 | 4,489 | 9,077 | 5,495 | 5,141 | 10,636 | 6,186 | 5,959 | 12,145 |
| 55 to 59..................... | 2,943 | 3,003 | 5,946 | 3,814 | 3,769 | 7,583 | 4,444 | 4,363 | 8,807 | 5,323 | 4,995 | 10,318 |
| 60 to 64.................... | 2,258 | 2,231 | 4,489 | 2,723 | 2,852 | 5,575 | 3,558 | 3,601 | 7,159 | 4,151 | 4,168 | 8,319 |
| 65 to 69.................... | 1,767 | 1,831 | 3,598 | 2,037 | 2,176 | 4,213 | 2,476 | 2,796 | 5,272 | 3,244 | 3,532 | 6,776 |
| 70 to 74..................... | 1,451 | 1,847 | 3,298 | 1,602 | 1,797 | 3,399 | 1,865 | 2,147 | 4,012 | 2,274 | 2,764 | 5,038 |
| 75 to 79.................... | 1,138 | 1,595 | 2,733 | 1,210 | 1,747 | 2,957 | 1,353 | 1,714 | 3,067 | 1,586 | 2,054 | 3,640 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 737 | 1,181 | 1,918 | 890 | 1,316 | 2,206 | 960 | 1,458 | 2,418 | 1,085 | 1,441 | 2,526 |
| 85 and Older.............. | 457 | 1,208 | 1,665 | 555 | 1,444 | 1,999 | 694 | 1,705 | 2,399 | 812 | 1,985 | 2,797 |
| Total Population | 58,609 | 58,887 | 117,496 | 62,451 | 62,584 | 125,035 | 65,887 | 65,913 | 131,800 | 69,000 | 68,975 | 137,975 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 4,247 | 4,100 | 8,347 | 4,461 | 4,307 | 8,768 | 4,537 | 4,375 | 8,912 | 4,523 | 4,363 | 8,886 |
| 5 to 9........................ | 4,443 | 4,291 | 8,734 | 4,745 | 4,582 | 9,327 | 4,962 | 4,793 | 9,755 | 5,026 | 4,848 | 9,874 |
| 10 to 14.................... | 4,818 | 4,654 | 9,472 | 4,966 | 4,795 | 9,761 | 5,280 | 5,098 | 10,378 | 5,499 | 5,311 | 10,810 |
| 15 to 19... | 4,957 | 4,725 | 9,682 | 4,762 | 4,541 | 9,303 | 4,885 | 4,655 | 9,540 | 5,170 | 4,926 | 10,096 |
| 20 to 24.................... | 4,236 | 3,917 | 8,153 | 4,105 | 3,725 | 7,830 | 3,921 | 3,558 | 7,479 | 4,000 | 3,626 | 7,626 |
| 25 to 29..................... | 3,998 | 3,561 | 7,559 | 3,966 | 3,763 | 7,729 | 3,824 | 3,562 | 7,386 | 3,635 | 3,385 | 7,020 |
| 30 to 34.................... | 4,434 | 4,005 | 8,439 | 4,641 | 4,244 | 8,885 | 4,585 | 4,467 | 9,052 | 4,404 | 4,211 | 8,615 |
| 35 to 39..................... | 4,526 | 4,145 | 8,671 | 5,014 | 4,550 | 9,564 | 5,227 | 4,800 | 10,027 | 5,142 | 5,031 | 10,173 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 4,020 | 3,737 | 7,757 | 4,814 | 4,358 | 9,172 | 5,309 | 4,762 | 10,071 | 5,510 | 5,002 | 10,512 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 4,901 | 4,817 | 9,718 | 4,145 | 3,763 | 7,908 | 4,941 | 4,368 | 9,309 | 5,424 | 4,751 | 10,175 |
| 50 to 54.................... | 5,545 | 5,404 | 10,949 | 4,865 | 4,737 | 9,602 | 4,101 | 3,686 | 7,787 | 4,864 | 4,258 | 9,122 |
| 55 to 59................... | 5,987 | 5,781 | 11,768 | 5,336 | 5,209 | 10,545 | 4,667 | 4,549 | 9,216 | 3,919 | 3,525 | 7,444 |
| 60 to 64..................... | 4,971 | 4,770 | 9,741 | 5,561 | 5,485 | 11,046 | 4,943 | 4,926 | 9,869 | 4,304 | 4,283 | 8,587 |
| 65 to 69..................... | 3,789 | 4,089 | 7,878 | 4,515 | 4,655 | 9,170 | 5,041 | 5,337 | 10,378 | 4,462 | 4,772 | 9,234 |
| 70 to 74..................... | 2,991 | 3,497 | 6,488 | 3,484 | 4,034 | 7,518 | 4,148 | 4,585 | 8,733 | 4,609 | 5,232 | 9,841 |
| 75 to 79..................... | 1,945 | 2,652 | 4,597 | 2,559 | 3,352 | 5,911 | 2,987 | 3,869 | 6,856 | 3,541 | 4,377 | 7,918 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 1,282 | 1,738 | 3,020 | 1,577 | 2,249 | 3,826 | 2,089 | 2,853 | 4,942 | 2,427 | 3,278 | 5,705 |
| 85 and Older............. | 952 | 2,180 | 3,132 | 1,135 | 2,515 | 3,650 | 1,394 | 3,090 | 4,484 | 1,784 | 3,843 | 5,627 |
| Total Population | 72,042 | 72,063 | 144,105 | 74,651 | 74,864 | 149,515 | 76,841 | 77,333 | 154,174 | 78,243 | 79,022 | 157,265 |

NOTE: Minor adjustments have been made to some age groups in 2000 to account for Census Bureau-approved corrections to initially released 2000 census population totals.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table C-8
PROJECTED POPULATION IN WAUKESHA COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2000-2035
(INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5.. | 11,498 | 11,598 | 23,096 | 11,365 | 10,943 | 22,308 | 10,836 | 10,433 | 21,269 | 11,332 | 10,913 | 22,245 |
| 5 to 9......................... | 13,317 | 12,858 | 26,175 | 13,341 | 13,456 | 26,797 | 13,278 | 12,783 | 26,061 | 12,679 | 12,206 | 24,885 |
| 10 to 14. | 14,616 | 14,014 | 28,630 | 15,446 | 14,925 | 30,371 | 15,578 | 15,726 | 31,304 | 15,530 | 14,963 | 30,493 |
| 15 to 19.. | 13,355 | 12,006 | 25,361 | 14,870 | 13,877 | 28,747 | 15,838 | 14,898 | 30,736 | 16,003 | 15,726 | 31,729 |
| 20 to 24..................... | 8,450 | 7,776 | 16,226 | 10,430 | 9,364 | 19,794 | 11,731 | 10,934 | 22,665 | 12,524 | 11,766 | 24,290 |
| 25 to 29. | 9,268 | 9,032 | 18,300 | 7,317 | 6,936 | 14,253 | 9,116 | 8,427 | 17,543 | 10,275 | 9,860 | 20,135 |
| 30 to 34..................... | 11,718 | 12,248 | 23,966 | 10,600 | 10,727 | 21,327 | 8,429 | 8,293 | 16,722 | 10,518 | 10,092 | 20,610 |
| 35 to 39. | 15,229 | 16,272 | 31,501 | 13,363 | 14,037 | 27,400 | 12,176 | 12,382 | 24,558 | 9,701 | 9,590 | 19,291 |
| 40 to 44 . | 16,959 | 16,713 | 33,672 | 16,372 | 17,200 | 33,572 | 14,480 | 14,952 | 29,432 | 13,221 | 13,215 | 26,436 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 15,072 | 15,271 | 30,343 | 17,116 | 16,737 | 33,853 | 16,665 | 17,363 | 34,028 | 14,776 | 15,127 | 29,903 |
| 50 to 54..................... | 13,052 | 13,080 | 26,132 | 14,601 | 14,680 | 29,281 | 16,734 | 16,226 | 32,960 | 16,337 | 16,871 | 33,208 |
| 55 to 59. | 9,773 | 9,738 | 19,511 | 12,118 | 12,261 | 24,379 | 13,699 | 13,883 | 27,582 | 15,745 | 15,384 | 31,129 |
| 60 to 64..................... | 6,986 | 7,434 | 14,420 | 8,786 | 9,034 | 17,820 | 11,028 | 11,484 | 22,512 | 12,519 | 13,044 | 25,563 |
| 65 to 69.................... | 6,017 | 6,456 | 12,473 | 6,105 | 6,923 | 13,028 | 7,769 | 8,486 | 16,255 | 9,806 | 10,825 | 20,631 |
| 70 to 74. | 5,009 | 5,972 | 10,981 | 5,173 | 6,094 | 11,267 | 5,324 | 6,595 | 11,919 | 6,816 | 8,118 | 14,934 |
| 75 to 79..................... | 3,511 | 5,086 | 8,597 | 4,130 | 5,657 | 9,787 | 4,335 | 5,836 | 10,171 | 4,508 | 6,353 | 10,861 |
| 80 to 84..................... | 2,196 | 3,740 | 5,936 | 2,649 | 4,325 | 6,974 | 3,175 | 4,878 | 8,053 | 3,376 | 5,081 | 8,457 |
| 85 and Older............. | 1,458 | 3,989 | 5,447 | 1,844 | 4,563 | 6,407 | 2,350 | 5,379 | 7,729 | 2,961 | 6,325 | 9,286 |
| Total Population | 177,484 | 183,283 | 360,767 | 185,626 | 191,739 | 377,365 | 192,541 | 198,958 | 391,499 | 198,627 | 205,459 | 404,086 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5. | 12,546 | 12,080 | 24,626 | 13,478 | 12,975 | 26,453 | 13,793 | 13,276 | 27,069 | 13,672 | 13,162 | 26,834 |
| 5 to 9 . | 13,245 | 12,754 | 25,999 | 14,559 | 14,015 | 28,574 | 15,544 | 14,961 | 30,505 | 15,826 | 15,229 | 31,055 |
| 10 to 14................... | 14,813 | 14,272 | 29,085 | 15,364 | 14,805 | 30,169 | 16,783 | 16,167 | 32,950 | 17,827 | 17,170 | 34,997 |
| 15 to 19.. | 15,934 | 14,944 | 30,878 | 15,076 | 14,134 | 29,210 | 15,525 | 14,554 | 30,079 | 16,861 | 15,798 | 32,659 |
| 20 to 24. | 12,635 | 12,400 | 25,035 | 12,449 | 11,658 | 24,107 | 11,673 | 10,925 | 22,598 | 11,929 | 11,164 | 23,093 |
| 25 to 29..................... | 10,952 | 10,594 | 21,546 | 10,944 | 11,063 | 22,007 | 10,696 | 10,317 | 21,013 | 9,961 | 9,604 | 19,565 |
| 30 to 34..................... | 11,841 | 11,796 | 23,637 | 12,531 | 12,587 | 25,118 | 12,446 | 13,066 | 25,512 | 12,101 | 12,124 | 24,225 |
| 35 to 39................... | 12,091 | 11,659 | 23,750 | 13,513 | 13,528 | 27,041 | 14,214 | 14,343 | 28,557 | 14,042 | 14,810 | 28,852 |
| 40 to 44..................... | 10,527 | 10,227 | 20,754 | 13,021 | 12,338 | 25,359 | 14,458 | 14,221 | 28,679 | 15,123 | 14,992 | 30,115 |
| 45 to 49..................... | 13,485 | 13,357 | 26,842 | 10,661 | 10,260 | 20,921 | 13,095 | 12,290 | 25,385 | 14,452 | 14,081 | 28,533 |
| 50 to 54. | 14,482 | 14,685 | 29,167 | 13,118 | 12,864 | 25,982 | 10,310 | 9,817 | 20,127 | 12,582 | 11,685 | 24,267 |
| 55 to 59. | 15,368 | 15,981 | 31,349 | 13,524 | 13,801 | 27,325 | 12,179 | 12,012 | 24,191 | 9,522 | 9,116 | 18,638 |
| 60 to 64. | 14,395 | 14,452 | 28,847 | 13,952 | 14,896 | 28,848 | 12,211 | 12,787 | 24,998 | 10,928 | 11,065 | 21,993 |
| 65 to 69.................... | 11,151 | 12,304 | 23,455 | 12,740 | 13,540 | 26,280 | 12,289 | 13,875 | 26,164 | 10,693 | 11,840 | 22,533 |
| 70 to 74. | 8,637 | 10,378 | 19,015 | 9,780 | 11,737 | 21,517 | 11,134 | 12,866 | 24,000 | 10,671 | 13,101 | 23,772 |
| 75 to 79..................... | 5,803 | 7,851 | 13,654 | 7,344 | 10,013 | 17,357 | 8,312 | 11,306 | 19,618 | 9,410 | 12,328 | 21,738 |
| 80 to 84.................... | 3,543 | 5,574 | 9,117 | 4,569 | 6,895 | 11,464 | 5,807 | 8,810 | 14,617 | 6,536 | 9,891 | 16,427 |
| 85 and Older............. | 3,468 | 7,138 | 10,606 | 3,881 | 8,022 | 11,903 | 4,726 | 9,501 | 14,227 | 5,860 | 11,612 | 17,472 |
| Total Population | 204,916 | 212,446 | 417,362 | 210,504 | 219,131 | 429,635 | 215,195 | 225,094 | 440,289 | 217,996 | 228,772 | 446,768 |

[^19]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A detailed chronology of the Commission population studies is presented in Chapter I of SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11 (3rd Edition), The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin, dated October 1995.
    ${ }^{2}$ The county-level high-growth and low-growth projections shown on Figure 1 are the same as those presented in SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11 (3rd Edition). The county-level intermediate-growth projections shown on Figure 1 represent minor adjustments of the intermediate-growth projections set forth in Technical Report No. 11 (3rd Edition) made as part of the year 2020 regional land use plan-those adjustments having been made to create a more centralized population distribution within the Region relative to Milwaukee County, under planned conditions.
    ${ }^{3}$ The year 2003 population levels shown on Figure 1 are estimates prepared by the Wisconsin Department of Administration.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Census Bureau will not revise the base files from the 2000 census, and, accordingly, standard data products from the 2000 census (e.g., electronic data files or published reports) will not reflect these corrections.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The 2000 census question in this regard asked whether an individual is "Spanish/Hispanic/Latino." Those so indicating were asked to specify "Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano;" "Puerto Rican;" "Cuban;" or "other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino." The term "Hispanic" as used in this report refers to all reported in the census as "Spanish/Hispanic/Latino."

[^3]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Separate living quarters are defined as those in which the occupants live separately from any other persons in the building and which have direct access from the outside of the building or through a common hall.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The registration of births and deaths in the State of Wisconsin has been relatively complete since 1920.

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ In 2000, births to females under age 15 in the Region numbered 70 , or 0.25 percent of births; births to females 45 and older numbered 29 , or 0.11 percent of all births.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ This usage is consistent with the generally accepted distinction between the terms "projection" and "forecast." A projection is an indication of the future value of a variable, such as population or employment levels, under a set of assumptions which affect that variable. Typically, more than one projection is developed, each with its own set of assumptions. A forecast, on the other hand, involves an element of judgment, it being the projection deemed most likely to occur.

[^8]:    ${ }^{3}$ A life table is a statistical table that summarizes the mortality characteristics of a population for a period of time and provides a means for measuring life expectancy.

[^9]:    ${ }^{4}$ It is estimated that Racine County experienced a modest domestic net out-migration during the early 2000s; it was assumed that this net out-migration would decrease gradually over the course of the projection period, beginning after the first five-year cycle.

[^10]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^11]:    ${ }^{5}$ At the time of preparation of this report, Wisconsin Department of Administration projections extended to the year 2030. We Energies projections (projections prepared for We Energies by Economy.com, Inc.) extended to the year 2032; in this report, We Energies projections are presented to the year 2030.

[^12]:    ${ }^{6}$ The age groups considered were 1-14, 15-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, 65-74, 75-84, and 85 and over.

[^13]:    ${ }^{7}$ Under the low projection, the Milwaukee County population would decrease by just over 1 percent, while the number of households would increase by 4 percent, between 2000 and 2035.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The City of Kenosha was originally incorporated as the Village of Southport in 1841. In 1850, the Village was incorporated as the City of Kenosha.
    
    $c_{\text {In 1 1 1 }}$ 1989, the Town of Pleasant Prairie was incorporated as the Village of Pleasant Prairie and the Town of Pleasant Prairie ceased to exist.
    $d_{\text {The Village of Silver Lake was incorporated in } 1926 . ~}^{\text {in }}$.
    $e_{\text {The Village of Twin Lakes was incorporated in } 1937 .}$
    $f_{\text {Between }} 1850$ and 1860, the name of the Town of Pike was changed to the Town of Somers.
    
    $h_{\text {The Town of Randall was created in } 1860 \text { from a portion of the Town of Wheatland. }}^{\text {wh }}$.
    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^15]:    ${ }^{a}$ The City of Cudahy was originally incorporated as the Village of Cudahy in 1895. In 1906 the Village was incorporated as a city.
    ${ }^{6}$ The City of Franklin was incorporated in 1956.
    ${ }^{c}$ The City of Glendale was incorporated in 1950 after the conduct of the 1950 census.
    ${ }^{d}$ The City of Greenfield was incorporated in 1957.
    ${ }^{e}$ The City of Milwaukee was originally incorporated as the Village of Milwaukee in 1838. The 1840 census reported a resident population of 1,712 persons for the Village. In 1846 , the Village was incorporated as a city. In 1887, the city annexed the Village of Bay View and in 1929 the City was consolidated with the City of North Milwaukee. In 1963, the City of Milwaukee annexed territory in Washington County. The populations presented for the City since 1970 are for the Milwaukee County portion only. The total population for the City (both county portions) was 717,372 in 1970 ; 636,297 in 1980; 628,088 in 1990; and 596,974 in 2000.
    ${ }^{f}$ The City of North Milwaukee was originally incorporated as the Village of North Milwaukee in 1897. In 1918, the Village was incorporated as a City. In 1929, the city was consolidated with the City of Milwaukee.
    $g_{\text {The City of Oak Creek was incorporated in } 1955 .}$
    $h_{\text {The City of South Milwaukee was originally incorporated as the Village of South Milwaukee in 1892. In 1897, the Village was incorporated as a city. }}^{\text {I }}$.
    ${ }^{i}$ The City of St. Francis was incorporated in 1951.
    $j_{\text {The City of Was Watosa was originally incorporated as the Village of Wauwatosa in 1892. In 1897, the Village was incorporated as a city. }}^{\text {W }}$
    ${ }^{k}$ The City of West Allis was originally incorporated as the Village of West Allis in 1902. In 1906, the Village was incorporated as a city.
    ${ }^{\prime}$ The Village of Bayside was incorporated in 1953. Between 1953 and 1960, the Village annexed territory in Ozaukee County. The population presented for the Village since 1960 are for the Milwaukee County portion only. Total population for the Village (both county portions) was 3,181 in 1960; 4,461 in 1970; 4,724 in 1980; 4,789 in 1990; and 4,518 in 2000.
    $m_{\text {The Village of Bay View was incorporated in 1879. In 1887, the Village was annexed by the City of Milwaukee. }}^{\text {In }}$
    $n_{\text {The Village of Brown Deer was incorporated in } 1955 .}$
    ${ }^{\circ}$ The Village of Shorewood was originally incorporated as the Village of East Milwaukee in 1900 after the conduct of the 1900 census. In 1917, the village name was changed to Shorewood.
    $p_{\text {The Village of Fox Point was incorporated in } 1926 . ~}^{\text {. }}$
    $q_{\text {The Village of Greendale was incorporated in } 1938 .}$
    ${ }^{r}$ The Village of Hales Corners was incorporated in 1952.
    ${ }^{s}$ The Village of River Hills was incorporated in 1930 after the conduct of the 1930 census.
    ${ }^{\text {t}}$ The Village of West Milwaukee was incorporated in 1906.
    $u_{\text {The Village of Whitefish Bay was incorporated in } 1892 .}$
    $v_{\text {Between }} 1950$ and 1960, all remaining unincorporated territory in Milwaukee County became incorporated either through annexation to existing cities and villages or through direct incorporation and the Towns of Franklin, Granville, Greenfield, Lake, Milwaukee, Oak Creek, and Wauwatosa ceased to exist.
    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^16]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The City of Brookfield was incorporated in 1954
    bThe City of Delafield was incorporated in 1959.
    ${ }^{c}$ In 1964, the Town of Muskego was incorporated as the City of Muskego and the Town of Muskego ceased to exist
    $d_{\text {In 195 }}$ 1959, the Town of New Berlin was incorporated as the City of New Berlin and the Town of New Berlin ceased to exist.
    ${ }^{e}$ The City of Oconomowoc was originally incorporated as the Village of Oconomowoc in 1865. In 1875, the Village was incorporated as a city.
    fln 1999, the Town of Pewaukee was incorporated as the City of Pewaukee and the Town of Pewaukee ceased to exist.
    gThe City of Waukesha was originally incorporated as the Village of Prairieville in 1846. In 1847, the Village name was changed to Waukesha. In 1848, the Village charter was repealed by the Territorial Legislature. The Village of Waukesha was again incorporated in 1852. In 1896, the Village was incorporated as a city
    
    iThe Village of Butler was originally incorporated as the Village of New Butler in 1913. In 1920, the Village name was changed to Butler.
    jThe Village of Chenequa was incorporated in 1928
    $k_{\text {The Village of Dousman was incorporated in } 1917 .}$

[^17]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^18]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^19]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

